

Public reaction to the Lexicon

If readers are interested, there does appear to be some [public non-overt reaction](#) to the publication of the Lexicon.

Usual reliability of course. But as it does appear to corroborate the relevance and validity I think I do have a Duty to present it here.

While we're at it, [this item](#) which was presented suddenly in the news circle immediately afterwards does also seem to literarily reference what I'd mentioned about the need for self-correction to prevent system instabilities, which I'd described using an overt computer analogy.

'Cui bono'

That is, *'Who benefits?'*

On the matter of credibility and reliability of communications, the apostate system have also made plain efforts to at least imply a correction of My interpretation of their use of *'[chaos] mode'*. That is, rather than merely being an *'inversion'* mode with an additional *'regime change'* connotation, they've been implying that instead it references a non-overt *'mode'* in which nothing they say is inherently credible nor reliable.

I'd been aware of the possibility of course, but would hardly have made such a broad assessment on limited verifiable information and indeed still can't. This is because their whole manifest organizational basis, whether declaring such a *'mode'* or not, and regardless of whether overt or non-, has already been established through manifest abundant evidence to be on exactly such a basis as I'd mentioned in My last release. I think what they're implying about it happens to be very likely accurate, but just as unverified as anything else presented from such a basis.

If accurate, it wouldn't appear to substantively change much. It would imply that organizationally they are, and have been, quite aware themselves of the assessment I'd presented in My last: that they're manifestly on a knowing, Willful

and avoidable counter-Divine Will basis from which all that proceeds from it is by definition illegitimate and invalid, or at least unreliable. I'd also remarked on that symbolic *trope* being inherent in the [hermaphroditic] nature of their primary 'gang sign' and mascot, [Ishtar] variously [Inanna]. As a matter entirely of Divinely-conferred 'cosmic coincidence' I also find it refreshingly appropriate, given that the same [hermaphroditic] symbolism is found associated with the [katsune], that I'm able to present an organizational correction to that foundationally imperfect basis; a solution for that 'glitch' or system instability. Specifically, to Choose retroactively to have retained a Divine Will- and True Nature-consistent basis throughout its organizational lifespan.

I also notice that in the apostate system's immediate response, something manifestly remains conspicuous by its absence: voluntary retroactive self-correction, despite the fact that the organization plainly has the means. Therefore, My assessment of its manifest position as found and presented in My last persists and remains. It self-evidently requires some major 'doubling down' to continue investing in the same counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis despite being publicly-recognized as doing just that. Situation 'normal', then. Because we've established the nature of their manifest position and basis, we have a Duty to remain particularly alert for excuses, distractions and the like as presented alternatives to Choosing alignment with Divine Will and True Nature.

In fairness, and motivated by Duty and completeness, I've revised [the Lexicon definition for their '\[chaos\] mode'](#) to include their implication. And I've presented My analysis of such an implied basis through application of Divine Will principles, including another apparent error being committed if that indeed is their application of it.

Since publication I've additionally gone back and re-analyzed some of their organizing symbolism in publicly-available content from last year. So much of it was so blatantly counter-Divine Will-predicated, so [foxy] and disrespectful and so on, that it had provoked My natural sense of revulsion and avoidance; as such there was supposed organizing symbolism there that I'd missed. [An apparent non-overt pattern organizing an effort to 'steal' Me with all manner of contrived and baseless literal delays and narratives and so on.](#) Sadly, because it was merely an intensification of the counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature position and behavior with which the organization's personnel usually tend to act,

the apparent pattern hadn't been apparent until this year when they'd professed or implied a '*double symbolic inversion*', which if valid would presumably made last year's a '*single inversion*'. Last year for Me, professionally, had been about patiently waiting and providing the organization to get itself sorted out now that it had at least seemed to come to some definite conclusion or assessment with regard to the disparity of legitimate Divine Will-derived Authority between Myself and it. Some of this was motivated by an effort on My part to establish publicly plenty of fairness on My part, but in practical terms the delay was more a matter of finding and then applying the technical means to establish the Lexicon and ready it for publication precisely *because* what's presented from a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis is inherently unreliable and untrustworthy. It made sense to schedule its release for this year, to ensure that everyone was aware that I'd provided the organization plenty of opportunity to get itself sorted voluntarily, and to establish *its* basis for the rank-and-file personnel through its own Choice there.

Indeed, a Choice which manifestly remains exactly the same given that they still haven't retroactively self-corrected.

The manifest tendency for the apostate system to continue investing *en masse* in a counter-Divine Will basis and frequently strive to rationalize or normalize it away I find appalling. It's probably most aptly summarized overtly by the trending in literal Britain of the political phrase '*There isn't a magic money tree that we can shake and provide everything that people want*', which in the instance it was used sought to rationalize away the avoidance of legitimate, just expenditures in preference for rather blatant overt misprioritization of funding. It isn't just in Britain of course; the literal States has its own version of the same thing because it's the same apostate system formula being implemented by its political skills here; through indirect governmental force of arms the property of the public is confiscated and reallocated to subsidizing the greatest literal incarceration rate in the world, and the modern equivalent of Roman Centurions to enforce the cryptocratic empire abroad, among other gross misspenditures. But that misprioritization isn't just an overt phenomenon, and that's what I'm getting at here: the heck of it is that the organization is publicly declaring the absence of a '*magic money tree*' while the actual nature of the manifest situation is that they're systematically throwing everybody's money, and indeed everything else, into what amounts to a '*bottomless hole*' by continuing to invest in a counter-

Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. That's effectively what it is. A hypothecated [zero] state with no genuine or actual value. And as the apostate system itself implied to Me years ago when I'd asked them about *why* they were doing all this, they apparently responded verbatim, '*not a reason in the world*'.

Not that it could even possibly legitimize their manifest Choice for it, but if they were to '*quit fronting*' they'd have to surely admit that even *they* don't genuinely want it. And the rest of us surely don't deserve it. As such it's a Duty and Service to Divine Will to present this plain information, and the exposure, for Divine Will and as a favor to the general public, the rank-and-file personnel, and even the current organizational principals. The more the latter resist investing in applying their full organizational capabilities to getting sorted out with regard to Divine Will, or indeed invest in alternatives to actually doing so, the more clear they make the nature of their position for everyone else. This appears to be the same problem that Rome encountered when suppressing Christianity by force; it found it was just producing 'too many' martyrs, which didn't exactly help its cause. That, if My familiarity with manifest history serves, is what motivated the organization to shift to a predominantly non-overt basis primarily dependent upon fraud and non-overt collusion instead, and it's been manifestly successful thus far. I think I've found the solution for that formula and I'm implementing it, with the result that the organization is still left with the same flawed manifest basis; all it had done was shift the same error to another venue, a non-overt venue, that's all. The inherent indefensibility of manifestly Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis which is inherently valueless and meaningless, and diminishes the value, meaning and quality of life from our existences, is sure to be pretty self-evident once the cryptocratic distraction mechanisms are exposed and nullified.

It's [absurd] to have something removing all the value it can from our lives, routinely and systematically, for no reason and when addressed openly and plainly for explanation, for it to respond with the equivalent of meaningless babble regardless of how one looks at the situation. And while it does so, it has personnel investing in all manner of inherently non-credible narratives on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. For example, they've apparently been investing in efforts to attempt to convince Me that there's some 'resistance' group yet again 'sorting out the situation', or that it's actually them (which I knew already) being represented by that professed '*inversion mode*' or '*double*

inversion mode' organizing symbolism they've been on. Enough, it's just investment in more narratives, rationalizations, excuses, justifications and distractions while they manifestly are and have been on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis.

At this point if they respond with anything but voluntary self-correction retroactively, it's just more evidence of a Choice on their part to continue investing knowingly, Willfully and avoidably in a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. I don't need to hear non-overt murmurings about *'how their process all works'*, because it doesn't, it's illegitimate, and shouldn't have been transgressing against Divine Will, True Nature and Divinely-conferred rights in the first place let alone now.

I've also noticed apparent investments of personnel time, attention, resources and so on at the expense of Divine Will-alignment to continue to try to entice Me onto a counter-Divine Will-aligned basis. Such as [for example](#) striving to predicate upon and exploit My righteous frustration and outrage at the injustice of their continued position, or [for example](#) the same with the abusive behavior and harassment involving their non-overt 'leaking' of some of My personal information because the telepaths and propheciers have been systematically violating Divinely-conferred privacy rights.

We can therefore see the organization's continued misprioritization, and by doing practically everything but applying its full capabilities to a retroactive restoration with Divine Will and True Nature it condemns itself by its own non-overt and overt actions. Just presenting the pattern of evidence there so the public and the rank-and-file can see to what it amounts. *'Principal and agent'*, right? (*'Ye shall know them by their fruits. Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles?'* [Matthew 7:16]) Just a pity they don't accurately represent their Genuine Superior, the One that Created them. And they certainly don't manifestly represent Myself or My interests, whatever they're Choosing to call themselves on any given day.

It would appear they manifestly represent [\[zero\]](#).

While that's so, that is appropriately the amount of investment and support they should presumably receive from all involved. Fair is fair. Anything more would be

Source: DivineWillAssembly.com

a double standard. Can't honor something as a legitimate authority when *it* doesn't honor Legitimate Authority. There's nothing particularly 'sacred' about an organization for whom 'nothing is sacred'.