

Lexicon

It's now January and the apostate system manifestly continues transgressing against Divinely-conferred rights systematically and routinely, putting into effect overt continuances of its various campaigns to increase subversion, while remaining on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. It has not yet come into compliance with Divine Will principles and particularly with My interpretation of them, either in the present time nor yet retroactively throughout the last five-plus thousand years of its organizational existence. It has not yet atoned for the encroachments it has manifestly committed, either against Myself or indeed humanity as a whole. It has not yet even approached Me openly, honestly and overtly to resolve these matters, opting instead for apparently seeking to maintain a needlessly-delayed and protracted non-overt conversation with Me, even as it remains on a counter-Divine Will basis which even it has recognized is inherently untrustworthy, unreliable, and unworthy to which to respond.

As such, My first public release of the Lexicon of Babylonian Kabbalist non-overt organizing symbolism has been made available, and readers should find it accessible via a new button at the top of the site. In the absence of a retroactive correction by the apostate system bringing it back into alignment with Divine Will and True Nature I suppose I will have to continue adding to it as time availability reasonably allows, with additional Lexicons to follow on for the various major franchise operations. By definition one cannot *'bank on'* the implied claims of those on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, and as such I would be derelict in My own Divine Will-aligned Duty to passively enable the manifestly lawless behavior of the apostate system waiting for them to come back into compliance with the Absolute Law at their convenience; I have to maintain and uphold My own alignment with Divine Will for everyone's sake, including theirs.

I first openly addressed the apostate system about their routine and systemic transgressions against Divine Will, True Nature and Divinely-conferred rights back in [twenty-fourth]. It would surely have been derelict of Me indeed to indefinitely continue accepting non-overt filibusters from those manifestly on a counter-Divine Will basis rather than strive to bring the situation back into compliance with Divine Will and True Nature, either with them or without them.

I would have preferred a more amicable resolution. It appears we could still have the makings of that. If they do intend to sort things out retroactively, what happens from here on out in the timeline would appear to be of comparatively little significance other than the self-evident. The continued, er, 'success' of the organization from the present on into the literal future in this revision of the timeline should therefore be an incidental matter to it while it has not yet done so. Meanwhile I'd still like to assist them in getting that sorted out, in any fair and reasonable capacity which involves My still retaining alignment with Divine Will and True Nature of course. Given the circumstances, particularly their own manifest basis, My options in that regard have been narrowed and rather limited. But where there are manifest errors, there is an opportunity for diagnostics and correction through constructive, 'good faith' discussion and reasoned discourse, which is just consistent with our Divinely-conferred True Nature.

And with that, let us consider and very carefully evaluate something they've made as an informal, non-overt presentment to Me recently.

'Shlomotion'

In My last I'd briefly mentioned a recent stint in which the apostate system presented a counterfeit parody version of 'their' own Zionist franchise, now established as a supposed 'villain' figure ostensibly countering, within the apostate system, loyalty to My Divine Will-aligned Authority. The mention I'd made of it was how inherently non-credible I'd found it, with perhaps side notes of disappointment at how the apostate system were manifestly still at it, dispensing spurious non-overt narratives which were all inherently non-credible due to the counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis they were on. Since then, they'd apparently sought to clarify, or at least embellish, what they were striving to do with it. Readers should keep firmly in mind as a proviso here, this is all coming as it does from them on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, and as such its inherent, guaranteed-reliable credibility level starts at zilch. A rough translation of what I got was, *'Satori, we're attempting to implement your suggested policy revisions with regard to not [fighting] people. So we're substituting for that a spurious non-overt narrative about some Zionists supposedly 'taking over' the situation as a 'villain' figure instead. As you know, by now that franchise is particularly degraded enough that one of its primary characteristics is how flagrantly its personnel will typically not only throw counter-Divine Will symbolism, but horrendously violent, grotesque, abusive imagery and so on, making the franchise a great illustration of the idea. Because you see, [fight] served a valid need: we needed some means to show the target when they were assessed to have Chosen a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and to respond by decrementing our level of support for them. It was all a proportional response to their own distortion of alignment with Divine Will and True Nature. As such it was a teaching tool, and enabled us to rationalize keeping our support for them and our loyalty to them 'at a distance' by generating a non-overt pretext explaining it all away. So we've got no substitute tool to use for this in the schema that you've suggested; can you help us with that?'*

I mean sure, I... waitasec. This presentment is itself still being made on a counter-Divine Will basis, while they're still out there even in the present implementing more counter-Divine Will stuff and robbing the People and ruining lives with various sociopolitical maneuverings. So it sets off particular concerns given their implied, and still unconvincing, *'sincere misread'* of Me for decades; if I were to

respond constructively to it, would they register that too as My *'buying into a counter-Divine Will basis, expressed non-overtly'* and symbolically *"just spending a lot of time on the [phone]"*? What are they going to 'read', or claim to 'read', as *'doing the right thing'* here? I can hardly assist them or anyone else, at least amicable *with* them rather than *'over'* them, if I'm still getting misconstrued. Alright, let's suppose I disregard it, this latest counter-Divine Will non-overt implied message. Just release the Lexicon and continue to try to sort the situation without them, since they still haven't *'gotten with the program'*. Would *that* be miscategorized as *'needlessly savage and warlike'* by them? In truth, just about anything I do or don't do could be spun as being one thing or being another; I've been manifestly victimized by that precise situation for decades and have come to the conclusion that they're going to do what they're going to do regardless, whatever My Choices. As such, I should quit worrying about the non-overt equivalent of tabloid journalism and just strive to do the right thing regardless.

I'm not *"on the [phone]"*, I'm speaking perfectly openly and publicly and distinctly, and all from a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. *They're not*. Isn't that absurd? All this empire-building, technology, personnel, clout and influence, money in the coffers and they're still reduced to trying to have the equivalent of summit meetings through the non-overt equivalent of interpretive dance, with mutual comprehension happening on a *'catch-as-catch-can'* basis. Do I deserve better? Openness and honesty on a sincere and overt basis? You know it. That what I get has been less than that for years only serves to better-illustrate the disparity of manifest positions between Mine and theirs. Fine. But among the things I'm left without in this situation is the capability to determine whether they are, indeed, left *'stuck'* without a means to get sorted out internally, and leaving anyone to that would be a pretty cheap and tawdry thing for anyone to do. So to My knowledge there's nothing - other than perhaps ["the Ennead"](#) - who'd argue that I shouldn't to My best to equip people to get back into OSHA-compliance with Divine Will and True Nature if indeed they're Willing to do so... and *"the Ennead"* can presumably only assess and evaluate from the basis they're manifestly on and the interpretation of Divine Will principles-compliance they already have. From the organization's manifest behavior that appears to need some upgrades and error-catching, and that's exactly what I can present. So if I deign to entertain the occasional less-than-worthy basis in order to make sure that people aren't *'stuck'* on a counter-Divine Will basis merely for lack of better

options (and incidentally making My case relative to the apostate system all the more solid in the process), so much the better.

Readers should notice though how careful one must be with *anything* presented from a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. In a perfect world of course, we wouldn't be presented with those things, those kinds of situations. There oughta be a Law. (*'Ah wait, there is.'*)

In addition to presenting things on a non-overt basis which is 'void' for reason of being on a counter-Divine Will basis, now it appears the apostate system have manifestly added another wrongity: an additional 'void for vagueness' problem. That is, the 'talking out of both sides of their mouth' thing where they imply in one sense that they're keeping all the rank-and-file personnel who are supposedly opposed to recognizing the transition of apostate system authority over to Me by inventing up a 'villain' Zionist self-parody and citing that it's due to My supposedly having been assessed as having an insufficiently Divine Will-aligned basis Myself, and where they imply in another sense that it's all just dutifully striving to implement My suggested policy corrections and upgrades. For one thing, the whole 'have to keep the insufficiently loyal rank-and-file personnel in a holding pattern' is just as inherently non-credible a narrative as the faux-Zionism-as-a-'villain' narrative is, and for another if they intend to sort things out retroactively the idea of having to wait around and sort things including the rank-and-file personnel out here and now in the present doesn't exactly add up either. So what I'm seeing is just a sort of compounding of errors and invalid Choices here, even as they imply that they're striving to bring the situation into ever-greater alignment with Divine Will principles. That's pretty hinky, and discouraging to encounter. What's more, it appears to be what the organization's geopolitical and journalism crowd have been organizing quite deliberately via the recently-trended term "[inflection point](#)".

Their manifest compounding is that of starting with a counter-Divine Will basis, and then adding on a sort of prevarication within that context. Literal Christianity knows of it as 'the Laodicean error' which literal Jesus condemned as being even worse than a mere counter-Divine Will basis plainly Chosen, and the Babylonian Kabbalists' organizing symbolism depicts it as a common and prevalent strategy referenced by their symbol "palindrome". It's a hustle the organization has been manifestly working for thousands of years and it's no more correct, appropriate,

valid nor appropriate now than it was when they'd started using it. As such they're manifestly not only in default now but continuing to 'play coy', accumulating errors of Divine Will principles in an apparent effort to see just how many of them I'll accept in their implied process of 'sorting things out'. It's beyond wrong, I've told them and the public as much plenty of times, and it directly contravenes My 'start as you mean to go on' policy. The question now becomes not whether it's even remotely acceptable, but rather how much grace and constructiveness I can still manage to provide from My side given the position they've manifestly been Choosing and continuing to Choose. That's voluntary and completely optional on My part, certainly not required by the situation by this point. It's an extra, a bonus, as is even making the effort to try to 'hear them out' by now. But that extra 'give' cannot and should not come at the expense of the fair opportunity of the People themselves to get this thing sorted out regardless of what the apostate system eventually decide to do or not do: there are too many Divinely-conferred rights being manifestly, knowingly, Willfully, avoidably and systematically violated in the interim. So, Lexicon.

But at My option, I can use the situation as a teaching opportunity to show the errors and engineer corrections of the apostate system's positions with regard to Divine Will principles. It would assist the Peoples' comprehension, which they'll need, and ensure that I've left the apostate system a legitimate "out" if ever they decide to stop 'playing coy' and make use of it. We're supposed to be in the business of promoting Divine Will principles and interpreting those and applying it to the situation is My job and ostensibly theirs; even if it were not My job it would still be My Duty, just as it is each of ours. That ought to just come standard.

For clarity, I'll reiterate that while they remain on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis they're representing neither Divine Will nor My interests.

Doesn't mean I can't very actively look for ways to assist everyone involved, if only they'll accept and apply it.

And for those curious, yes. In large part My effort to provide this 'extra' is a deliberate Choice on My part to counter the tremendous intensity of righteous outrage I've acquired as a direct result of what the apostate system has manifestly put Me through already. I have a Duty to Divine Will to apply 'due diligence' to making sure that My personal feelings don't obstruct the

opportunity for everyone to get back into alignment with Divine Will and True Nature, if they will. Something our modern People have prevalently yet to learn is that when trying to sort out a situation, a great place to start is making sure you're neither causing nor perpetuating the problem.

Diagnostics

With the use of [fixblight] as with everything else, when we can see that something's wrong we should evaluate it thoroughly, and then compare and contrast it against [Divine Will principles](#) to see whether what's being done is valid. This is similar to the process the judiciary in the literal States used to do in putting some legislation up against the Constitution in order to determine whether the legislation was either valid or instead 'void ab initio'. Here we can see the [Asian] franchises, which purport to uphold Divine Will, making a systematic policy of collectively manifesting 'disharmonies', or in other words very tangible imperfections, against individuals of interest with an intensity which often drives them to literal suicide as their only accessible respite. This is explained away as an ostensible 'teaching method' for imparting an understanding of Divine Will principles, a very tangible counter-example illustrating what happens when they're not upheld (or sometimes, what happens when the organization[s] interpret or claim to interpret the individual as having not upheld them), and the method is non-overtly rationalized by slipping a [fix] symbol which means 'deceit' (as its main connotation) over an [animal] symbol which means, 'Just disregard all this! We don't actually mean anything by it!' and appears to call it sorted.

Hmm. That Divine Will for perfection, rights and quality of life seems pretty inviolate, doesn't it? A universal constant, an Absolute without which everything becomes meaningless? If this were a Sudoku problem it would have to be one of the pre-given numbers you have to start off with. We're not free to shift it around, not without Divine Will Itself applying its Authority to tell us so. As humans we just plainly lack the Authority necessary to do it. Without that, when it's just top-down human authoritarian oppression of a group of people against a lone individual, violating their Divinely-conferred rights and knowingly, willfully, avoidably and systematically diminishing their Divinely-conferred quality of life, it's just non-overt gang tactics. A modern comparison would be the organized

crime franchise-controlled hyperliberals or the Knights of Malta franchise-controlled pseudo-'conservatives' being deliberately awful and unpleasant *en masse* in order to promote its desired agendas. Nothing particularly Divine Will about that, and indeed a direct transgression against it.

Does adding a 'deceit' symbol help? Does it suddenly give us the wherewithal to transgress the Authority of Divine Will and the Divinely-conferred rights of others? Only if you want a society in which everyone can run around robbing banks at gunpoint and getting away with it because while they were doing so they loudly proclaimed, '*We're only doing this ironically! We don't actually mean it!*', I suppose. Heck, in that kind of a situation even if you later return all the money you've still committed a crime and you're still liable for it. It's Lawlessness.

Does trusting a top-down human organization which is ostensibly purpose-built to uphold Divine Will help? I'm not sure that successfully indemnified the Inquisitions. We can't place our confidence and trust in some human office and use that to outsource our Duty to Divine Will to assess the morality of our behavior, as ultimately it's we ourselves who remain accountable for our participation in it. We've become so used to outsourcing and trusting doctors to tell us how to be healthy, judges, attorneys and legislators to tell us what the laws are and what Divinely-conferred rights we do or don't have, and state enforcers to uphold it all with our tax money, and the apostate system has deftly illustrated for us what happens when we try to pass that individual and collective Duty off onto others: they inevitably loot the family silver. If you trust your doctor and he screws up, it's still you who are tangibly liable for it. He's not the one in the coffin. The apostate system has been great at propagating the mentality that we not only can but should pass along our trust, our Duty to Divine Will, to other humans in established offices, that '*we have people for that*'. And as we've increasingly encountered, they'll incrementally do all our thinking, living and spending for us too. So when you've an organization which purports to have been purpose-built to uphold Divine Will and True Nature and is prevalently, blatantly transgressing against either or both, it takes quite a lot of shirking of our Duty to Divine Will and even to ourselves and each other not to have a rethink about that. And with shirking, as with all of our Choices, it's we who are ultimately liable. They're the principals organizing a counter-Divine Will basis, yet the average moral shirker makes themselves a very liable accomplice to all they enable.

An aside: [Japan]

I have to make a minor digression here that will help clarify this whole thing. As an organization or franchise, the non-overt group traditionally working out of [Asia] and particularly [Japan] have demonstrated via their system of organizing symbolism and their generally better standards of behavior a superior alignment with Divine Will in practice compared to the Babylonian Kabbalists proper and 'their' various franchises, in many respects. [Japan]'s personnel have exhibited a better understanding, comprehension and application of Divine Will principles in their daily lives and practices. Between them both, [Japan]'s is the superior position with regard to Divine Will alignment and exemplification, and there is much to like, respect and even admire with regard to its system.

This doesn't come easily for Me, but there do appear to be Divine Will principles errors built into the [Asian] and even the [Japanese] systems also. The non-overt prevarication between personnel and even the organization's primary human authority figure being Divine Will-aligned or having a counter-Divine Will basis. The systematic deployment of [fxbright] which transgresses against Divinely-conferred rights. Some of the grotesque imagery and symbolism, deployed with apparent disregard to Divine Will. Worship of the [dead]. The application of quite a bit of psionic and literal magical capabilities whose power source is of by now rather suspicious origins as is its Divine Will alignment. And some of its foundational symbolism, which I'll get to in a moment.

The Babylonian Kabbalists appear to have been using [Japan] specifically as a non-overt depiction of their 'contingency' with regard to Divine Will and Myself. The cyberpunk imagery of a techno-oriented future with advertising in [Japanese] seemingly omnipresent, and the organizing symbolism established in the 'vaporwave' aesthetic make the easiest examples of this. And for its part, [Japan] appears to be a subset of the [Asian] category of symbolism which has made quite a number of structural improvements to the thing; their renovations and upgrades have not escaped My notice. But it appears from analysis of the [Asian] organizing symbolism that it and the Babylonian Kabbalists are what might be reasonably described as 'distinct cousins' in terms of origin and basis. And as the best working theory I have from what I've managed to glean is that the Babylonian Kabbalist organization was purpose-built as a sort of human amplifier

for non-corporeal entities operating on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, that's manifestly a problem we must recognize and which we're Duty-bound per Divine Will to address. For example, what is their [sunshine] non-overt symbol if not [Bible] in yet another presentation? What's up with the ancient Babylonian-esque [pyramid] structures and Levcraftian-looking ruins [just off the coast of Yonaguni](#)? Looks to have been some counter-Divine Will idolatry going on there before the place was sunk. That and the careful evaluation of their basis for symbolism does suggest that they're derived from the same original effort, separated, each developed and permuted in their own distinctive ways, and then re-encountered each other again.

When two variants of the same thing re-encounter one another, they presumably have to have some method for establishing which one has the 'right of way'. Best guess, this is what the apostate system's ostensible 'contingency' scheme was, if anything, about. Tangible material widespread gains versus superior alignment with Divine Will. If I were the human principals involved, I might establish a method like that for establishing who had the 'right of way' in order to avoid a figurative collision between them, similar to a mythological 'friendly wager between the gods' decided by the actions of mortals. Select a mortal, equip them with an understanding of Divine Will principles, and let their Choices and actions determine the outcome of the event. Just a surmise, consistent with the pattern of behavior I've been seeing. Ultimately of course there's no making sense of a counter-Divine Will basis in any event; all meaning there is ultimately arbitrary because the positionality is arbitrary by its very nature.

Diagnosics continued

I recently had cause to do some literal diagnostics on My literal computer's operating system, and went through the various system logfiles for the first time in a while scanning for specific problems. I was mildly surprised to find quite a number of various system events logged, mostly warnings, some definite errors, which had been going on for quite some while and which the system hadn't seen fit to notify Me as a user about. When a process is off or in error, we of course need to know about them because the system eventually de-stabilizes if they're not caught and corrected. It's this legitimate need which [fixbug] purports to answer, and the need itself is valid. We've already established that the need

itself, however valid, doesn't grant license to contravene against Divine Will nor to encroach against Divinely-conferred rights. But how effectively does it address and serve the need and, given the dubious origins of the [Asian] systems as with the Babylonian Kabbalist system, has it merely been a pretext to rationalize away the implementation of a counter-Divine Will basis?

Let's suppose a situation in which someone is not only rejecting the professed authority of the Establishment system, but is also interpreted by it as contravening against Divine Will principles. It could be intentional, or inadvertent due to a lack of some understanding. It could even be a misread by the Establishment personnel, a misinterpretation of what they're about and on what basis of principles they're acting, or just possibly an expedient determination by that Establishment to categorically marginalize and persecute someone for rejecting it for what are actually legitimate reasons, even Divine Will principles-oriented reasons.

It's a basic maxim of commercial law that, ['A matter must be expressed to be resolved.'](#) Does [frustration] accomplish this effectively? It certainly complains of a perceived error or offense, and in violating Divinely-conferred rights and diminishing quality of life through persecution and lost opportunities for fair and worthwhile interaction, produces offenses of its own regardless of whether the Establishment's assessment is correct or not. This is commonly termed 'escalation'. It intensifies the problem and disharmonizes, while providing very little in the way of alerting the individual to what, specifically, they're deemed to have done wrong or erred in. It effectively hinders their ability to meaningfully live their life without giving them the ability to recognize and correct the specific perceived error or even speak in the defense of their own position. It's about as relevant and constructive as, in the middle of a mutually-respectful, reasoned debate for one of the participants to suddenly respond with some rather rude interpretive dance. It does not appear to successfully address the need, and it also seems to jettison the Establishment organization's purported cause for action: if the supposed problem is that the individual's behavior or their basis transgresses against Divine Will, the Establishment shows itself to be disinterested in that by transgressing against it itself. A modern prevalent equivalent is the 'social justice warriors' who purport to be out to achieve what they call 'justice', and using that stated basis to rationalize some very blatantly unjust 'direct action'. Or perhaps the 'woke' crowd purporting to be motivated by

'#Tolerance' and '#Diversity' and then gainsaying their own claim to it via their own behavior; it's a self-indictment of their own stated position. That surely isn't what any Divine Will-aligned system genuinely wants, and I think we can and must do better than that.

In being denied the opportunity to recognize and either explain or self-correct their apparent error, the individual is additionally denied their Divinely-conferred right to '*due process*', which is just what is '*due and owing*' to them per Divine Will. Injustice is effectively just one category of manifested imperfection, and Divine Will of course is for perfection and a worthwhile experience for all consciousness. [Asian] systems are in general pretty great at recognizing and prioritizing Divine Will at least in theory, but its track record of acknowledging, recognizing and upholding the Divinely-conferred rights of the individual are evidently lacking and could be improved. For an exaggerated illustration of what happens when it's not, we need look no further than the human insect hive which mainland China has manifestly become; there is some definite room for improvement there with regard to upholding Divine Will principles, not that what the apostate system has degraded the formerly Common Law (or 'Natural Law') countries throughout Europe and the States is necessarily any better; each has been dilapidated and subverted in its own special way.

What that means however is that by examining the fragments of what's left in each of these systems, and by striving to research how they operated prior to much of the degradation and doing so using Divine Will principles as our means of assessing functionality, we can apply systems theory and usually extrapolate from each of them to help us correct for the errors in any one of them. We can figuratively synthesize a compound which is a combination of elements of these systems where they're functional, and use them to replace and deprecate the portions that aren't, resulting in a compound which is a superior implementation to any one of them. Extrapolating for Divine Will functionality there becomes like trying to assemble a whole, complete file from torrent chunks in which each sharing user only has some of them individually, and in which some of those have been corrupted. Scanning the incomplete chunks for corruption becomes essential of course, but provided they're faithful and accurate it hardly matters particularly from which individual user the chunk is shared. Together what they each still retain can be used effectively to reassemble the whole. And what is more, as the original complete file is shared and propagated the system becomes

more resilient to loss on the part of any single user due to data redundancy. This is the inherent advantage to having a parallel system of ground-level users rather than one consolidated top-down authority or distribution system. When something is important a single point of failure is hardly desirable, unless of course control over the system is what's deemed more important than the purpose which it purports to serve.

Having identified needs which the current [flexible] method as manifestly implemented for the past however-many thousands of years does not address and serve, and where it transgresses against Divine Will, do we have any other system present which fulfills those needs? Yes, we do indeed. European Common Law (alias 'Natural Law'), and particularly the variant implemented in the literal States prior to its degradation into Roman Civil 'law', answers and serves them. It expresses matters to resolve them, through a method termed '*Notice and Demand*'. The individual is given notice of what the apparent problem, transgression, debt or whatever is, along with cites of the relevant law regarding the matter involved. Demand is made for them to either correct their action (and if applicable, make compensation for injuries they've caused) or to explain its legitimacy. Reasonable time is allowed for them to do either, and if they respond with counters or queries that time is extended as the debate over the principles involved goes back and forth between the involved parties. This debate over the principles is effectively the essence of the trial itself, and eventually achieves either a mutually-acceptable resolution or gets thrown to a jury who decide the matter by application of Natural Law principles (Divine Will principles, in our situation).

Of course finding a jury living in accordance with Divine Will principles in the first place now takes some doing in our modern, subverted world in which the apostate system has manifestly incrementally shifted the People from their familiarity with them let alone their alignment with them. Again, the importance of ground-level parallel processing rather than a consolidated top-down authoritarian schema becomes vital. In fact this process used to be common knowledge among the People of Europe and the literal States before the apostate system managed to ideologically flummox them about how it was all supposed to work. That we can hardly manage to form a valid jury pool anymore is the direct result of the campaigns manifestly implemented by the apostate system, and itself becomes a self-evident indictment of its own activities and basis: [*No man*](#)

ought to derive any benefit of his own wrong, nor does prevalent non-overtly organized subversion alter in the slightest what is and is not justice or *'due process'*. It merely hinders prevalent recognition of those things, to our collective human detriment.

This formula deliberately refrains from violating the Divinely-conferred rights and quality of life of the accused individual, and makes sure he's equipped to be able to speak on his own behalf and meaningfully, as well as affording him plenty of opportunity to self-correct voluntarily. As we'd established at the onset, either he's doing whatever he's doing intentionally or transgressing inadvertently. If it's intentional, either he has a case or he doesn't. (Come to that, if he's deliberately just being lawless the same applies, he just plainly doesn't, and this becomes obvious). Unless he (or indeed the whole of society) is currently on a continued crime spree in the interim, the matter can be evaluated at length. If they *are* on a crime spree, as is manifestly the case on the part of the apostate system here, they only manage to accomplish their own rather blatant self-indictment and the result of a jury trial is *'an open and shut case'*; the matter can be decided pretty much summarily as the facts of the matter are self-evident. For that *not* to occur becomes plain jural malfeasance and collusion, which is effectively the situation we've manifestly been encountering here. Result: An appeal to a court of greater authority, ultimately Divine Will and penultimately the People overtly as the jurors of *'the court of public opinion'*.

Application

When foundational principles are regarded as having been violated, how does *'Notice and Demand'* work? Is it always implemented by some official legal notice? It is not. Here we have one public example of *'Notice and Demand'* being presented, before the apostate system had managed to thoroughly subvert the People. We can see the apparent errors being made plain, the hypocrisies and inconsistencies being figuratively *'called out'*, and an implicit appeal for those contributing to the injustice to self-correct *voluntarily*.



These foundational principles-based arguments make quite a distinction with the sort of ‘*mass bullying*’ collectivism into which the apostate system has degraded the effort in our era. Campaigns like these present a plain and public notice of the inconsistencies and injustice. Those adopting the offending position are relegated to a few options: they can respond with principles-based counterarguments and refutations, if any. They can voluntarily self-correct their own position, becoming adopters and supporters of the campaign’s efforts and objectives. Or they can recognize their hypocrisies and part in the injustice, shrug and retain their erroneous basis, and disqualify themselves from legitimate adherents to the foundational principles and values upon which the societal organization has been predicated. In that, it’s a bit of a ‘*default process*’.

Respondents either present principles-based counterarguments and refutations,

self-correct, or disqualify themselves as having a publicly-recognized legitimate basis. Whenever it becomes prevalent, the latter is what Christianity refers to as Egyptian or Babylonian captivity; a situation in which the prevalent *'body politic'* has just been degraded into being fundamentally disinterested in justice, fairness, Divinely-conferred rights or indeed Divine Will principles. That comes about as the result of the apostate system's manifest subversion on a counter-Divine Will basis using non-overt methods in abject violation of Divine Will, and not only does, *'No man ought to derive benefit from his own wrong,'* it becomes a self-indictment of the validity of the apostate system's manifest basis plainly recognizable for even "the Ennead". An organization knowingly, Willfully, avoidably and systematically transgressing against Divine Will, True Nature, Divinely-conferred rights and so on through the methodologies of brute force or non-overtly organized treachery is hardly the look which it seeks.

More recently in public history, it has added an additional general response option to the above: propaganda, sophistry, rhetoric and delaying tactics. Those equate to deceit and to *'the Laodicean error'*, and typically represent a Chosen position to attempt to illegitimately gain the support of having adopted a legitimate basis without actually doing so. While some amount of rhetoric makes it unclear whether it was perpetrated knowingly and Willfully or rather as the result of genuine ignorance or incompetence, allowing those latter to be used as a convenient alibi, the result is the same and when the supposed ignorance or incompetence became prevalent as the result of its own subversion and miseducation campaign, we're back to, *'No man ought to derive benefit from his own wrong'* and another self-indictment from the apostate system.

Rhetoric aside, we must consider the broad capabilities of the Babylonian Kabbalists in assessing whether they are in fact utilizing and applying them to self-correct. Literal telephony, the literal internet, e-mail, instant messengers and chats of all kinds, even Skype video conferencing has now become *'a thing'* and yet they remain symbolically [cloaked] in a non-overt basis, leaving symbolic messages in the international 'news', allowing the situation (and the wrongdoing) to manifestly play along day by day while continuing to implement more counter-Divine Will strategies in addition to not correcting the ones they've already enacted, even right here and now in the present. This isn't even factoring in the capabilities of the telepaths and propheciers. With such extensive and prevalent control over the closed-system apparatus of overt media, politics, courts

and so on, if they were to directly and overtly interact with Me, just what specific threat would that represent to the organization? None that I can determine. I could hardly use the Establishment apparatus to effect overt justice; they've co-opted it already. So we manifestly have what plainly appear to be delaying tactics perpetuating a Divinely-conferred rights-violating 'status quo', while they continue to try this and that. Disappointing, unworthy, and de-legitimizing on their own part. (*'Vainly does he who offends against the law, seek the help of the law.'*, Bouvier's, referring to the result of knowingly, Willfully and avoidably de-legitimizing ones' own basis.)

By contrast I make a clear and open public overt presentation of the matter to provide maximal clarity and the opportunity for voluntary correction, if they will. Devising various fraudulent narratives as the apostate system have manifestly been doing would merely be fraud which would de-legitimize My own position just as it compounds the de-legitimization of their own. And it would hardly serve the purpose of constructively resolving manifest Divine Will principles errors and transgressions. I even uphold the maxim that, *'Matters must be expressed to be resolved'*, despite the continued encroachment upon My person by the telepaths and propheciers (in ever-compounding transgression against Divine Will principles), because not only the apostate system but the People themselves deserve the opportunity to witness the trial, learn from the foundational principles the apostate system have removed from them, and determine their own position.

Parallel processing

Despite knowing full well what the apostate system has been saying has been manifestly presented on an illegitimate basis, I've been nevertheless striving to while still firmly recognizing that, provide what might overtly be termed *'tech support'*, to make sure (and to make it very plain to even the 'slowest' among the rank-and-file) that if they remain on that basis, they're making the Choice knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. (*'No one is bound to do what is impossible'*, Bouvier's) The more they manifestly continue with their overt systematic violations of Divinely-conferred rights, and with their non-overtly organized schemes and agendas which do so, and with their abusive 'disrespect' quasi-messaging to or regarding Myself non-overtly, the more they shun that proffered

'tech support': I'm under no obligation beyond 'good faith' to provide it, I certainly don't have to do so under abusive circumstances, and the whole point here is to ensure that their Choice is done knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. When they continue to do those things, let alone not to correct the things they already can, they manifestly establish that for all to see themselves.

So I was mildly surprised to hear them infer what they had regarding a replacement for [flexibility]. For the sake of clarity I should elaborate so we're all familiar with the same context here. If a process or policy serves Divine Will and True Nature without transgressing it, what that specific policy is hardly matters; it's incidental and largely a matter of individual, organizational or regional preference. When however it (or indeed its replacement) is found to transgress against it, it needs to be replaced or revised because it's not *'fit for purpose'*. When it's a Choice between something which transgresses against Divine Will and True Nature and something which doesn't, that is *not* a matter of personal, organizational or regional preference. It becomes a Divine Will imperative to replace the erroneous thing with the one that isn't. Not to do so, or not to apply full capabilities to doing so, is of course the Choice for a counter-Divine Will basis. That for instance is why I've been not finding it easy to reconcile the continued manifest pattern of apostate system behavior, as it still doesn't comport with Divine Will principles and in many cases they're quite well aware of that and have been for decades at least.

Since as long as a policy etc. comports with Divine Will principles, True Nature, Divinely-conferred rights and so on it's merely an incidental matter of preference what specific policy is adopted, which provides for quite a lot of latitude when it comes to policy implementation. And where there's that latitude, there's plenty of room for genuine diversity. A system with many regional franchises needn't adopt one lone totalitarian set of policy implementations; it can establish the recognition of Divine Will principles and Divinely-conferred rights, and leave the regional franchises to uphold them via their own policies which are fine so long as they're within those larger terms. It's sort of the legitimate version of the 'United Nations' 'Human Rights' quasi-legal fraud which counterfeits Divinely-conferred rights for organization-provided benefits and privileges (frequently established by removing chunks from the Divinely-conferred rights of others, and 'giving' them back in the form of benefits and privileges which are subject to being 'revised away' at whim or selectively-enforced). Or like how the overt

United States was ostensibly supposed to behave: the various States had derivative sovereignty under the People, who themselves had derivative sovereignty under God. Each State was like unto its own tiny nation. They confederated into a 'union', and the general (now 'federal') government would keep to within its limited scope of delegated authorities, but it would recognize Divinely-conferred rights officially, and establish the baseline standard with which the rest of the States' individual systems would have to conform. Can't have one State recognizing the Divinely-conferred right not to be enslaved or murdered, and another not recognizing it. Any individual State doesn't want to recognize and uphold a Divinely-conferred right, they can just leave the Union with all the disadvantages that brings along with it.

This obviously hasn't been how it's manifestly, overtly continued due to the interference by the apostate system, but it still presents the conceptual model. If people don't like how things are done in one State they can establish themselves in another whose policies are more to their liking, but they *all* consistently uphold Divinely-conferred rights. In addition to individual freedom of Choice and increased latitude on a regional basis, the formula also provides another improvement: what computing refers to as 'parallel processing'. Each regional nation-state complies with the larger recognition of Divinely-conferred rights, but where broader policy *hasn't* been established it has likely noticed some comparatively minor gaps 'where the rubber meets the road' and must find a local policy solution which satisfies the need, by extrapolating from already-established understanding and application of Divine Will principles. This not only keeps a steady working familiarity with Divine Will principles firmly and continually in the minds of the People at a regional and local level, it results in a situation in which each nation-state is likely to 'try out' its own unique variant of policy-solution. Some will likely work better than others, and in their various implementations their comparative effectiveness or ineffectiveness can be 'proven out', and their vulnerabilities exposed and likely corrected. What the general (or 'federal') system then has is a plethora of alternative options which, if necessary, it can adopt as the general policy. It becomes 'necessary' if some of the solutions *aren't* consistently satisfying the need for alignment with Divine Will principles and Divinely-conferred rights. This also provides the regional policy-makers with the incentive and sense of urgency to correct their policies to make sure they're as functional as they can be, lest their prerogative and latitude be overridden by the official imposition of general policy. 'Use it or lose it', as they say.

It's also very likely that regions originating the superior policies which are later adopted more generally will receive more direct and indirect rewards for so doing. If nothing else, people are more eager to reside in a system which is more proactive and diligent in upholding functionality and Divinely-conferred rights, the major recent exodus from California being a convenient counter-example.

With this proffered formula the whole system is structured in a sort of 'friendly competition' to better-uphold Divinely-conferred rights and comport with Divine Will. Humanity becomes like a sort of 'parallel processor' for continually improving its alignment with it, and what's more the 'top-level' regulatory agency has access to not only plenty of working alternatives whose viability or lack thereof has been 'proven out' through actual practice, but also isn't subjecting everyone to dysfunctional, agenda-motivated or just plain 'off-the-duff' policy decrees whenever. If we were to factor in the retroactive capabilities of the telepaths and propheciers, equipping the system to 'throw found solutions back to be implemented in the past', there would appear to be little to no reason to have anything significantly less than a literally perfect system. That we currently manifestly *do* have significantly less than that becomes a rather blatant self-indictment of the position, and perhaps the counter-Divine Will basis, of the apostate system.

That we manifestly have less than that is plainly evidenced by the brunt of human history and even current events. Every time the officials of a region, or even the franchise practices of non-overt franchises, transgress against Divinely-conferred rights it's a self-indictment of the apostate system. (*'An access[o]ry follows the nature of his principal'; 'He who does not prevent what he can, seems to commit the thing'*, Bouvier's) That kind of thing manifestly abounds: sociopolitical problems in the Middle East, oppression by Russia, mainland China, literal North Korea, unconscionable intensities of political corruption in African countries, and an abandonment of foundational Common Law ('Natural Law') principles both among the public officials and the People themselves among the Common Law countries, with the usual injustices which inevitably result. That's to say nothing of the international economic pilfering, incarceration for victimless non-'crimes' and so on. When the regional agents do these things and the apostate system principal allow it to continue and allow those agents to keep representing them, that's evidence of a plain and rather public Choice on the part of the principal

regardless of how many 'layers deep' in the cryptocracy they've [clo█ked] themselves.

I've sought to make the disparity of Divine Will alignment of positions as clear as possible here, for the People, "the Ennead" and so on, because support ought to be commensurate. That's a perfectly reasonable, common sense expectation. It would seem that when it's not, that's the knowing, Willful and avoidable Choice for a counter-Divine Will basis. Last time I checked, I wasn't doing anything like the kinds of unconscionable things we see even presented routinely by the Establishment's own headlines. I strive to keep what overt law refers to as '[clean hands](#)' precisely to make recognition of the disparity of positions as clear as I can manage for all concerned. When the full support on a legitimate basis manifestly remains lacking, that's on those refusing to provide it and illustrates the Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. I can't do much about that, and the resulting compounding liability, other than to strive to ensure that their Choice is done knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. In the meanwhile I have a Duty per Divine Will to assist the ordinary People, to provide them the opportunity to get free of the organization's violations of their Divinely-conferred rights and to show them how to uphold those. (*'He who spares the guilty, punishes the innocent,'* Bouvier's) Divine Will principles, as the Objective Law, do not suspend themselves and patiently await the pleasure and convenience of those within its jurisdiction while they place other priorities first.

As for specifically *which* policies ought, in My assessment, to be used to replace existing policies, so long as the basics of Divine Will, True Nature, Divinely-conferred rights and so on are figuratively 'covered', the rest is just preference. I'd typically prefer the opportunity to evaluate the options more thoroughly, have dedicated overt 'focus groups' etc. on matters of importance and so on, but under the circumstances in which I still can't [touch] the system that remains unavailable to Me. As a constructive suggestion, the apostate system might indeed find it worthwhile to have personnel on-site and readily accessible who are familiar with the heritage Common Law precepts it has manifestly 'subverted away' from the modern People in order to be able to apply them to interim solutions at need. The content presented by a man called [Brent Allan Winters](#) can provide the basics of a familiarity with Common Law and consonance with the Bible, with a few areas of exception. Most notably, he appears to have '*bought into*' the rhetoric propagated by the apostate system misinterpreting the

Bible as condemning homosexuality for example, and a few other similar areas of modern pseudo-'conservative' ideological rhetoric. With the exception of those areas, we can learn quite a lot from what he presents and particularly with regard to a system of Natural Law which the apostate system manifestly has made prevalently unfamiliar to the modern majority; it's become something of an 'underdog' system of Natural Law, but as we've established, *'No man [or system] ought to profit from its own wrongdoing.'*

Default

Throughout this there have been a number of things which haven't 'added up' to Me, things which are critical to fairly assessing the genuine limitations of the apostate system's manifest position and capabilities, and providing some amount of 'grace' on the basis that *'No one is bound to do what is impossible.'* The seemingly needless delays, the lack of direct and more-immediate overt communication, the continuance of the apostate system on a counter-Divine Will basis which even they clearly-state, their implied *'misread'* of My position despite apparently continually monitoring My slightest thoughts via the telepaths and propheciers, their apparent insistence first on a years-long period of *'assessing Me'* and only then sorting things out *'in the present'* at least for now. I haven't presented these items which don't appear to 'add up' idly; I have what their own systems refer to as *'a need to know'*. Without that information, and without the ability to confirm it, I'm relegated to interpreting them as evidence of the continued knowing, Willful and avoidable Choice on the part of the apostate system for an illegitimate, counter-Divine Will basis and must respond to the situation, per Duty, as such.

Silence, when one has a Duty to speak, is synonymous with fraud and interpreted as such. In at least purporting to exist for the purpose of upholding Divine Will principles, the apostate system has accepted that as its Duty. When it does not do so, it must therefore be responsible for accounting for its lapses so the matter can be resolved. Unless of course it would prefer to abandon its claim to exist for the purpose of upholding Divine Will. To routinely and systematically transgress against Divinely-conferred rights yet *not* make a clear public accounting of its behavior, particularly when publicly confronted about it, is necessarily *malfeasance*. (*'He who does not willingly speak the truth, is a betrayer of the*

truth, Bouvier's, applies to when there's a Duty to do so.) We see the same kind of error overtly when literal United States politicians recently began a trend of *'taking the Fifth'* when confronted regarding their own apparent lawlessness; the Divinely-conferred right referenced by the Fifth Amendment exists for the People in their private capacity, not for officeholders who have committed to operating in a public capacity and to fulfill certain Duties. It's a misapplication of the Fifth to apply it to that context as a means of evading accountability, just as it's a misapplication of a cryptocratic basis to use it to evade accountability for upholding Divine Will. To not meaningfully respond doesn't alleviate the dispute, it merely (as with reasoned debates) 'defaults' on the position by refusing to defend it. Yet the apostate system have been 'defaulting' on their position while still retaining it, the behavior of someone evading accountability to reasoned resolution. *'A matter must be expressed to be resolved,'* but if it's evaded even when it's been expressed that's usually indicative of *'bad faith'* and of Willful misfeasance. It's what the rest of us are left to conclude from the evidence made available. That's how a 'default' process works, and the nice part is that it doesn't require any centralized human authority beyond common sense moral reckoning, neatly avoiding the problem of 'competing' perceived authority figures and loyalties by substituting basic fairness and common sense intrinsic to us all.

Application: jury verdicts

Continuing with our evaluation of a proffered replacement for [fictitious], we encounter and must consider what at least superficially appears to be the same lapse with regard to Divine Will principles that we're trying to alleviate. Specifically, the concept that the Divine Will imperative for perfection, rights and quality of life is a constant we lack the authority to override at whim *'because reasons'*. [Fictitious] as a policy tends to systematically conflict with that, but then by contrast a Common Law-consistent alternative eventually seems to itself whenever a jural verdict is eventually imposed and enforced; an extreme example of this to illustrate the concept would be capital punishment which would appear to violate the Divinely-conferred right to life for instance. We've got a Duty to assess what exactly is going on there. At a glance there'd seem to be little if any meaningful distinction between a centralized, top-down authoritarian figure mandating [fictitious], and a jury presenting a verdict.

Common Law, since it exists to uphold Divinely-conferred rights, requires that in order for there to even be a crime, an 'offense', there must necessarily be a manifest rights violation; what law terminology refers to as '*an injured party*'. If nobody's rights were violated, there's no legit cause for complaint let alone '*just cause*' to interfere with someones' free-will agency. Exceptions do exist; legislation for example has its original and true *raison d'être* in regulating not the People in their private capacity, but rather public servants in their public capacity. They've opted into a system and in that sense they're regulated by it. Another example would be the enforcement of literal, overt corporate policy among its employees. If memory serves, this appears to have been the apostate system's original implied complaint regarding Myself ages ago, when I quietly rejected and sought to '*get around*' its franchise policies regarding keeping recreational substance franchise affiliation supposedly distinct, separate and mutually-exclusive. In that instance, I properly '*took it as read*' that things like legislation and corporate policy are null and void *ab initio* when they'd encroach against God-given rights, because those agencies lack the human authority to override the Divine Will which confers Divinely-conferred rights upon each of us. I also took it as read that this basic concept would've been common public knowledge if not for the knowing, Willful and avoidable subversion campaign being manifestly implemented by the apostate system, though I was not aware at the time that the substance distribution franchises were knowingly-collusive with it. So I'd been operating on a fair basis as best I knew: that someone has established a business model right across where the rights of the People in their private capacity already exist does not legitimize that business model, it makes that business model illegitimate just as literally building on someone elses' property doesn't somehow give the builder ownership of it. Therefore, no perceived '*injured party*' other than Myself and the rest of the People in their private capacity as a result of the overt substance '*Prohibition*' decrees and the subsequent figurative '*obstacle course*' of non-overt preconditions and requirements imposed. In essence that just amounted to extortion, the violation of basic rights unless their own terms and conditions were satisfied, and with all the subversion I'd been left without a means to get that sorted. (*'No one ought to benefit from their own wrong'*) Nevertheless I'd sought to glean enough familiarity with the franchises to present that '*Notice and Demand*' to them in order to get it corrected, until of course the [fxb]ght] etc. interrupted that effort.

So with Common Law there would first have to be an '*injured party*' in order to

take action, and as described sometimes that's violation of internal policy and sometimes not, depending. The reason there must first be an 'injured party' is precisely because humans alone, whether individually, *en masse* or as participants of some hypothetical entity such as a corporation, a government, a non-overtly organized group and so on, themselves lack the Authority and the prerogative of Divine Will. They can only take action against someone when their actions have already transgressed, or appear to have transgressed, against the precepts and prerogatives of Divine Will. Then it becomes their Duty per Divine Will to act on its behalf, as its representatives they are considered to have the derived Authority necessary to do so, and in fact they'd be wrong and disloyal to Divine Will *not* to. And that's the nub here. When a 'crime', a violation of Divinely-conferred rights, or in the context of the apostate system's policies and decrees a violation of its ostensibly Divine Will-derived and -empowered Authority, occurs, it necessarily is a manifest transgression against Divine Will and its representatives must per their Duty respond. The accused is alleged to have done something which puts their free Will agency directly at odds with Divine Will, and the People have got to sort it out. When they do so, they're deemed to be acting in the capacity as representatives of Divine Will, and to retain it there must be a '*presumption of innocence until and unless guilt is proven*', '*due process*' and all the rest of it. '*Due process*' requires among other things that the cause of action must be presented clearly to the accused, that they're provided with the opportunity to meaningfully respond, to present their position to the jury and so on, which was all manifestly lacking in the apostate system's response with Myself. When those things are lacking, the assumed basis as representatives of Divine Will is necessarily lacking there as well. I was under all manner of perceived 'duress', a perception which the apostate system itself knowingly, Willfully, systematically and avoidably propagated ("*[p]*" and "*[dva]*", anyone? Let alone the routine and systematic non-overt reporting all across the media at the time.) Then there was the slow of increasingly-evident encroachments against My own Divinely-conferred rights in the response, from literal stalking to tapping the phones to eavesdropping on My own words and deeds in My own house, including what appeared to be continual visual surveillance and what eventually became recognizable as direct telepathic surveillance which went on for nearly two decades at the least. The more someone encroaches against Divinely-conferred rights, particularly avoidably and without just cause, the less of a compelling argument they're able to make for manifestly having a Divine Will-consistent position, let alone be representatives of it.

This is one reason why having a centralized, top-down human authority with little or no oversight is undesirable from a Divine Will perspective. Yes, a centralized ultimate human authority is needed to keep the internal processes and policies moderated, to ultimately officially decide *yea* or *nay* so that the rank-and-file can remain organized and so on. But without meaningful oversight having one person in that capacity does become something of a bottleneck. Their whole organizational purpose is to interpret Divine Will principles and apply them to the situation and when they do so in a vacuum regardless of how benevolent their intentions and motives (which isn't always a given) policy decrees don't always get the cross-examination and well-rounded perspective that comes with debate and consideration from multiple perspectives. What's more, when the People generally are systematically unequipped with a familiarity with Divine Will principles (as a result of the apostate system's manifest subversion efforts for example), that opportunity for analysis among the rank-and-file to determine whether and to what extent policy aligns with Divine Will principles goes missing. Totalitarianism and cryptocracies tend to result in the egotism and arrogance which comes with an absence of critical analysis and mutually-respectful reasoned debate. That results in an organization not upholding Divine Will principles and indeed routinely and systematically transgressing against them. And *that*, besides the unconscionable detriments it imposes upon humanity as a whole, represents a major organizational liability and security risk to the organization itself: in the apostate system's own terminology, it's '*unsustainable*'. Indeed anything absent a genuine and consistent alignment with Divine Will is.

An organizational schema in which anything less than total deference and sycophancy to the primary human authority figures is categorized as disloyalty tends to result in that: it sets allegiance to the organization at odds with the Divine Will principles upon which that organization purports to be founded. Heck, even overt military personnel are required by law to reject orders from their superiors in authority whenever those orders directly countermand the principles of a Greater Authority. Another overt equivalent is the now-prevalent variety of 'nationalism' in which patriotism is erroneously equated with unflinching support of whatever decrees come out of officeholders and officials of the state, even when those directly transgress against the principles on which that state was founded and purports to be upholding. (*'There is no worse heresy than that the office sanctifies the holder of it.'*, 'Lord' Acton.) That kind of

convention becomes a sort of 'state worship', which sets the organization up in eventual competition with Divine Will itself; that's idolatry, and by definition a counter-Divine Will basis. There are mild, loyal, courteous and indeed appropriately-respectful methods with which to present concerns and objections derived from a basis of adherence to principles, and an organizational policy which 'squashes' those tends to do so at its own detriment. And when it overrides those concerns, requiring personnel to continually defer to a perceived human authority figure, it discourages the prevalent learning and practical application of Divine Will principles among the rank-and-file. For any Divine Will-aligned organization this is counterproductive. And errors and misassessments, whether among the rank-and-file personnel or by the human authority figure themselves, tend to go unresolved and uncorrected. Providing respectful, mild, appropriate, reasoned and principles-based critique can be among some of the most organizationally loyal behaviors to contribute. And the fair, reasoned resolution of same tends to contribute a lot to resolving dissent in the process, which is an advantage for all concerned.

It's these problems which a Common Law jurial process strives to offset. In part through 'redundancy'; that is, rather than having a single lone human authority figure it has twelve or more when it comes to determining guilt or innocence, defining appropriate restitution, and in determining whether there is 'warrant' to even violate someones' Divinely-conferred rights in the interests of upholding Divinely-conferred justice when their Choices have put their own Divinely-conferred rights in conflict with those of others. Members of the jury are pulled from a society in which the basic principles and maxims of upholding, interpreting and applying Divine Will principles to the variety of situations with which life presents us is supposed to be commonplace; we're all supposed to know them, or we'll inflict liabilities upon ourselves for our own actions which violate the Divinely-conferred rights of others. In short we're all supposed to be routinely interpreting Divine Will principles and applying them to our own Choices and lives. And that enables the comprising of a qualified, Divine Will-aligned jury at need. I can't speak to how the apostate system has been manifestly comprising its "Ennead" because that appears to be proprietary information, but it is plain from the organization's manifest activities that it hasn't been Divine Will-compliant. The result does rather tend to be an unqualified jury, whether through unfamiliarity with Divine Will principles or a knowing, Willful and avoidable Choice to transgress against them; I'm left without the means for 'discovery'

necessary to conclusively establish which. But having a People routinely familiarized with Divine Will principles, interpreting and applying them from which to draw a jury pool makes them more competent and more Divine Will-aligned by definition; it does not make any of them the President, nor does it make any of them disloyal to him. The primary human authority figure is tasked with ultimately interpreting and applying Divine Will principles to determine organizational policy. But in truth we should all be doing that for our own lives. For the apostate system to have manifestly suppressed and subverted genuine Common Law in this revision of the timeline, and for it to have kept Divine Will principles which are supposed to be lived out by all a matter instead of proprietary 'trade secrets' has manifestly detrimented both itself as an organization and mankind as a whole.

It seems to Me that the major job description of the primary human authority figure within what we've manifestly come to know of as the apostate system ought to be to interpret, implement and promote Divine Will principles to its own personnel and to mankind generally, equipping them sufficiently enough to eventually make his own position obsolete, or very nearly so. When everyone is a successful interpreter of Divine Will principles there becomes very little necessity for an ultimate human authority figure doing the interpreting. Perhaps occasionally, in emergencies or as sort of a tie-breaker and so on. But as a permanent fixture taking a constant active role as the perceived intermediary between Divine Will and man, it appears to Me that any permanent need for such a position would only logically come from a succession of individuals who were being either incompetent or malevolent with regard to applying and distributing those. I've literally been unable to think of other likely alternatives; perhaps it's just that I'm unfamiliar with the nature of the situations with which the job contends. Or perhaps, as is the current 'working theory', the primary human authority figure within the organization is indeed in service to a counter-Divine Will-predicated group of non-corporeal entities as the Bible claims, and the organization itself has been purpose-built as a human amplifier for their agendas. I'll be quite interested to be able to determine conclusively whether that's so, and that's of course a basic and necessary prerequisite with regard to My ability to accept the job position. 'Due diligence' and all that. I'd hardly be upholding Divine Will alignment if I knowingly, Willfully and avoidably did not require that. If it's so, I'll of course have to defer acceptance until that's corrected.

‘Stretching nothing’

We’ve seen with the intricate schemes of the apostate system they’re able to deprive the public of value through hypothecating a currency which *should* represent actual value, but in practice usually doesn’t. Fractional reserve lending, etc.. If they make it complicated, indirect, convoluted and abstract enough they’ve manifestly demonstrated that they can abscond with the actual, tangible value much of the time and that they’re organizationally quite willing to do so knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. Incidentally, we’ve also seen that Biblically the offense of tampering with systems of weights and measures is decreed a capital offense, and given the results when that isn’t enforced it’s easy to see why. We’ve also seen them use their [change] symbol to ‘abstractify’ or hypothecate a basis in which upholding Divine Will and True Nature in actual practice, the thing for which the organization implies to the rank-and-file that it was purpose-built to accomplish, becomes instead reduced to non-overt ‘talking points’ while the manifest violations of Divinely-conferred rights and transgressions against Divine Will principles and True Nature are organized and implemented in literally every other venue.

We have therefore a broad and solid evidence base to infer that it’s just as likely to be happening here. I’ve addressed the vital need for the organization to correct its manifestly counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis going on a decade ago, and I did so openly, publicly, and overtly. In the interim we’ve encountered them non-overtly (and non-credibly, given the counter-Divine Will basis organizing symbolism they very clearly and systematically put out) that there was a years-long process required within the organization due to existing policy. Then, and only then, once that was implied as having completed, did they then imply the start of a *new* process purporting to effect a transition of recognized authority over to Myself. Meanwhile, the organization continued not only to keep manifestly transgressing against Divinely-conferred rights *en masse*, it still continued declaring a counter-Divine Will basis and even set the rank-and-file to implementing *new* agendas which transgress against Divinely-conferred rights. All, ostensibly, because getting ‘OSHA-compliant’ with the Divine Will principles it was supposed to be upholding all along was ‘*a process*’. As I’ve presented before, neither I nor the People can figuratively ‘eat I.O.U.s’ and the organization’s manifest ‘crime spree’ with regard to encroaching against Divine Will continues

unabated. Remember that per Divine Will principles, they're Absolute Constants and ultimately no excuse is sufficient for transgressing against them. I've been applying *'due diligence'* in an effort to determine whether the apostate system was manifestly doing so knowingly, Willfully and avoidably, because that's just basic fairness, but they haven't been giving Me a whole lot to go on to mitigate their liability. Non-overt implied claims and reassurances presented from a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis which are hardly trustworthy let alone reliable. (*'It's fine, it's all fine,'* they seem to imply, while even the overt headlines exemplify how very *not* fine the situation actually is.) And I'm apparently expected to accept the premise that *'sorting out the rank-and-file of a multinational organization spanning thousands of years is a process which takes time'*. Ordinarily yes, the limitation there would be understandable and presumably unavoidable. But given the capabilities of the telepaths and propheciers, when one has what amounts to a figurative time machine, tardiness just comes off as rather blatant disrespect and disregard for Divine Will principles, True Nature and Divinely-conferred rights. I could hardly interpret it otherwise. Indeed the knowing, Willful and avoidable less than full application of their capabilities to effectively uphold Divine Will principles, True Nature and Divinely-conferred rights does, by definition. And they're very capable and effective when they Choose to be, they've shown that deftly.

Part of My literal patience with them has been the result of a lack of available alternative options, something which I've now been able to rectify. And part of it has come as the result of the very 'duress' which they've manifestly provided themselves: take any action to counter their knowing, Willful, avoidable, systematic and routine transgressions against Divine Will, True Nature and Divinely-conferred rights and their "Ennead" appear to tell the organization including its rank-and-file that I'm *'just being a lawless, savage 'resistance' individual, without a cause or claim to make with regard to Divine Will principles'*. And that gets broadcast throughout the organization *from* a very clearly and explicitly labeled counter-Divine Will basis declaring its inherent non-credibility and unreliability.

I can hardly accept them at their non-overtly implied reassurances when they're presented from a counter-Divine Will basis. *'Due diligence'* I have indeed copiously supplied in fairness to them, but they haven't presented a solid 'case' for their manifestly still being on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis.

It would hardly be proper for Me to accept as credible, testimony from a witness who was never 'sworn in', who was renowned for routinely perpetrating public frauds and swindles no less. Whose very stated counter-Divine Will basis declared that nothing they presented could be regarded as credible. Nor have the apostate system established other means of firmly establishing the validity of their implications; no tangible evidence conclusively proving it. Even when I've openly, publicly alerted them to the fact that, *'Waitasec, this over here definitely doesn't seem to add up. How could that be so?'* they've apparently ignored it. That's the same as not showing up to court; one loses 'by default' by abandoning their own position, they fail through their own Choice not to establish their case.

Is that what humanity seeks with regard to an organization allegedly purpose-built to uphold Divine Will principles and True Nature? It manifestly doesn't, routinely and systematically transgresses against it, then when confronted about it it presents a sequence of *'I'll start tomorrow'* non-overt narratives, and when even *that* blatantly doesn't 'add up' and you present as much, they just kind of look away from you at nothing for a while and don't even respond about it, let alone credibly or meaningfully? I'm fairly confident that isn't what humanity needs. I trust that it's now self-evident to the majority too.

Meanwhile the People generally are still getting literally bombed, enslaved, flummoxed, and defrauded by it all. Their agency to freely act upon the situation is manifestly encroached upon by the results of various routinely-implemented, elaborate schemes which the organization cannot sufficiently justify. The manifest encroachment against their Divinely-conferred rights needs and deserves addressing, the apostate system have made themselves the only human entity logistically capable of addressing it thus establishing a monopoly on their own accountability (they excel at ['regulatory capture'](#)), and then they take unaccountably, improbably long in upholding that accountability. As with overt political protests, protesting the truth only matters when the officeholders care that the People know they're in a position of lawlessness and hypocrisy, and their tendency to functionally care about that tends to be negligible when the only option the People are educated and motivated enough to have available is to wait for the officeholders to enforce accountability upon themselves and each other to restore the situation. In other words, overtly lawlessness of the officeholders tends to thrive when the People either don't know how to be self-reliant enough not to be utterly dependent upon The System to sort itself out if only it will, or

they don't Choose to be. It's pretty overtly evident that 'vote harder' and 'protest harder' are not the solutions to the routine and systematic lawlessness of public officials, and neither is lawlessness on the part of the People either.

I've applied plenty of 'due diligence' to evaluating the apostate system fairly and justly, and given them plenty of opportunity to correct their position with regard to Divine Will principles, True Nature, and Divinely-conferred rights. Readers shouldn't for a moment conflate that with: trusting a counter-Divine Will basis as sincere, trustworthy, reliable or credible, or dependence *upon* the apostate system as the only means of ensuring accountability to Divine Will principles, True Nature, and even the overt law.

There's quite a distinction from striving to fairly hear someone out, giving them the opportunity to state their case, applying 'due diligence' and critical reasoning, and responding as courteously and constructively as one is able, and instead regarding their basis as credible, reliable or trustworthy. I'll provide a very current example of making that distinction, next.

Recent assessment

One of the more recent positions they've been implying, as of December, has been this: To non-overtly imply that they regard Me as having accepted a counter-Divine Will basis, or some other undefined fundamental and significant error with regard to Divine Will principles. And to facilitate this, they've had 'their' personnel follow it up with investments in presenting a non-overt pattern implying, or alluding to, various kinds of disrespect and comparatively mild [f---light] (read: abusive behavior), including more system-wide propagation of incidental (and irrelevant) personal details about Myself which are supposed to be private. **'But don't worry,'** they imply. *'It's not authentic, and we've presented it all in a manner which is readable either as that, or as just part of a dutiful, diligent and loyal effort to 'suss out' those awful, disloyal people out there who'd wrongfully accuse you of all that, so we can shut 'em down and finalize and solidify the recognized transition of apostate system authority over to You.'* Right, a [palindrome]. That's an error of Divine Will principles on their part, wrong of them to do, and if I were to put up with it knowingly, Willfully and avoidably because I expected to gain from it, I'd presumably be accepting a counter-Divine

Will, counter-True Nature basis in return for benefits, privileges, considerations and the like, just as any bottom-rung counter-Divine Will sellout does. As much as I'd ordinarily prefer to extend professional courtesy by allowing others necessary room to sort things out, in a situation like this that wouldn't be sorting things out. It's wrong of them to do, more manifest transgression against Divinely-conferred rights and just Divine Will and True Nature in general, and as I understand it I have a Duty to call attention to the error and object. The alternative would presumably be to become prevalently-regarded as having accepted a counter-Divine Will basis through default (or passive enabling), because, *'He who does not prevent what he can, seems to commit the thing.'* I'd hardly be amicably and constructively assisting everyone, even them, by adopting a counter-Divine Will basis in return for perceived support or likability. Can't pull anyone out of a counter-Divine Will [hole] by crawling into it Myself in an effort to assist them.

Not to worry, this latest implied maneuver from them comes as a permutation of an implied position on their part which was already pretty blatantly non-credible. As stated I've shown them throughout where they've failed to make the case for their apparent position and delays, and unless I've missed something crucial they've declined the opportunity to make it. Therefore not only were the requisite conditions for trust absent due to their plain Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, even the additional opportunity to fairly *'hear out their argument'* had effectively been declined. It made little to no sense to suppose that they'd need to take literally years *'just to make sure!'* I was on a legit Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis, during which they'd continue their figurative 'crime spree'. Once they'd implied they'd established it, *'and now begins the long process of securing the personnel loyalty for the transition!'*, that was manifestly even more [absurd]: personnel loyalty had already been secured as a matter of standard organizational practice, popular motive for objecting to a freer and fairer organizational schema looked pretty unlikely, and the organization's implied 'need' to do everything from the present so it'd take ages was completely unsubstantiated; surely if one has the equivalent of a figurative time machine, major efforts should be reported back into the past so they'll be already ready already here in the present when the results are needed. With a time machine scheduling is a trivial matter and not to do so, let alone to use it as an implied justification for continuing to manifestly transgress against Divine Will and True Nature here in the present, becomes a pretty blatant *'tell'* of knowing,

Willful and avoidable malfeasance.

And the reason for *'hearing them out'*, providing *'due diligence'* despite the fact that they were already clearly proclaiming themselves to be on an inherently non-credible counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis was to establish to My genuine moral satisfaction whether it was indeed being done knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. This then appears to establish that however one looks at it.

As mentioned, to gain public acceptance of legitimacy one must not only be fair, they must also be *seen* to be fair. As a bonus therefore, the apostate system's rank-and-file down to even the 'slowest' individual should be able to recognize the illegitimacy of the position the apostate system has been presenting here: firstly to adopt a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, and then to compound it with investments in not only more systematic abuse, but in systematic prevarication 'layered onto' that counter-Divine Will basis. All while still clearly deploying counter-Divine Will declarator symbols, making sure that all are aware that they've clearly presented their actual position and basis and whoever accepts it has by their own Choice flubbed the *caveat emptor* rule.

Speaking of counter-Divine Will declarator symbols, isn't it curious how they not only haven't de-commissioned those definitions retroactively, they still continue to use them blatantly, routinely, boldly and systematically here in the present. Situation 'normal'; knowing, Willful and avoidable. *'He who does not prevent what he can, seems to commit the thing.'*

And that's just the nub of it, isn't it. Less than complete application of an organization's capabilities to recognize and uphold Divine Will principles, True Nature and Divinely-conferred rights is by definition a counter-Divine Will basis. It's manifestly disloyalty to Divine Will and to Anyone Representing It. It's shirking, malfeasance. ***'Other priorities.'*** *'The Laodicean error.'* [Vacation]. Divine Will principles states that an incomplete manifestation of Divine Will and True Nature are not wholly 'real' and ultimately there's no excuse for it. I prefer to temper that with the Common Law adage that, *'The law requires nothing impossible.'* That is, that it would be fundamentally unjust, and therefore a manifest transgression against Divine Will and True Nature, to knowingly, Willfully and avoidably demand or require something with which it is impossible for someone to comply. The apostate system have plainly illustrated by now that

indeed it is *very* possible for them to comply, much more effectively than they have been, and that instead they're just... not. And since I've presented that openly, publicly, clearly, plainly to them and they've still refused to make a case establishing some validity for their manifest position, the result is that by default they've manifestly established their own knowing, Willful, and quite avoidable Choice for a counter-Divine Will basis.

I'm afraid I'm going to have to reject that per Divine Will as being illegitimate, and for obvious reasons. It would be improper of Me *not* to. I trust that's just as self-evident.

I appreciate that the whole manifest credibility of the organization is figuratively at stake here. I trust I've exemplified clearly through My actions that I don't like to see it impugned needlessly. If I'm lacking requisite information here, something vital, or misunderstood something I've presented My assessment and why, meaning that the apostate system are quite aware of what that is. (*Matters must be expressed to be resolved.*) It equips and enables them to clarify what, if anything, is missing. They'd have to do so on a basis greater than an implied, or non-overt, or even an overt, counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis of course. *'Matters must be expressed to be resolved'* and by definition, a counter-Divine Will basis which is necessarily lacking in sincerity is *not* expressing much of anything reliably. Even their 'reassurance indicators' are only as credible as the basis on which they're presented, and when that's a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis that isn't much. I suppose they could manage to present the evidence for it as an alternative, but there's quite an extent to which evidence is capable of being fabricated by an organization with that much clout if it decides to do so.

Perhaps appropriately given their organizational methods, their basis has manifestly become as hypothecated as their fiat currency... and with almost as much legitimate value.

Love the people, but a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis isn't them. It's effectively by definition *the absence* of them in their true capacity. Not much to love about that.

Mutually-amicable resolution would presumably depend on them investing in the

Choice for Divine Will and True Nature to their full capacity, which naturally would include retroactively.

In the interim, per My Duty to Divine Will principles I'm going to have to re-orient My efforts towards assisting, equipping and legitimately organizing the People in an effort to fairly and justly get this thing manifestly 'shut down', at least in this revision of the timeline. Can't just leave it there for the d...rlings to keep tr...pping over. Wouldn't be right.

To review the organization's manifest position: Its overt basis is lawless, counter-Divine Will, and systematically and routinely fraudulent and rights-violating. Its non-overt basis is likewise illegit, and unworthy to be heard. I made an effort, out of fairness and 'due diligence', to attempt to 'hear them out' in case they had some legitimate case to make or were figuratively 'st...ck' with regard to realigning with Divine Will principles, in part to determine whether they were Choosing a counter-Divine Will basis knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. They don't appear 'st...ck', and have instead intentionally made less than clear their non-overt implications, already on an untrustworthy basis, and it's plain they're manifestly performing well under their own capacity to uphold Divine Will and True Nature. Thus: A knowing, Willful and avoidable Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, which is by definition illegitimate and indef...nsible.

Shortly before the rank-and-file personnel implemented this latest [t...rning a c...rner] non-overt schema, I recall a brief stint they'd done in which they kept referencing "bre...king their prom...se". That of course would've been a [Fid...s]-oriented bit of organizing symbolism in preparation and co-ordination of their current effort, which suggests that it's naturally insincerely-meant. As in, 'they're not **actually** abandoning their commitments and duties, just presenting as doing so non-overtly from an inherently non-credible basis'. I have to gainsay that: they manifestly **have yet to** fulfill their commitments, duties, and even basic Lawfulness with regard to Divine Will, and every moment their systemic rights violations and their resulting liability just compounds. What they *non-overtly purport* to be doing while all that's going on is neither here nor there. Substantively irrelevant, because it's effectively substance-free. If they're going to eschew 'tech support' and diagnostics, and decline to establish a case of mitigating fact...rs or why leniency should be afforded them, I suppose that works for Me. It would be silly to try to *force* someone to speak in their own def...nse.

While the 'Divine Will principles crime spree' continues to persist in this manifest revision of the timeline, we can therefore infer that it's knowing, Willful and avoidable on their part and proceeded to summary judgement and sentencing. *'While there still remains a crime, they haven't sorted out Time,'* or some such adage.

'The denouement'... allegedly

In court the prosecution typically strives to figuratively *'box in'* their opponent: to apply the evidence available, and the principles of law, to firmly and clearly establish for the jury whether that opponent's position has been within the law, or not, and whether lawless action on their part was committed knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. There's definitely been some amount of that here; this has effectively been a trial, in numerous senses of the word. Much of the effort and the literal time it's taken has been in striving to establish to My own satisfaction, and in fairness, whether the apostate system's manifest position has been done knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. That it's outside the Law per Divine Will principles was blatantly plain already.

As I figuratively stand back and look at what the apostate system have non-overtly been presenting, or rather implying, lately, a pattern begins to form with regard to Divine Will principles. They must have known I'd have to reject the [palindrome] position, all the systematic ambiguity, the counter-Divine Will basis on which all the personnel manifestly occupy, and so on. It would appear that the inherent wrongity of their manifest organization, the [absurdity] of it, is their actual intended point all along with it. This appears to be the point at which I pause, nod sagely, gaze off into the distance and say, *'Ahh. I see. You're agreeing with Me, **that's** what you're doing here.'*

But that of course would also be silly, per Divine Will principles. To recognize the problem, the inherent indefensibility of their manifest position, then to imply agreement while still retaining that position and blatantly Working under capacity (in business terms with which they'd be familiar, *'underperforming'*). With regard to Divine Will principles then, we appear to have *'boxed in'*, or narrowed if you like, the situation down to one rather plain and unassuming fact.

While the apostate system manifestly do not uphold Divine Will principles to the utmost of their rather abundant capacity and capabilities, they're Choosing a counter-Divine Will basis which is fundamentally illegitimate and indefensible. And every moment that literally ticks by in which that's what they've manifestly been doing for the last umpty-thousand years, in which *this* has manifestly been the course of human history, and in which we're still familiar with a system of non-overt apostate organizing symbolism in which so many symbols have manifestly been given meanings which hypothecate a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, from the evidence we can know with confidence and with certainty that the organization manifestly continues to knowingly, Willfully and avoidably retain a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature, Divinely-conferred rights violating, unconscionable, illegitimate position which is utterly forfeit.

That certainly alleviates the need for a lot of attempted conversation on their part and *'hearing out'* on Mine, doesn't it.

While they manifestly Choose a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis knowingly, Willfully and avoidably, that's literally 'warring' against... everything legitimate and of genuine value, whether they conduct it overtly through force or non-overtly, or both. That's what their manifestly-continued refusal to apply the full of their capacity to upholding and aligning with Divine Will amounts to.

That's a [hole] I must avoid if I'm going to be in a position capable of hauling anybody else out of it, if only they'll allow Me to.

If or when the apostate system decide to apply the fullest of their capabilities to realigning with a legit, Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis, they know what to do and they're entirely capable of doing it. While they manifestly don't whatever they do, or say, or appear to say, or appear to imply, the position they've manifestly Chosen is 'outlawry' with regard to Divine Will principles.

And yes, the same applies to the non-overtly presented narrative about them supposedly doing *'[chaos] mode'* with an additional *'inversion'* because they're supposedly trying to get the situation under control but just lack sufficient capabilities to. It's an inherently non-credible narrative coming off an inherently unreliable counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. They're quite

obviously capable of getting it resolved retroactively, given the ease with which they've been retroactively editing the media content I watch in order to add all manner of non-overt 'messaging' symbolism to it. I can therefore only conclude that this is a knowing, Willful and avoidable Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, with whatever narratives bilited on to rationalize it. Insist on alignment with Divine Will and True Nature, and get counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature and systematic Divinely-conferred rights violations instead. I'm fairly certain we don't need an elaborate multinational cryptocracy spanning millinnia to provide that; any common ordinary crime syndicate or street gang provides the same thing, if without so many implied rationalizations and excuses for it.

I'd established I was striving to resolve this with them in the venue of Common Law, alias Natural Law, in accordance with Divine Will principles. Part of that requires determining whether their manifestly Lawless position was being Chosen by them knowingly, Willfully and avoidably because, *'The law (or fairness) does not require the impossible'* and neither does Divine Will and our Divinely-conferred True Nature. We've established that it's entirely within their organizational means. I was also without a means to address the situation Myself *without* them, since they've manifestly usurped and subverted away practically all the human agency of any effect. Having sought, found and then applied the technology to establish the Lexicon, now comes the Common Law concept of *'hue and cry'*, in which when lawlessness is encountered it becomes ones' Lawful Duty to do everything they can to stop it, and if they're unable they have the Duty to *'raise hue and cry'* so that *everyone else who hears it* now has the Duty to Work together to shut it down. If they don't, that's lapse of Duty and the Choice for a blatantly counter-Divine Will position on their part, knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. It's the same for Myself, for the apostate system, for the rank-and-file personnel, for the common man. With a less-than-complete Choice to uphold a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis, per Divine Will principles they Choose to adopt a position which is less than 'real', less than valid, legit, true. Their own position, by their own Choice, becomes invalid, illegitimate, untrustworthy, wrong, functionally useless, and as with outlawry any claims they have become forfeit within the system they've spurned and rejected.

It's been quite an interesting and frustrating job to establish for not only Myself but the rank-and-file and the public whether the manifest position of the apostate

system was being Chosen knowingly, Willfully and avoidably, when all they'd present was overt systematic violations of Divinely-conferred rights, and non-overt implications from the same inherently-void counter-Divine Will basis. I'm able to establish now, on the evidence available, that they've manifestly Chosen a position analogous to 'outlawry' or '*caput lupinum*'. That was a sort of '*legal non-personage*' status, decreed in British Common Law by magistrates as just one notch shy of capital punishment. In the American Common Law adaptation, individuals were free to '*opt out*' of man's system of laws voluntarily because it would be improper and unjust for them to be constrained to the authority of other men against their will. They were free to do as they liked, provided they didn't transgress against the Divinely-conferred rights of others. If they did, their neighbors would recognize their Choice to '*opt out*' of man's system of laws and revert back to that area of Natural Law known as '*the law of the wild*', in which animals do not afford each other fair trials, and would accumulate a bounty to rid society of the dangerous creature out of plain and basic civic Duty, just as they would with any rodent consuming their supplies or any wolf prowling nearby and aggressing against the People for its own agendas. Here, the apostate system's manifest Choice for 'outlawry', to '*opt out*' of maintaining basic Lawfulness with regard to Divine Will principles, appears to have been very much its own knowing, Willful and avoidable Choice, with however much non-overtly implied non-credible narratives attempting to rationalize it away. All I'm doing is recognizing that Choice on their part, and explaining it patiently and clearly.

Nor does it mean I'm going to suddenly '*go postal*', the property and even the very lives of its members forfeit per Divine Will outlawry. Divine Will principles and the interests of fairness and justice having been satisfied, I'd be completely within My Divinely-conferred rights, Authority and indeed Duty to do so. By now the apostate system's broad encroachment and ideological subversion have made that unfeasible, and any 'resisting' force which failed to appreciate, recognize and uphold the basics of Divine Will and Natural Law principles of fairness would by definition necessarily fall victim to the infiltration, subversion, co-option and usurpation strategies the apostate system has manifestly *always* deployed. Those are both instances in which the principle that '*No man (or group) ought to derive any benefit of his own wrong*' applies, yet the apostate system manifestly do (short-term benefit, that is) precisely because they've usurped so much human agency as the result of the counter-Divine Will basis they've manifestly adopted. That is, their counter-Divine Will basis has become so compounded that about all

I'm left with is *'hue and cry'* and public education *to eventually get* the People familiarized enough with both Divine Will principles and the apostate system that they can *someday* implement justice fairly and replace it with a functional, Divine Will-aligned system and basis. And that too comes as the results of the Choices of the apostate system, made knowingly, Willfully and avoidably. Indeed, quite strategically.

But it cannot truly change human nature, even if it has developed methods to defend humans to it temporarily. People will still continue to desire value, to demand freedom, regardless of however many layers of miseducation and propaganda with which the apostate system has conditioned them. I'll have to appeal to that, and every moment the apostate system decline to have applied their full capabilities to having gotten themselves sorted out with regard to aligning with Divine Will, True Nature and upholding Divinely-conferred rights, their own Chosen position announces to all the world including the rank-and-file just what their basis and nature is regardless of *how* many 'layers' of franchises and organizing symbolism they've manifestly generated.

As far as I can determine, both I and they have manifestly made our respective cases through our Choices, priorities and behavior.

Mine for Divine Will, True Nature, and Divinely-conferred rights.

Theirs manifestly for an invalid, hypothecated counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, knowingly, Willfully and avoidably.

From the verifiable evidence presented, they appear to have *'lost their case'*. Because the knowing, Willful and avoidable manifest Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis is an invalid, hypothecated position which has no *'case'* at all.

- January 7th, [2023]

Redistribute freely, keeping the text as-is.

Social media:

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Discord](#) (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

My exposure site:

[Divine Will Assembly](#)