

The Certainty Principal

Excellent news.

No, the apostate system hasn't manifestly retroactively corrected the timeline yet. That *would* be excellent news.

So passingly interesting news, I suppose.

Specifically, that while manifestly continuing to invest themselves in a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis they've been making all manner of efforts and gyrations to intimate to Me that they do intend to retro-sort the situation. Eventually. And that they never genuinely wanted it in the first place.

This I knew already of course, given the drastic contrast between the manifest timeline and their Divinely-conferred True Nature.

Part of all this has been the effort on their part to present to Me plenty of manifest evidence that what's happened here is a timeline they've schismed deliberately onto a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, and when someone learns Divine Will principles enough to assume primary human office within the apostate system they're shown that the schismed timeline was, per Divine Will principles, impossible *ab initio*. It all gets a little esoteric and, given figurative time travel, a little science fiction-y past a certain point. Showing them this appears to be to what their "[Horus] loses an eye" symbolism is about when he assumes office. *'All imperfection is impossible, despite the empty-thousand years of manifest evidence we've been producing to the contrary.'* Yes. It is.

But to shorten a long story, their current implied rationalization for all this is that the apostate system uses this as a standard proprietary method, skewing off the timeline onto a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and then relying on the retroactive restoration capabilities furnished via the telepaths and propheciers to eventually put it right again. About as credible and worthwhile as any other rationalization for manifest imperfection committed knowingly, Willfully

and avoidably I suppose.

Spontaneously [Exploding] Babylon

Amid the various adjectives with which Babylon has traditionally been associated, spontaneously [exploding] hasn't been one of them. We can I suppose attribute this to the unfamiliarity with the overt crowds with Babylon's own symbolism. Because what we'd at least appear to have here is a system in which it has implemented timelines which come in two 'flavors', to which I suppose we can assign the conveniently short terms 'Prime' and 'Schism' and between which it apparently vacillates. In 'Prime', they're on a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis as they should be. Then, at least by an abundance of manifest evidence, they alternate to shifting to a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis which produces a 'Schism' timeline until retroactively corrected with the eventual reassertion of Divine Will by an outsider who then becomes the next officeholder, upon which the telepaths and propheciers dutifully retro-sort the situation per the new officeholder's Will. Until eventually it's time to do the whole process all over again.

But that would be silly, of course. It would posit a situation in which the, er, 'vacating' incumbent gave orders to shift to a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis which was against their own Divinely-conferred True Nature and for which they'd by definition have no motive. And it would rely upon the rank and file personnel dutifully obliging him on said basis, for which *they'd* have no motive and which'd be a manifest transgression against Divine Will the whole time it was manifestly happening.

Such a quantum-y '*two-state solution*' would be absurd for a start, not to mention criminal in a Divine Will venue. And residents of Babylon not to mention the People generally whom their activities detriment have, per Divine Will, a very natural and sound reason to expect for the place to continue from one moment to the next without [exploding] into a 'Schism' timeline of wretchedness, oppression and lawlessness only to have all the bits pieced back together retroactively so that it can happen all over again later.

The premise has Divine Will, it's got counter-Divine Will, it's seemingly managed

to figuratively cover all the bases. Sounds about like modern ecumenism, in which everybody's favorite agendas and talking points are each lauded in sequence in order to establish 'unity', while the foundational principles are jettisoned because *'those are divisive and therefore inconvenient to our agendas'*. The inevitable result is one broad, conglomerated flustercluck which is severely dysfunctional because it's been jettisoning the foundational principles just as fast as it could manage, and so the whole system becomes 'unsustainable' and disappointingly blasphemous while it does persist.

That's obviously sub-optimal. What's missing, then? What's manifestly lacking which would guarantee everyone affected by it that the place wouldn't [explode] from one moment to the next? An inability for it to happen and then subsequently get retro-sorted.

When I'd established with the telepaths and propheciers, and then publicly, My preconditions for acceptance of the organization I'd been addressing some rather obvious manifest errors and how to retro-avoid them, and then reiterated that I would've needed them, the whole organization, to have been on a Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis consistently throughout. And this is true. What I hadn't been aware of at that juncture was that the current counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature 'Schism' timeline had been committed to knowingly, Willfully and avoidably.

That would mean that the Choice for Divine Will and True Nature had been made incompletely. This error is symbolized in the Babylonian lingo by the color [pink], an admixture of [red] and [white] whose result by definition isn't [white]. One can't only uphold Divine Will on the figurative equivalent of alternate Thursdays and have that be a Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis, particularly if they're Working in contravention of them on the other days.

As such, there has to be some accountability for the practice in the 'Prime' timeline even once the 'Schism' aberration has been sorted. Consider the manifest time and effort which has been invested into this 'Schism' timeline. By so many people, for so long, and ostensibly under the auspices of a commitment on the part of the apostate system to eventually retro-sort it. I can't think of anything less which would've successfully obtained and retained personnel loyalty throughout it all, certainly, so that's just common sense reasoning via the logistics

involved.

That amount of effort invested and expended into manifesting a 'Schism' timeline has got to have a proportional counter-effect. It doesn't just fizzle out when the state changes back to 'Prime'. Why? Because the Choice for Divine Will is still incomplete, and therefore in truth absent, while such a policy remains that an officeholder could or would decree a shift back to 'Schism' and for the rank and file to dutifully resume stamping like figurative lemmings off that metaphysical cliff all over again. In Christian terms, the Redemption hasn't been accepted because the Choice for Repentance is not complete; even genuine contrition is absent, given that they're apparently perfectly Willing to do it all over again.

'I have only seen two miracles in my life, nuclear fission and compound interest.'
- A. Einstein

Therefore even when the retro-sorting happens, all the pieces of the 'Schism' are put back together and everyone is manifestly back in 'Prime' again, it remains the same Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. It's just that the tangible evidence has been swept under the rug, that's all. The core problem, the error, remains and that's what I'm here to sort out. Because given the force invested to manifesting the 'Schism' timeline, the proportional 'kickback' or 'boomerang' force is going to be tremendous and incalculable even back in 'Prime'. This is because it's not the manifest timeline which determines alignment with Divine Will and True Nature; it's just the resultant, if that. The alignment is determined by the Choice for Divine Will and True Nature.

***'Do not be deceived: God is not mocked,
for whatever one sows, that will he also reap...'***

- [Galatians 6:7]

That 'kickback' force represents metaphysical liability for the Choice, even temporarily and with retro-sorting, to [explode] against Divine Will. That would make the figurative 'time machine' of the telepaths and propheciers into a sort of counter-Divine Will 'crime machine'. The same blasphemously casual mindset that would, if it could, record God on Tivv and watch Him later when it's more convenient for *them*. And in short, that's neither Divine Will nor their own

Divinely-conferred True Nature. What would be? A 'No W■y, No D■y' policy, obviously. That a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis wouldn't be acceptable even for a moment, or in some kind of a 'blooper r■l' timeline they'd intend to sort out retroactively later.

Back in a restored 'Prime' timeline, where would all that accountability presumably l■nd? Upon whoever Chose it; the principal first, then onto the secondaries, then onto everyone who'd knowingly, Willfully and avoidably Chosen to glom onto it amidst the 'Schism'. This is because every moment's a Choice, and that Choice isn't deferrable merely because some hypothetical creature like a non-overtly organized system has declared Divine Will principles to have been temporarily suspended to await their ple■sure and convenience. As a necessarily counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis such a declaration is about as reliable and credible as any others proliferating from it.

As such, I'd need to establish an additional requirement for acceptance of the office and even that doesn't appear to be sufficient. I'd need a comprehensive report presented immediately upon getting into the office and kept available, describing who'd 'hypothetically' ordered the 'Schism' to veer off from 'Prime', the extent of the investments into said counter-Divine Will basis, the extent of the manifest dam■ges, how far it'd manifestly proliferated and for how long. Heck, given the capabilities of the telepaths and propheciers they could've had the whole thing adjudicated in advance and merely waited for the moment such a decree was 'hypothetically' given to make the impeachment, arrest, indictment and execution of sentence, along with having a sufficiently Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned understudy ready to replace the incumbent at the time.

The problem there is that a 'No W■y, No D■y' policy should've been a given from the organization at the onset. They know Divine Will principles; this is nothing new. They certainly don't need Me to tell 'em this is [absurd] and never should've manifestly happened. Such a policy doesn't manifestly appear to have been in effect of course, and even retro-sorting wouldn't have made it already present before they'd retro-sorted it, meaning that we'd still have the problem of this eventually-displaced 'Schism' timeline and the 'k■ckb■ck' from it with which to contend. And with an organization in which perceived human authority figures are dutifully obeyed even when their decrees and agendas directly contravene against the foundation of Divine Will-alignment from which they derive their

authority, the result is that policy shifts tend to be revocable with every new officeholder leaving an organization which still cannot commit to a stable and 'sustainable' Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned, -affirming and -upholding basis. That's worthless to Me.

See, all this comes as just another sort of attempted 'package deal' being presented to Me. *'You'll put up with all this through extreme 'duress', then we'll revise the timeline and it won't have happened, and then you'll accept the office when we've finally restored to you some amount of agency, and then you'll have accepted the stuff we did and then retro-sorted which will put you on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis just like all the rest of them. Take a seat in the back.'* As the old jape goes, *'We've already established what sort of person you are, now we're just dickering about your price.'* There's one born every minute, I hear.

Overt established legal precedent is curiously silent on the matter of using what amounts to time travel abilities to effect a major 'crime spree' with apparent impunity because it's later 'undone' retroactively. We can likely attribute this to the apostate system's keeping this proprietary method "close to their chest". And at first it would seem that in a situation in which no Divinely-conferred rights were manifestly violated, there's no manifest crime to be adjudicated. But there are situations in which 'attempted' crimes are stopped before they're committed, and the intention to commit them is still prosecutable. It also finds that when some legislation or other is eventually found by a court to be void for unconstitutionality or for otherwise exceeding authority, it's deemed to have been null and void not from the moment it was so officially found, but rather *ab initio*, from the moment pun was ever set to paper on the thing. This is because every single, individual member of the People is assumed to know and recognize when something violates Divinely-conferred rights, because they themselves are individually liable when they operate on a basis of 'color of law' which incites them to violate those rights. Here, the apostate systems ostensible whole *raison d'être* is to teach, promote and uphold Divine Will among the People, so the apparent recurrence of situations however retro-sorted in which the personnel stampede like lemmings off a figurative cliff whenever so directed by the organization clearly shows it to be routinely ineffective at serving that purpose regardless of who's in office. And Common Law also tells us in the context of liability that, *'He who does not prevent what he can, seems to commit the thing.'*

A fair summation I'd have to say.

So from here it looks to Me like the apostate system is left [holding] a [chain], that is, a long sequence of [zoores] symbolically representing this 'Schism' timeline, with at the other end either nothing or some large and rather disturbing creature, that is, creation of its manifest act of Will. It would apparently like Me to take custody of the thing, but of course I can't [touch] it. They're willing to transmute the current shape and configuration of the [chain] but not its basic substance, and if I [touch] it while they haven't I'll presumably be deemed to have opted into their [zoo] basis from which I too would be unable to sort the thing. Therefore, it looks like from here like I'll be unable to assume office even with a retro-sort.

What a pity, right? How unfair. To have gone through all this needlessly, Myself, all of us, only to be unable to accept it once they're finally done with their errant behavior. Let's consider though what's needed in this situation: They need to see what the error re: Divine Will principles is so they can voluntarily sort it internally. They don't need Me in office to sort that. And My motive here? Same as it's always been: to get the encroachment out of My life and everybody else's, and get the 'whatever' that'd been 'dumbing down' the People and making them systematically dysfunctional (which was eventually found to be the apostate system) shut down or sorted out. The office itself is just the tangible trinket; if I keep Divine Will alignment and can also accomplish those things *sans* taking office, great. I can retroactively get on with My life and in place of the apostate system we'd've had a Divine Will-upholding system instead, now new and improved because a major fundamental, critical error had been corrected within it. Everybody gets what they want, with the exception perhaps of the current and prior incumbents who either knew or should have known Divine Will principles better than to hypothecate the acceptability of a suspension of Divine Will principles on the basis of some psychic contraption empowered by who-knows-what. Spoiler: If it whispers to you that it's just fine to disregard Divine Will and True Nature for some reason, it's probably not [whate].

And on that matter of the Bible's claim that the whole organization is just a human amplifier purpose-built for inciting humanity onto a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, even if it were so it wouldn't fundamentally alter the formula which has been at work here. All it would do is shift the accountability

and the decision-making one level 'up the stack' to a non-human who was Divinely-Created and who'd be knowingly, Willfully and avoidably rejecting their own Divinely-Conferred True Nature and genuine motives. Human [seizure], non-human [seizure], it amounts to the same thing. Sometimes the specifics are just the incidentals.

That about addresses the basics here. Where does that manifestly leave us? For the duration the continuing basis on which the apostate system even now continues to Choose and invest itself in is null and void *ab initio*, meaning I'll still have to be doing the Lexicon, etc. and it looks unlikely I'll be able to, need or even particular desire to assume office after a retro-sorting. The apostate system has non-overtly announced itself on an inherently non-credible and unreliable basis to all, and will have to do the retroactive sorting regardless. Getting back onto a Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis retroactively would presumably also have to preclude generating spurious hypothetical counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature 'Schism' timelines knowingly, Willfully and avoidably or they'd just be *'going through the motions'* and still on a [zero] basis. Their organizing symbolism implies that they'll take the rest of this year, perhaps to the end of the next as well, before implementing the retro-sorting, but again it's hardly reliable. And they never had any legitimate, genuine nor sincere basis to generate the 'Schism' timeline in the first place, so there isn't any need for it and indeed there never was. As for their manifestly-continuing investment into it, the figurative *'meter's still running'* on all that. And they're manifestly *'a long way from home'*.

Which reminds Me of a few assistive odds and ends I can present to readers, who are not only the public but also the apostate system. [Travel] as a symbol has been used for many things in many senses, but they generally use it to represent an 'effort in process', the occult concept of bringing a situation into manifestation. Interestingly, it also used to represent *'departure from the law'*, and the resulting *'outlawry'* which compelled criminals to keep traveling from area to area lest they get caught. Perhaps that's why the literal deceased used to be referred to as *'the departed'*. Indeed, we find many symbols like [death], [slip], [red] representing 'sin' and 'sacrifice', and so on being routinely used by the apostate system in doing what they manifestly do when the pattern instead appears to represent indicators of the kinds of penalties those committing them would have to encounter. No, the ruleset appears to have been there all along, they just manifestly haven't been enforcing it. And that's a Choice.

By contrast our modern word, 'law' derives from the old Germanic word 'logh', meaning something that's heavy, weighted, fixed in position, impossible to move. It's from which we get the term, 'waterlogged'. So that's useful to know; whenever we hear the apostate system use the [travel] symbol, I'm reminded that they're just manifestly still investing themselves (and everybody's resources of course) into lawlessness.

Similarly a court judgement used to be referred to as a 'dorm'. Of course, the counter-Divine Will sense of dread has given that word likely too much of a counter-Divine Will connotation to be appropriately used in our era. But our modern concept of a 'jury' used to be an old Middle English word 'thyng' or 'thing' derived from the ancient Germanic 'bing', meaning 'assembly, council, business', and that word could presumably be used for non-overt organizing purposes if needed. Not sure how much help it'd be in this timeline when the parent group's null and void and the whole thing needs to be corrected for there to be any meaningful achievement, but I thought I'd share it regardless. 'Thing' is an easy word to deploy casually, and for the average modern person it doesn't have much of an intrinsic meaning at all.

'Here I stand, I can do no other'

The manifest intensity of the investment in, and the results of, the apostate system's Choice for a temporary 'Schism' timeline is so overwhelming and unconscionable that it's in a sense too much for the mind to calculate and we have a very natural tendency to overgeneralize it. So to keep the matter in scope, let's consider for a moment specific kinds of events and individual cases.

Every major war, every man-made atrocity for a start. The most reprehensible events, still reviled even now and so awful both overtly and non- that I should refrain to specify them for reason of propriety, came as the results of the apostate system's Choice for a 'Schism' timeline on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. And despite the time of their manifest occurrence having now passed, Common Law maxims remind us that, 'He who does not prevent what he can, appears to commit the thing.' That means that even now, the same Choice which brought on the events, that same Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-

True Nature basis, is being made by the apostate system. Whether the headlines resulting at the moment are mild or not (and they're usually not), their manifest investment in that unconscionable, lawless position continues and the liability compounds.

Even the indirect economic effects alone are worthy of our consideration. Easily over three-fourths of the world's population manifestly live in the Third World, in continually desperate circumstances in which they have no meaningful agency, their basic needs are continually unfulfilled and they subsist in misery until it takes their lives. The remaining comparatively scant few in the 'developed' countries have it little better. First there are the literal casualties of wars. Then there is the mass systematized infanticide the apostate system have manifestly rationalized and normalized: in the literal States alone, more human lives are taken *sans* crime or trial from abortions than from all the wars the nation has ever been in, combined. Many spend the majority of their lives imprisoned for victimless non-crimes, and this is blithely subsidized by imposing the financial burden on the taxpayers, violating their Divinely-conferred rights and making them accomplices in the persecution of their neighbors in their active enabling and their passive in their failure to 'fix the system'. Much of that is mitigated because their agency has been systematically impaired through all the apostate system's propaganda, misinformation, miseducation and strategy-based, non-overtly organized incremental ideological subversion until the majority have next to no sociopolitical agency because they not only lack acceptance for or recognition and familiarity with Divine Will, they don't even know how Divinely-conferred rights, genuine law or limited government by consent are supposed to work, let alone how to uphold it. Even if they did their substance is robbed systematically through the apostate system's collusion and hypothecated currency system until there's practically nothing left, except of course if one happens to be one of the few among the few, the moneyed 'elites' who became and remain so due to (counter)exemplary collusion and complicity with the apostate system's manifestly counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and agendas. The ideological and sociopolitical degradation has become so intense that even basic parenting has lapsed, and many grow up with little to no life skills and see nothing worth living for but a drug habit and lifelong fealty and service to the franchises which provide them with their metaphorical security blankets. And while we're at it, spare a thought for the Divinely-Created literal animals pulled into this whole equation, what there are left of them. They didn't have anything to do with this,

but we can console ourselves with the thought that their *'habitat loss'* (read: theft) has manifestly been offset by the concoction of some of the most unconscionable, hopeless and inhumane factory farming conditions ever devised. It's been said that the civilization level of a society can be judged by what it's willing to do to the most vulnerable, and animals would have to be on or near the top of that list. What the apostate system is Willing to do, even 'revocably', to animals it's shown it's Willing to do to humans, and the various Inquisitions, oppressive regimes and even the current headlines about the various bombed-out little countries prove that out.

The basic cultures and societies of indigenous Peoples the world over can apparently also take a flying leap, and that's not even the ultra-slim minority of individuals throughout the timeline who've been equipped to know enough to recognize even some facets of the problem and to contend against them. Particularly since I've mentioned the concept of 'law' as being depicted as something being *'stationary, fixed in place'* and resisting all efforts to budge from that position, Martin Luther as a particular example naturally comes to mind. He and his associates managed to translate the Bible into the native language which allowed his countrymen and neighbors to read it, and see for themselves the major, critical incongruencies between what it presented and what Rome, the apostate system's primary 'crowd control' franchise, had been doing. He affirmed and asserted the former, lawfully, peaceably, but vitally. He even managed to use the recent invention of the printing press to get the information out, and make the brutally-enforced decrees against Bible ownership by non-clergy (where those 'caught' would have their lives, their land and all their property taken by the puppet states working for Rome) effectively unenforceable. But since the apostate system were manifestly on a 'Schism' timeline, he was given the option to either 'recant' his Choice for Divine Will or be decreed a 'heretic' and murdered for it. He couldn't know the workings of the apostate system, nor why they were on the nonsensical basis they manifestly are. All he could presumably see was that the people around him were behaving insanely, unconscionably, at total odds with both Divine Will and with their own Divinely-conferred True Nature.

[His response](#) at the 'Diet of Worms' certainly was something to hear. In over-brief summary his position was, *'Here I stand; I can do no other.'* Even at the risk of his life, he couldn't bring himself to even verbally reject Divine Will for

expediency, even if he could have convinced himself that he retained his sincere values and priorities inside himself for the rest of his natural life. It would have been unthinkable for him.

And that's what I'm getting at here. For an organization to have a policy whereby it can 'spin off' a 'Schism' of a timeline even temporarily, where an official decrees it and the rank and file personnel obediently implement it if only temporarily, to be 'revised' later retroactively, would represent a major, vital, fundamental and critical lapse of alignment with Divine Will principles and True Nature even on a 'Prime' timeline. If as Christianity summarizes its basic requirements an allegiance to Divine Will can be oversimplified as 'Love God', and 'Love your neighbor', regarding it as acceptable to implement a 'Schism' timeline even temporarily is a massive lapse of both. No sane person (in a Divine Will principles and True Nature context, that is) would even seriously contemplate it let alone give the order, let alone have it obeyed. Even temporarily, with an eventual 'undo' proviso attached.

Even in a 'Prime' timeline, having that open as an implementable option would mean that the apostate system's human principal, let alone the rank and file, manifestly weren't half the man that Martin Luther manifestly was.

And for an organization which is ostensibly purpose-built for propagating and upholding Divine Will principles and True Nature they really should be, don't you think?

Even under extreme 'duress', Mr. Luther upheld Duty to Divine Will even when he didn't 'have to'. Apostate system back in 'Prime' had no apparent 'duress' yet apparently think it's a viable option to decide, '*Here I stand, I can do other though if I like.*' Not just the principal, but the rank and file personnel right along with them.

Therefore it would hardly seem to matter whether they're on a 'Prime' or a 'Schism' timeline at the moment; it's a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis either way.

They've recently, manifestly put so much effort into directing My attention to the quantum-y nature of those timelines (rather than retro-sort things, even now),

yet the distinction hardly seems to matter quite honestly. They appear to've wanted to see whether I'd buy into the fact that they've got a Divinely-conferred True Nature after all they've manifestly done. I not only know the fact that they do so well that I recognize that this 'Schism' timeline was absurd from the start, but that even the 'Prime' timeline still has them on an invalid basis. Due to how Divine Will principles operate I can see that from here.

It's genuine Love for Divine Will and for neighbor which appears to have been manifestly lacking, even in that 'Prime' timeline enough to Choose the temporary 'Schism'. There's no 'rules lawyering' that away with the Divine; alignment with it isn't some kind of 'Hokky Pokky' that one can knowingly, Willfully and avoidably Choose, then un-Choose, then re-Choose, when one has the ability to have averted their lapse all along. It'd be like saying they can be one kind of person in one geographic area, but then totally the opposite in another, and then just commute back and forth between them at whim. That's no more a Choice for alignment with Divine Will and True Nature than [red] admixed with [white] gives you [white].

Nor does their [blue], '*just a joke, not seriously meant*' categorization rationalize it away. Suppose there's the most unconscionable, grotesque, demeaning, graphic, degrading, soul-killing literal joke. It's guaranteed completely inappropriate for any setting anywhere, it takes entirely too long to set up and goes on unendurably long before it ever gets to the punchline. It could be funny, or perhaps not. But even if it is, is it even worth telling? And if it meant chucking all your foundational principles in order to do it, how could you ever?

And even if you did, perhaps worse... what if your friends actually laughed at it? That'd be pretty disappointing. You'd then have to go out and find all new friends.

So even on a 'Prime' timeline, a propensity to knowingly, Willfully and avoidably generate a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature-predicated 'Schism' timeline is hardly proof that '*the system works*'. What if anything then is it even worth?

While researching media instances for adding the apostate system's 'contingencies' scheme to the Lexicon, I dutifully went through and rewatched '*Mrs. Doubtfire*', as the title alone plus much of the major memorable symbols

made it pretty obvious what it was made to non-overtly organize. It also declares itself to be on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis (as apparently is all the media, manifestly), but that manifestly hasn't stopped them from organizing the rank and file personnel on that basis and it's that on which I had a Duty to gather the manifest evidence. And while I was studying the organizing symbolism, patterns, usage etc. about them having manifestly set up for the alternating 'contingencies', something in particular stood out to Me. It's near the end at [Bridges] [restaurant] when he's to-ing and fro-ing between the two scenarios representing the 'contingencies', and he's finding minus, or a conveniently-placed waiter, to [hide] behind and particularly his [face] so his family doesn't recognize him. And I thought to Myself, *'Yeah, that's pretty much what it's been for them in manifestly prevaricating between the 'contingencies', hasn't it?'* It had certainly come off to Me as disrespectful when I'd become aware of their having implemented the scheme. It was mitigated though by the fact that, sure, in wanting to select the best 'contingency' for all concerned they'd necessarily have to know whether an ostensible successor authority figure was in fact genuinely, manifestly Divine Will-aligned. That's not exactly great, but it'd be a certain amount of understandable if we're fair here.

But unlike with the 'contingencies' scheme, to prevaricate between knowingly, Willfully and avoidably Choosing a supposedly Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis with a 'Prime' timeline, and a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis on a 'Schism' timeline, is the same kind of disrespect not to Myself, but to Divine Will Itself. Only cosmically moreso, given the sheer intensity and magnitude of investment into the latter for umpty-thousand years.

The position of uncertainty involved is the Choice for a rejection of the Certainty Principal. The Divine, Whose ruleset is a constant in every tiniest corner of space, every fractional instant of time. Which one can't 'get around' even by temporarily "going [sideways]" into a 'Schism' timeline, nor would anyone in genuine alignment with Divine Will and True Nature ever knowingly, Willfully and avoidably Choose to.

Per the organizing symbolism in the piece, it's at least implied that both the manifest semi-recent awfulness of the apostate system's behavior was intentionally implemented for the 'contingencies', and that the 'Schism' timeline was also being intentionally done, supposedly as a sort of 'resignation' on the part

of the incumbent authority figure. That of course is as inherently unreliable as anything else that's presented on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, and would necessarily be a departure from the context of gathering evidence about directives given to the rank and file personnel for the 'contingencies' scheme. In trying to establish a genuine or sufficient motive for skewing off this 'Schism' timeline, it hardly seems itself sufficient to explain it. But I mention it because what the effect instead appears to have been is to conclusively discredit not only the position of the incumbent but the whole personnel roster, and the organization itself, whether on this 'Schism' or back on the 'Prime' timeline. 'Ewps.'

So where does this appear to leave us now, whether in 'Schism' or 'Prime'? My current assessment is that if even if they corrected the knowing, Willful and avoidable error for potentiating 'Schisms' from 'Prime', if I accepted the office I'd still have to spend the brunt of My administration raking them over the coals, possibly literally, as punishment for their Choice to invest in a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. Talk about a job turning you into something you don't like, right? If we've identified that the fundamental error is Lawlessness to Divine Will and neighbor we've got to keep clear not only of investing in the organization which makes it, but also in committing the same error ourselves. One doesn't solve a problem by multiplying it, any more than overt political corruption is solved with lawlessness. Therefore '*divesting from Babylon*' continues to represent the voice of sanity, as does investing to the best of ones' ability in a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned position, which necessarily includes overt exposure and restoration and application of the overt Common Law. In sum, and barring or pending immediate internal apostate system retro-sorting or Divine Will Intervention directly, precisely what I've been presenting for years now with '[A Better Solution](#)'. It's a bit silly to have personnel non-overtly purporting to represent My interests and Divine Will while remaining on a non-overt, counter-Divine Will basis, leaving Myself and precious few others openly expose the situation and its counter-Divine Will basis while these guys manifestly continue to actively and passively enable it via their overt silence about it. And that's not even getting into those personnel who have the capability to retro-sort it yet still have not.

In other news it appears we manifestly finally have something interesting and worthwhile coming, from all places, out of Davos. The apostate system's own

public technological development, apparently as part of their 'limited exposure' 'technocracy phobia' campaign, have produced [this](#): brainwave-scanning technology which is capable of determining whether someone recognizes a given thing: a face, a password, [a PIN number](#)... or indeed Babylonian Kabbalist organizing symbolism messaging. The potential judicial application of this for detecting and proving collusion with the Babylonian Kabbalists is certainly noteworthy. Heck, by now one could show them a photo of My own face and if they recognized that, we could determine whether they were among the telepaths and propheciers. Whether through Biblical prophecy or technological innovation, it's clear we're manifestly getting to the end of '*business as usual*' for the apostate system in any event.

It also suggests how the method for the telepathic and prophetic encroachment against My thoughts could've been implemented. If thoughts can be interpreted by manmade technology decoding electrical signals via brainwaves, presumably non-incarnate 'spirit entities' could do the same even more easily. They could superimpose undetectably, receive and interpret the information and transmit to each other remotely and through them, to select apostate system personnel. And hey presto, 'psionic capabilities'. Ditto '*remote viewing*', '*astral projection*' and the like. The evidence doesn't exactly suggest encouraging power sources for the capabilities of the telepaths and propheciers, not that even they'd necessarily be '*in the know*' about how the mechanism itself actually worked.

But it is encouraging to consider that if the People managed to get the Common Law courts and juries restored, we're finally at the technological level where complicity with treasonous and subversive organized criminal conspiracies can be scientifically proven for those juries. We finally have the means to shut all this down for keeps, if only the People can get themselves sorted despite the barrage of systematic public fraud narratives and rhetoric coming at them from the apostate system disrupting their critical thinking faculties.

['Like a thief in the night'](#)

A faithful reader I mentor who also proofreads these pieces mentioned an interesting concept to Me the other day. We'd been discussing the Biblical 'End Times' prophecies and how '*no man knoweth the day or the hour*', and they'd

asked a rather salient question. *'They better do what they need before they somehow don't see right before Judgment. Could God stop them from seeing it?'* In a hypothetical situation in which their 'psionic abilities' are instead furnished by non-incarnate entities predicated on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, that's certainly feasible. More interestingly, if their ability to 'retro-sort' the 'Schism' back into the 'Prime' timeline were suddenly and abruptly 'powered down' without notice, that would leave them effectively stranded in a 'Schism' timeline of their own Choice and making. Not entirely unjustly, either. Wasn't it a counter-Divine Will entity, allegedly, which had opened its sales pitch to mankind with all kinds of spurious rationalizations for why Divine Will didn't actually have to be obeyed? Mankind heeded that at their own peril. If a thing is powering a capability it's certainly free to stop doing so at the figurative flick of a switch, isn't that right? And if anyone knows that power sources can't always be relied upon and can become destabilized as part of a grander strategic design, it'd be the apostate system. It's a method which 'their own' middle-rung personnel manifestly use themselves. Interesting, that. One has to ask oneself from where that concept originated, particularly given that a counter-Divine Will basis has got to be the most inherently unreliable power source of them all.

That's the thing about multi-layered cryptocratic systems in which vital information is withheld from the public as proprietary knowledge: they tend to induce an overabundance of arrogance by their adherents. You try to talk sense to their personnel throughout but they just won't heed you, because they're just *sure* they know better and that there are plenty of reasons why they don't need to correct their errant behavior right here and now.

Of course when someone has already Chosen not to, just about any rationalization will do.

They don't tell you what their privately-held rationalizations are from the start, of course. They just blithely continue to act upon them, denying you the opportunity to evaluate and correct it. (*'Matters must be expressed to be resolved.'*) Wait, wasn't it an apostate system formula to consolidate overt political clout to a closed, centralized small hierarchy of kings, nobility and bureaucrats who could be easily-controlled, and have the general public routinely outsource all the responsibilities to them? That enabled the apostate system to control various ' *puppet governments*' easily, and having a closed circle of

puppets overtly implementing their directives meant that it was not only cost-effective, but also that control was easily-managed. So hypothecating a worthless, criminal, counter-Divine Will 'Schism' timeline and then relying on the figurative power source of the telepaths' and propheciers' capabilities to put it all back on-demand has everyone delegating their Duty to uphold Divine Will to those higher and higher up the command structure, which is ultimately reliant upon those capabilities, which could easily be the result of a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. Isn't that what we've seen, with the 'early adopters' of the organization's subversion efforts getting 'paid off' with metaphysical 'favours' and benefits provided by 'spirit entities', and then later adopters getting merely financial boons and so on? A purpose-built amplifier system from 'spirit entities' on a counter-Divine Will basis, on down through the humans.

In trying to get Me to accept that basis they need someone else to get left 'holding the bag'. A 'Prime' timeline in which the organization, at whim or decree, is already on such an inherently counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis that it's quite willing to skew off a 'Schism' timeline and all the suffering that brings with it. I'm not editing that, it's a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis.

It's also preposterous. An overt equivalent is when the news reports on the nurses' and teachers' strike or somesuch, and the political shills respond that, 'All options are on the [table] right now.' That's not only uninformative, it's a little unsettling. I'm no politician Myself but I'd prefer to suppose that we can easily rule out, say, a tactical air strike on Bromley or plugging the British pound to the market value of cobalt as viable response options. To not be able to immediately and automatically rule out at least *some* options tends to risk making officials present as a bit incompetent.

For those readers who are familiar with [Asian] organizing symbolism, I advise them to consider very carefully the symbol used to reference maintaining organizational cohesion, particularly when the organization has been operating on a less than benevolent and Divine Will-aligned basis. And to recall what I'd said in My last about the likelihood of the [Asian] symbolism likely having derived from that of the Babylonian Kabbalists. There it is, right there, and I certainly didn't put it there. Interesting, that.

I've also noticed the apostate system appearing to present a non-overt trend of likening the [sideways] formula involved here to other things, such as [blue] or to the *'we wouldn't ordinarily do this sort of thing'* as when personnel of the organized crime and Knights of Malta franchises use a special 'mode' of symbolism when they're out to partake of recreational substances of the other franchise, which aren't openly supposed to be 'allowed' for them, yet which are quietly deemed permissible. The comparisons appear to be plain implementation of *'the false equivalence fallacy'*. To say that skewing off a 'Schism' timeline of thousands of years of unconscionable misery on a counter-Divine Will basis is equivalent to keeping some of the rules of a human organization in abeyance in order to express the Divinely-conferred True Nature of humans for literal joy or freedom is a mismatch. Same with equating it with the *'contingencies'* scheme for the reason I'd described above: not being sure about an individual's Divine Will alignment is one thing, but knowingly, Willfully and avoidably vacillating on upholding Divine Will principles themselves is outlawry with regards to Divine Will and True Nature.

In attempting to process the situation and glimpse this literal mystery, I keep coming back to the metaphysical part of the situation. In part because it's the area in which I have the least verifiable data, and in part because I've the Duty to Divine Will for 'due diligence' and caution, particularly with an organization which has a Scripturally-condemned basis and a policy to this day of *'consume what's proffered on our basis and we've got you'*, not entirely distinct from that of Christianity's primary antagonist figure. Let's see, the organization systematically and deliberately skews off a massive fiasco of a 'Schism' timeline but appears quite Willing to use 'psionic' capabilities, powered by who-knows-what, and various other metaphysical clout (ditto) to put it all back according to the spec authorized by a new office acceptant as part of their commitment to assume office. That could easily be a method to establish the acceptance of a counter-Divine Will basis via the *'benefits, privileges and considerations' motif*. (And get a load of their Mr. Zelenskyy out there, on an international begging mission for support from the apostate system on its counter-Divine Will basis to counter a situation the apostate system manifested needlessly itself. Convenient, no?) If that's so, and it would be tremendously irresponsible of Me to just rule it out, it would be the means by which the organization gains the buy-in of each officeholder in succession and what perpetuates the counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis the organization has been remaining on whether in 'Schism' or

'Prime'. I'd definitely have to break that circle, and I'd have to Choose to remain on a Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis in any event. It would appear that I'm underequipped to give orders or make commitments in this situation, or even accurately assess it, and information supplied by an organization which is on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis (even via 'psionics') is by definition unverifiable not to mention suspect in the extreme.

Nor does considering the potential for the organization and its mojo being furnished by a non-incarnate 'spirit entity' on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis contravene that *'The Divine Wills for a perfect state'* principle. As I'd mentioned earlier, all that would do is shift the origin of the Choice for rejection of Divine Will and True Nature *'up the stack'* by one; that entity or entities would still be rejecting their own Divinely-conferred True Nature, with the usual resulting internal incongruencies. But in refusing to accept the primary human office in a human amplifier system built for it I'd certainly be throwing a spanner into the scheme, and doing so in service to Divine Will and to humanity, perhaps others too. Just by insisting on doing the right thing, consistently.

You see, if the Bible's claims are correct we don't have much time left in the game here. And if Divine Will principles are correct it would finally manage to explain a credible motive, at least to some extent, for its antagonist figure's rebellion. Said rebellion would of course defy its own Divinely-conferred True Nature, and therefore not be sincerely and genuinely desired. What a profoundly unhappy camper it must be. But in doing so it apparently did manage to get quite a lot of buy-in, from both other non-incarnate entities and from broad swaths of humanity at large. Most of that was done in an organized, top-down manner implemented by the apostate system itself, a system which now relies upon 'psionic capabilities' likely powered by it and reliant upon it in order to do the usual 'retro-sorting' of the timeline, back in to a seemingly-acceptable 'Prime' version in which as I've shown the fundamental Choice nevertheless remains the same. The propensity for the 'psionic' capability for that 'retro-sorting' on which the whole formula relies to be rather easily *powered down* would leave those who've bought into it in a manifest fiasco 'Schism' timeline of their own Choosing and making, which accurately represents the counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and plainly leaves them *'holding the bag'* for all to see, right as we go into the Judgement.

That, and the dissonance between the Christian antagonist's manifest position and his Divinely-conferred True Nature genuine interests, causes Me to suspect that he's very likely a double-agent. 'A narc,' in a sense. Working for Divine Will. Symbolically, isn't the ancient definition of the [serpent] that of a teacher? And didn't the entity rather conveniently present itself as a supposedly viable alternative to Choosing Divine Will? Gosh, if only we could detect in advance what the object lesson there would be and how it could likely be implemented. And have some idea of what the literal body count would be.

It's not entrapment if it's Free Will, a Choice made knowingly, Willfully and avoidably, with plenty of 'thinking time', relevant information and grace supplied.

This could be the apostate system's last 'Prime' to 'Schism' back to 'Prime' chance to get it right, given the timing. I thought they deserved, or at least ought to have regardless, the opportunity to see what I'm seeing here on this and correct their Choice before that happens, with or without Me. I can't see Myself accepting the office now whether in 'Schism' or 'Prime', considering. In terms of morality and metaphysics and Divine Will and compounded liability it would be like asking Me to walk directly into a nuclear blast. They just don't make [screen] with that kind of figurative SPF, not [brand]-name at any rate.

Nor does considering the likelihood of the scenario mean I accept an imperfect state. Presumably things would still get retro-sorted, just by Divine Will directly rather than via some counter-Divine Will entity and organization on a conditional, extortionate basis. Divine Will hardly wants imperfection. Whether those Choosing a counter-Divine Will basis get any better than the non-existence with which it is metaphysically synonymous, I'll leave as an exercise for the reader.

I thought it fair, and that Divine Will would think it fair, that those involved get the opportunity even now to consider the formula and repent.

Divine Will *is* a constant, that's a certainty. That's why we should Choose in alignment with it constantly.

It's the Choices and principals that matter. The manifest results are incidental, and follow on from those.

Therefore if we want genuinely worthwhile manifest results, we Choose rightly. And we get to encounter those genuinely worthwhile manifest results to whatever extent that we *do* Choose rightly.

We can Choose Divine Will, and trust the Divine to look out for the tangible resultants and manifest outcomes Itself.

We cannot rely on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis for a hickin' thing. And for those who are not Choosing to align themselves with Divine Will and True Nature on a consistent basis, all the attempted micro-managing of the specific manifest outcomes and details won't help them get what they genuinely want. It's a baseless currency which has been hyperinflating and by now at the very brink of collapse.

I should probably add of course that a counter-Divine Will 'double agent' wouldn't have a legitimate position as per Divine Will regardless, as there is no such thing in a Divine Will schema as '*a necessary evil*'. It too would be Loveless, if not lack of Love for Divine Will strictly, then Love for neighbor. Something can be technically and legitimately fair, but still lacking in that Love which is only part of our Divinely-conferred True Nature. Even so, perhaps particularly with that so, we should naturally of course make sure we're fully divested from its counter-Divine Will position. '*A necessary evil*' would be like '*a necessary blasphemy*', wholly unnecessary and repugnant to the whole of Creation, not to mention to the Divine and to our True Nature.

It's a bit like that non-'restoration' back to 'Prime': everything appears to be in order, until we examine the basis a little closer. We then find that a counter-Divine Will or counter-True Nature basis is *sans* validity and there's no reason or need for it *ab initio*. All the more reason to make sure we keep clear of it.

Other than that, the apostate system manifestly doesn't appear to have been up to much recently. Proliferating non-overtly implied disrespect while still on '[chaos] mode', blurring the non-overtly professed loyalty and disloyalty throughout the rank and file personnel, feigning as though it's going to implement the 'ALIENS!' 'contingency' (which appears to have been organized a little earlier on per the "Virgin" 'anomaly' news item), and having the personnel imply, '*Just let us know what you want us to do, sire!*' while still manifestly

retaining a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and gradually intensifying the implied disrespect which appears to be starting to gradually tend into full-on “donk[redacted]y mode”, as in when they decide to behave like the closest thing to perfect ‘donk[redacted]ys’ we get this side of Perfection at least. All of it seems rather [small] and minor by comparison, quite honestly. Still on an inherently non-credible and unreliable non-overt basis, and overtly still behaving like a small child with what’s now termed a ‘*behavioral disorder*’ from an illegitimate and lawless overt basis. Situation ‘normal’, and of course the figurative ‘*meter’s still r[redacted]nning*’. They appear to have manifestly pa[redacted]nted themselves into a c[redacted]rner as I’ve been describing, and how they’re going to sort that out is certainly going to be interesting to see. I’m not inherently opposed to paranormal methods, but it would be irresponsible of anyone to knowingly, Willfully and avoidably neglect to establish with complete certainty that the figurative p[redacted]wer source from which they’re derived isn’t from a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. And how to achieve total certainty on a thing like that remains quite an interesting matter. While it hasn’t been established that a thing is on a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis, placing bl[redacted]nd trust in it would seem rather misplaced.

And if total certainty could not be established, presumably such methods would have to be eschewed if one, and indeed many, were to Choose a legitimate Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. It wouldn’t necessarily be particularly comfortable or convenient given how figuratively deep the apostate system has by now manifestly become, yet it’s still feasible. Theoretically, a non-incarnate ‘spir[redacted]t entity’ to human amplifier system could presumably exert its influence in either dir[redacted]ction, not entirely unlike what Rome had encountered first with Christianity, then Protestantism and European Common Law advocacy. As we’ve seen it can be rather a lot for a system to contend with, particularly when as the organizational methods have demonstrated the metaphys[redacted]cs of the situation are not provided with unlimited force. Even if it were, Choosing to align with and uphold Divine Will and True Nature regardless of the manifest consequences would seem to be the only legitimate thing to do. Not to do so would seem to be ‘che[redacted]ting’, attempting to get preferable manifest circumstances at ‘*easy terms and reasonable rates*’ (read: from ‘loan sh[redacted]rks’). Nor would it seem to be particularly insurmo[redacted]ntable, given that such entities themselves would have per their own Divinely-Created True Nature a total absence, despite appearances, of any sincere motive to Choose a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis in the first place. As we know per Divine Will principles that their manifest position must

necessarily be a force, why 'buy into' it in the first place? Were it Me, I think I'd do what I've been doing throughout here with the apostate system more generally: keeping to the best of My ability a clear, distinct, legitimate position quite separate from all that, and let them publicly [shame] themselves for as long as they manifestly insist upon balking and investing in an illegitimate basis. It's an indefensible position, and one which is mutually-exclusive with the whole foundational principles built into Creation. Oughtn't take much to knock over such a flimsy position as that; I'm mildly curious whether any human principal of the apostate system has even tried.

- [February 14th, 2023]

Redistribute freely, keeping the text as-is.

Social media:

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Discord](#) (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

My exposure site:

[Divine Will Assembly](#)