

'A dollar a year'

More evidence and more Divine Will principles evaluation this week, as the apostate system manifestly continues blithely in "[Chaos] mode" on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. The first piece of evidence is that, sincere or not, they've manifestly been 'simulcasting' the organizing symbolism of [infinity] in both the literal past and present, via 'Money Nothing' and personnel murmuring. Typically it's ostensibly a 'group affiliation' symbol of a supposed 'resistance' effort, which of course is actually apostate system-controlled and -organized. It could also be a counter-Divine Will or counter-True Nature declarator symbol, I'm not sure. It certainly references a less-than-ideal, undesirable state of affairs. But with specific relevance to their whole [kitsune] schtick I've manifestly encountered it before used as a reference to organize an intensification of their [foxblog] antics, with the apparent rationalization that it had been supposedly I who'd been mounting 'resistance' campaigns using underhanded methods to 'fight' the apostate system. Of course what I'd been doing instead was applying Divine Will principles and basic fairness principles in an effort to resolve or counter their counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature activities legitimately and fairly to the best of My ability, but those on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis tend to deploy whatever rationalizations for unjust and valueless behavior they can. And per Duty to Divine Will and True Nature, My best understanding is that everyone has an intrinsic Duty to counter that sort of thing. (Common Law: *'He who does not prevent what he can, appears to commit the thing.'*) It would presumably be discrediting if they didn't, let alone actively participated in it. That knowing, willful and avoidable counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature behavior is by definition unlawful per Divine Will principles is self-evident, and we've manifestly been encountering quite a bit of it recently. And the recent news coverage regarding various major [fires] and (likely engineered) "record-breaking heat" seems to also be consistent with that apparent agenda.

"Dreer Straights"

I'd discussed the current actors' and writers' strike in My last, and 'of course' it's still in process and making the news. I tend to listen to something called 'The Skwer' from Britain, an apostate system-designed 'remix of the news' oriented at the parts of the demographic who are more than usually dissatisfied with the overt political situation. Consistent through it is the apostate system's organizing symbolism (definitely including the program's name itself), and while some of it I agree with, others I don't, and much or all of it is also being presented as more overt propaganda and hype, it does facilitate a familiarity with whatever the organization is on about at the moment and it's varyingly overtly entertaining. Overtly quite innovative, if somewhat hit-or-miss. At any rate the current episode (season nine, episode five) had sampled the well-known 'Money for Nothing' by 'Dre Straights', which naturally caught My attention due not only to the decade involved but also because of how apparently well it gets at the situation with not just the overt strikes (applicable to the overt management there just as much as to the talent and writers) but the manifest position of the apostate system as a whole.

I've been trying to assist it in realigning with a Divine Will- and True Nature-basis only to have it figuratively and symbolically "blinding out" on Me rather disappointingly instead. So as I'm processing this stuff of course I'm looking for the best remedies of it I can easily find to help while I'm contemplating and evaluating. When I do so I find that, hmm, despite having been put out in the middle of the Eighties it's nevertheless quite deftly using the organizing symbolism of My "House" which hadn't been established until at least thirty years later. Readers will know by now that this isn't exactly atypical behavior. Alright, so the telepaths and propheciers are manifestly diddling with the timeline again, presumably because I happen to be directing My attention to a given media piece.

It opens with a [one-handed] symbol to establish the 'mode': overt, or informal, or *'disregard this!'* and so on, along with, in Babylonian, a [rotation] *'effort-in-process'* symbol, a [Tiamat], and an [up]+[down] symbol along with a Freemasonic "plumb line" symbol which has to do with evaluating whether something's on a legit basis or not. It's then followed up almost immediately with a [two-handed] [guitar] symbol, which implies an *'inverted mode'* within that *'disregard this!'* mode. And in Freemasonic, it's a [forty-five degree angle] symbol referencing a counter-Divine Will basis. Despite all this, the group appears to instead be representing the stereotypical 'organized crime' contingent of the Babylonian Kabbalists, and additionally 'its' telepath and prophecier contingent too given the 'retro-fitting' that's obviously been involved here.

Given that, the organizing symbolism of the band's name starts to be worth evaluation. Yep, a [strait] is a geologic feature of [earth]+[water], resulting in the same kind of "[Chaos] mode" that they're currently on system-wide. It's also an example of a [twain] reference, and therefore [katsune]. And, disappointingly, the name is also a counter-Divine Will derivative symbol via the crass idiom it references, the one that ends *'without a paddle'*. As in, *'unable to extricate oneself'*.

But waitasec, some of these remedies appear to include verses that others don't. What's going on there? I pull up the text of the lyrics themselves and find them. Ah, they were likely omitted at least partly because they haven't aged particularly well. A few instances of gay slurs that used to be common in some demographics, and a "chimpanzee" reference (as part of an allusion to [female] [breasts]) of the variety that I've seen them try to use before: an [animal], symbolized by My "House" as one of those symbols that imply *'overt only!'* and so on, but overtly something that's categorized so *'nearly human'* that it's usually manifestly deployed in efforts to diminish clear distinctions.

As I'd described before, I'd quite deliberately made the organizing symbolism of My "House" homo-normative because practically everywhere's hetero-normative, I'm not, I'd been trying to use My own 'native' means of reckoning to get at Divine Will principles concepts, and besides it would mitigate the persecution and so on to have somewhere gay people would not only be accepted but actually start out with an advantage. So [male] would represent *'oriented to, and aligned with, Divine Will'* and an 'un-inverted' symbolic 'mode', while [female] would represent an erroneous position with regard to Divine Will and an 'inverted' symbolic basis. So in these

lyrics, did they not disqualify themselves self-evidently from being legitimate usage of My “House”’s symbolism, there’d be a lot of needless rant and the promotion of the idea of actively persecuting not [gay] people but [straight] people, those misaligned from Divine Will principles and True Nature through sincere error. What an unsavory precedent *that* would have set, if I’d accepted it. But literal, genuine anger at the apostate system’s manifest behavior and totally appropriate outrage at manifest continuing injustices isn’t quite sufficient for Me to knowingly, willfully and avoidably jettison Divine Will and True Nature alignment in order to engage in some kind of ‘war’ campaign against the apostate system or anyone else. For one thing it would undermine whatever legitimate and worthwhile results I’d seek to achieve by it; it would be pointless. [Absurd]. And it’s not the people who are the problem here, but their manifestly knowing, willful, avoidable and continuing counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and the rights-violating behavior which inevitably results from that. Love the people, but manifestly oppose the illegitimate basis of wrongdoing, essentially. Interestingly, this pattern of non-overt promotional activity seems to be manifestly corroborated pretty blatantly in the next sequential episode of ‘*Monday Nighting*’ too, season five episode five’s ‘*Shirts and skins*’. It’s effectively the whole premise of the episode, so it ought to be sufficiently blatant for even novices to easily recognize.

Regarding an implied offer to contract onto a counter-Divine Will basis

Speaking of, the telepaths and propheciers seem to have, non-credibly of course, elaborated as to what they were doing with making especially blatant and obvious intimations of the sort that I’d mentioned in My last. You know, by repeating ‘*delighted*’ about nine times in rapid succession, by addressing My reader audience in that other part I’d presented along with a “Ramp Room” [magic] [mirror] reference (as overtly amusing as that was), and so on. Why would they manifestly continue on the basis they are, then intentionally make their organizing symbolism more blatant than necessary? They’ve been manifestly investing in efforts to imply a sort of ‘trade’ with Me, that they’ll provide blatant evidence like that if I’ll continue to keep them in [pro bono](#) counsel on Divine Will principles alignment, supposedly for eventual implementation while they still manifestly invest themselves in the Choice to be rude, blasphemous, disrespectful, abusive, criminal and so on on the things they already know not to do in the ‘*here and now*’, let alone the ‘*there and then*’.

That investment in such implied messaging is pretty screwy for a few reasons. The Lawlessness of any such attempts to ‘*contract someone into*’ a counter-Divine Will basis is manifest wrongdoing, obviously, and doesn’t even mean anything legitimate; to apply and enforce the Law to conscript and apply force to someone *from a basis outside the Law*? That’s a direct logical contradiction, and I’ll likely expound on that here later.

But then there’s just the intrinsic [absurdity] of the concept from a perspective of Divine Will principles and True Nature. ‘*If you’ll accept us right here and now on an illegitimate counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, we’ll assist you in assisting us in eventually in theory getting onto a legitimate basis!*’ As in, ‘*If you’ll accept our Choosing a counter-Divine Will,*

counter-True Nature basis, we'll Choose a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis! Not to worry, even if such an offer were valid I'd hardly knowingly, willfully and avoidably do both them and Myself the disservice of approving something we both know that neither of us genuinely want. And I'm once again *'delighted'* to shut that kind of [absurdity] down by applying Divine Will principles, openly and publicly. Figuratively speaking, I guess the telepaths and propheciers were right [when they had David prophecy](#) via referencing the idiom that [he'd be a monk's uncle](#).

Beyond the illegitimacy and apparent Lawlessness of such an implied *'offer to contract onto a counter-Divine Will basis'*, it also appears to be an attempt at sophistry via recontextualizing the nature of the situation: while they're manifestly on an illegitimate, Lawless basis I have per Duty to Divine Will and True Nature (even theirs) been exposing their stuff, whether that's blatant and easy for novices to recognize or not. I'd also been occasionally striving to assist them with getting realigned onto a legitimate Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis, if only to make sure that I could be certain that they *did indeed* know better and how to do better, in order to establish whether they were manifestly Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis knowingly, willfully and avoidably. As they've been raving up the [fixblight] lately they've definitely established that themselves in addition to My efforts to ascertain it. So there they manifestly are.

And there was I, evaluating their manifest position of continuing to do so as illegitimate and publicly-recognizable as that is. And that had Me revisiting something I'd presented a while back, regarding not finding it easy to accept that they actually needed My assistance of counsel as a prerequisite to realigning onto a legitimate, Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis either currently, retroactively or both. In fairness and grace I'd been giving them the *'benefit of the doubt'* there too, though I've now been able to establish certainty on that one given their manifest willingness to contravene it in areas they already know how to avoid doing so. Knowingly, willfully and avoidably. That's manifest guilt established there. And as I was attempting to figuratively *'get a handle on'* the manifest audacity of that situation, in which they were implying please for My assistance but not actually needing it, I as per usual started to free associate to conceptualize it. What instances had I encountered that were like this rather unusual, agenda-motivated farcical contrivance of inability? And that's when I'd heard it in My minds ear, as if out of nowhere. *"I've [fallen], and I can't get [up]!"*

'[Life] Alert'

Yes, those seemingly incessant [commercials] throughout the late Eighties. I tend to mentally discount commercials as symbolically-relevant media, because symbolically those and [brand names] appear to be designated by the apostate system as *'counter-Divine Will basis declarator symbols'*, and overtly they tend to be a value-subtracted experience for the audience and that's something which definitely doesn't uphold My Divine Will-derived standards and values. (Interesting, isn't it that the apostate system's whole overt business model reason for media at all is to attract viewers precisely so they'll put up with those [commercials]. There's probably a

lesson to be learned there: the [art] is there specifically to rationalize away or 'sell' the counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis.) This particular one was, unusually, so predominant that it definitely imprinted upon the cultural consciousness of the decade, and [brought plenty of media references](#) to it ever since. That typically only happens when the whatever-it-is is also non-overtly relevant to some agenda the apostate system has going on.

At the time it appears to be part of their organizing their subversion routine, both against the general public and Freemasonry in particular. As in, *'We're getting to a point where the legacy older, wiser demographic are on their way out, and soon the public will just consist of new, ignorant, miseducated kiddies who are unable to competently assess situations. It'll just be our subverts, and the general public with nothing to safeguard them.'* This appears to be corroborated by the organizing symbolism: it's a [technological device] (a counter-Divine Will basis) which [encircles] or [surrounds] the [throat chakra] (deceit) or the [hand] via the wrist, and has associated connotations of [\[disability\]](#) (fraud and betrayal via deliberately underperforming, which is a trend I've already noticed and been presenting recently). Interestingly I've encountered the symbol [fletcher], as in the character's name, used before; it's a [feather]+[penetration] symbol via [alchemy]. [Feather] is a derivative of [fall] (appropriately enough) with an apparent "[Chaos] mode" component via how [feathers] are comprised, [straight lines] + [wavy lines]. In the symbolism of My "House" it would also very prominently feature that [one-handed] negation symbol. And the [female] from the most notorious soundbyte would conceptually 'invert' the polarity of the [fallen] symbol to 'read' the same for Mine as it does in Babylonian Kabbalist. *'I'm deprecated and irrelevant, because I've dissociated from Divine Will and claim I just can't do anything about it!'*

Having endured those overt commercials plenty the first time around, it made sense to read about what the eventual result of all that was. Per the Wiki the overt campaign was found to be a fraudulent high-pressure effort directed at literal senior citizens and the disabled, so that's nice, and there were a few lawsuits. The company was actually an *anti-[thrift]* company, which'd throw in a [ktsune] reference, and a significant chunk of the consumer fraud involved their misrepresentation that their product would give its customers priority access to emergency services. It didn't. The rest had to do with [fer]-based sales tactics.

The thing had spawned a plethora of parodies both at the time and ever since, most of them pretty off-color and overtly degrading public morals and conscience. It's pretty debased and inappropriate to intentionally jeer at people caught up in a desperate situation through no fault of their own. By contrast, the non-overt situation manifestly going on here with the telepaths and propheciers appears to be the result of quite deliberate Choices on their part, along with plenty of attempted fraud, so it's *'asked for, and got'* as I'd mentioned in My last. And as I was going through parodies to have something to focus on while I contemplated the situation, I encountered [this](#) in particular which I'm presenting exclusively for evidence purposes; it should become apparent why as we continue through this exposure release. But let's start with noticing something new here: the remix starts to add in the idea of delays or non-responsiveness from emergency services, and given that [death] symbolically represents a

counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis Chosen knowingly, willfully and avoidably, [life]-saving would be an effort to assist someone in realigning with Divine Will and True Nature. An effort like Mine. We even have one instance of this newly-added concept presented in the same kind of “[Chaos] mode” instance that the apostate system has been using collectively, that ‘halfway Choice for Divine Will’ which isn’t actually Choosing Divine Will and True Nature sincerely at all. People still manifestly Choosing to reject Divine Will and True Nature, so what happens when Divine Will eventually reciprocates that and rejects them?

‘But that would be just awful! Divine Will is the only constant, it would never do that!’

According to Christianity’s model that’s not quite accurate. The Old Testament freed Israelites were eventually left to wander around in the desert after plenty of grumbling, backbiting and longing to go back to slavery in Egypt. They didn’t want, or at least decided not to Choose, Divine Will and True Nature. When they continued to co-opt and usurp the temple system, using it as a mere pretense for their own counter-Divine Will agenda items, Jesus left the whole organization to them *‘desolate’*. [Matthew 23:37-39] Much of [Revelations] is about the eventual reckoning from the Divine, after plenty of assistance of counsel and grace was provided. *‘Ye shall not tempt the Lord your God [...], [Deuteronomy 6:16]*, which is the essence of what Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis is doing. There’s quite a precedent here; I didn’t invent Divine Will principles dynamics, just so we’re clear here.

‘We are not to regard God as waiting to punish the sinner for his sin. The sinner brings the punishment upon himself. His own actions start a train of circumstances that bring the sure result. Every act of transgression reacts upon the sinner, works in him a change of character, and makes it more easy for him to transgress again. By choosing to sin, men separate themselves from God, cut themselves off from the channel of blessing, and the sure result is ruin and death.’

- Ellen White, [1 MR 131.1]

Something the telepaths and propheciers had presented, and which I’d presented here in My last, didn’t quite sit right with Me. There was a sort of incongruence, something that seemed a little bit ‘off’, but I hadn’t been able to figuratively put My finger on it at the time. It was [this interaction](#). It was all very flitting to have being [dead] or not, being on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis or not, as some official categorization that a [male] authority figure would decide whether or not to decree, and of course that concept had a tendency to quite naturally appeal to the ego. It’s also pretty quintessentially Babylonian, some human authority figure whose job it is to accurately represent Divine Will principles to instead make formal determinations on the basis of their clout, perceived authority, preferences, agendas, etc. Somewhere amidst all that, the actual Divine Will principles substance of the thing tends to go missing, to the prevalent manifest detriment. The ego gets itself involved, and tends to try to usurp the place of Divine Will. It’s obviously unqualified. I’d been trying to uphold My Duty to Divine Will principles and to all involved to stay alert to that propensity and to deliberately try to offset that tendency by intentionally countering it, making sure to err if at all on the side of grace, mildness, leniency and so on to make sure My own anger and outrage at their behavior didn’t cause Me to transgress against Divine Will and My own True Nature. But

that appears to have been insufficient, with the result being just a sort of personal and Professional stubborn blindness, a refusal to accurately assess the situation with regard to the Divine Will principles dynamics involved here. Inadvertent favoritism, with the best of intentions.

So let's get at the substance of the matter, per Divine Will principles. What actually *is* [death], in the sense the Babylonian Kabbalists use the symbol? It's the knowing, willful, avoidable Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. Is that the manifest position of the apostate system, and even of 'its' [Is's] sub-division personnel who absolutely know better? It is, and despite it being incrementally intensifying it's now become so blatant as to be effectively undeniable by now. Do I like that? No. Is it the state of affairs I'd prefer? No. Does that mean it becomes a functional and legitimate basis? It doesn't. Does it mean that I manifestly err by misassessing with regards to Divine Will principles if I refuse to accept that? Er, apparently, yes. What then does that amount to? I get to Choose between either a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis Myself, or these people (or rather, who they're not) being on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis that neither they nor I genuinely want. Everything, or nothing. And for what, the ego glorification of getting to think to Myself, *'Lookamee! I'm saving these people! What a great and nifty Divine Will-serving person I am!'*? One sincere, inadvertent misassessment with literally the very best of intentions and the whole formula gets skewed off into uselessness. [Death] 'sucks', it's a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature situation which though manifest should never happen per Divine Will principles. So obviously trying to displace it with [life] via constructive efforts should quite naturally be right, appropriate and automatically successful, right? It's representative of Divine Will, and as such it's got the whole of Creation behind it. Except one can't 'save' or "resurrect" anyone without their Choosing it, any more than they can make their Choices for them. Is it [absurd], Lawless, spurious and ultimately impossible for them to Choose *against* Divine Will and True Nature? Definitely. It's 'indefensible', and an overwhelming quantity of liability for it manifestly accrues to them for it. But that doesn't make an animal of one species into another. Pretending that the manifestly [dead] are instead [alive] isn't serving anyone. But it does mean that they'll have to actually Choose Divine Will and True Nature, and that ultimately they will (if they don't fritter away so much literal time that Divine Will intervenes directly to shut the whole thing down first.) And just as I'm not Authorized to override their manifest free will Choice for [death], to declare the [dead] [alive] or the [living] [deceased], I'm also not the manifest cause of their condition either. And [Is's] appear to have been making efforts to allude to this too, [here](#), [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#) for example (presented exclusively as evidence). That last also appears to be a 'callback' to a metaphor I've been using here for a while, to the effect that if they're going to Choose [death] knowingly, willfully and avoidably I'm apparently going to have to per Duty to Divine Will [bury] them with [earth], that is, overt exposure. Readers, notice that 'their' [Is's] have been again apparently been making efforts to imply and 'allude' relevant concepts to Me, while still manifestly Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis from which they cannot legitimately 'speak'. It appears to be part of [another supposed \[wish\]-fulfillment scheme](#), but I'll address and sort [that](#) in a moment once I've presented the evidence and the Divine Will principles analytics. For now just keep a figurative mental

bkmrk on that thought. For now, I encourage readers to notice something rather unusual they did with regard to presenting the Pope in that fantasy with Maddie there dressed in full-on bright [white]. Ordinarily it's overt policy for audiences with him to have to dress in [black] or in [darker] [colors], and this is because it's non-overt organizing symbolism for them to have him present as, supposedly, the most Divine Will- or [Light]-aligned, and they only schedule people for audiences with him that are already thoroughly complicit, obedient and entrenched with their agendas; indeed, the most 'exemplary' among that crowd. So they're manifestly willing to publicly present as more awful and objectionable than he does, in order to make him look great by comparison. That convention, though recognized here with the [twinn] [mourners], appears not to be with Maddie herself. So that's very atypical, and in parsing would stand right out to anyone familiar with their conventions. She figuratively 'outshines' him.

Closing out the evidence section here, there appear to be a couple more new 'callbacks' I should probably address. (There were others further back, such as [Maddie apparently playing off that \[sound\]castle metaphor](#) I'd used a few releases ago, but amidst all this I haven't yet been able to isolate them all.) The first is "[p-nicky](#)", with "p-nic" being a very ordinary derivative symbol of their [Pn] idol and its formula, which I'd already included in [its Lexicon entry](#) and of course discussed as a category of crime in My last piece. The other is more personal and will require a bit of explanation. It won't assist as evidence directly, as readers won't be able to verify that I didn't just pull it out of My ear here and now in the present, but it should assist by establishing context.

It's the '[Cabaret](#)' reference [throughout](#) the episode. Ordinarily it would be a regular non-overt media reference, easily researchable. Here though it appears to be a message implied to Myself directly, due to some personal history involving it. You see, years ago I'd been quite romantically taken with someone and sought a relationship with him. He'd overtly rebuffed Me, but kept insisting instead on a non-overtly organized basis for it, which had been new to Me and something I'd been entirely unfamiliar with. He'd started to 'teach' Me how it all supposedly 'worked' (it doesn't), and from the non-overt public organizing symbolism and trends at the time making sure I'd been familiarized with it had apparently been what the [Is]s sub-division had been making sure to arrange. Meanwhile throughout he's still not being himself, and not being what we'd colloquially term his 'best self'; he'd been inauthentic, seemingly disinterested, non-committal, and agenda-motivated to promote the hokey curriculum rather than just being sincere and genuine. More of that '*Choosing against their True Nature*' motif the apostate system and the telepaths and propheciers in particular have been on about so much lately. Gradually he made it apparent that he was also one of their number, with those capabilities, and as we'd slip together (in the same room, separate areas that is) I'd think out messages to him in an effort to build the relationship; he'd respond to some of it the next day and given the nature of the situation knew exactly how I'd felt about him, but it had been an essentially one-sided attempt to have a relationship. He'd never 'shown up' for it, so to speak. He'd had other priorities and agenda items. Eventually the living situation we'd been in lapsed and we'd only see each other around town once in a while in

passing. He'd kept coming up to Me attempting to reinitialize the relationship, but I never did forgive him and couldn't bring Myself to invest in trying again with him. He'd manifestly Chosen other priorities, bogus priorities and hokey agenda items, at the expense of Divine Will and his own True Nature, without which the relationship wasn't feasible. He didn't want it, or at least hadn't wanted it enough not to retain a basis which precluded it. So, that's My story and I'm sticking to it. It's essentially been the manifest nature of the Working relationship I've had with the telepaths and propheciers, the apostate system and humanity as a whole, and it's certainly been exacerbated by them recently. It also speaks directly to the *'Not at all My Choice, you manifestly didn't want it enough'* concept I've had to present here above. So, disappointingly weird head-trippy symbolism-laden dream sequence overtly, but also *'great use of the language'* non-overtly in terms of expressing a concept. Through non-overt *'charades'* and so on (actually come to that, the film *'Charade'* probably would've made just as effective a reference for it), precisely because they manifestly still *are* investing in that misprioritization.

Incidentally, if the manifest pattern of this steadily-increasing disrespect, blasphemy, figurative [dig] [fidd] and so on plays out as it usually does, eventually they try to use that blitheringly [absurd], inherently non-credible basis to non-overtly imply *'a reason'* to rationalize it all; usually that there's some horrendous non-overtly organized countereffort that's supposedly going around subverting everyone and thwarting their efforts to uphold Divine Will and True Nature, and putting all concerned under *'duress'* enough to prevent them from doing what they genuinely want to do and per Divine Will *ought* to be doing. It would be a likely reason they went through the effort to present it as *'Cabaret'* rather than *'Charade'*, why they depicted symbolically [digging their own grave] as being done under *'duress'*, and it would account for that [fidd] organizing symbolism I'd noticed them putting out system-wide around the end of last year. Suppose it would also account for that much-hyped 'B Barbie' [dill] + Oppenheimer [nuclear] [explosion] *'twifer'* organizing symbolism they've been putting out everywhere. I can't know that that's what they definitely plan to try to implement non-overtly of course, since they've already figuratively de-rezzed themselves into [static] by now, but just on the off chance I'll try to save them the [time], effort and [embarrassment] of implementing all that: I'm already incredulous enough at their manifest organizational behavior for retaining a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis in the first place without a single actual reason for it. Everything past that is even more [absurd] and inherently non-credible. I suppose My trying to pre-empt that wouldn't necessarily put the kibosh on their trying to non-overtly yammer on about it regardless, as it's their Choice, but I've at least tried to spare them all that preposterous rigmarole. Why have it all, when they can just keep going through the motions for the rest of their lives? Isn't this *fun*?

Ah, well. *'Any crush that you can walk away from'*, etc.

Incidentally, I've recently been having various non-overtly aware personnel signal more discreetly to non-overtly to alert Me to some of the apostate system's more minor or unofficial sabotaging implications. Reassuringly I'd already noticed those, and for reference I tend to infer that those they concern already know to dismiss them as spurious. More curiously

though, these personnel themselves apparently manifestly remain on a counter-Divine Will, and varying intensities of counter-True Nature basis. I'm not sure what to make of that beyond the obvious, though I certainly can't [to]ch them while they remain on it. Which I suppose neatly segues us to the next section, because it's time for Me to attend to the comparatively 'heavy lifting' of the more involved Divine Will principles analytics.

Analytics

Let's start with the [Is]s contingent systematically manufacturing problems, disrespect, abuse, negging and so on, generally non-overtly, only to 'do Me a favor' by continuing to non-overtly imply to Me how I can better address and solve it, followed on by attempting to freak Me out about 'having accepted benefits, privileges, considerations, perks etc. from a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and therefore opted into it'. They know full well how cautious I am about that last part, and know it's one of the more concerning things they can imply about Me, particularly after all their decades of manifest [f]xbl[ight] during which, unbeknownst to Me, they'd apparently been knowingly, willfully and avoidably t[ri]pping the organization that I'd been Choosing that in order to rationalize their b[eh]avior. As to the first part of that though, My "House"'s organizing symbolism used to refer to that particular category of fraud via a [kitchen s]nk; that is, something that's a lot estranged from a [foundational] Divine Will, True Nature basis and then 'resolves it' by compr[omi]sing a little 'to make up for it'. Colloquial equivalent: 'One step forward, two steps back.' In other words, 'haggling' with regard to the Choice for Divine Will and True Nature alignment. Half-measures. The Choice for a noncommittal position with regard to Divine Will and True Nature, which is just the Choice to reject it. 'Comme ç[est] comme ç[est]! C'est la vie!' A l'inverse.

The situation gets easier to evaluate if we're not looking at the supposed value of the resultants, but evaluate instead for the nature of the Choice involved. Divine Will and True Nature, or not? Knowing, willful and avoidable, or not? They being their 'best selves'? Avoiding presenting counter-Divine Will declarator imagery and symbolism within reason? If not, they're manifestly on an invalid basis. What they're doing isn't 'providing bonus favors and extra value', because their whole manifest basis is a net detriment. Overt parallel: Income tax refund and 'economic stimulus' checks that gave people a tiny fraction of what they'd already t[ak]en from them unlawfully in the first place; what a boon, right? So we can reject as inherently incredible the implied concept from those manifestly on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis that they're providing 'extra value' as part of an 'offer to contract'; first of all, they aren't. Even moreso when it comes to [Is]s doing warrantless telepathic violation of Divinely-conferred privacy rights, and then referencing that stuff back to the victim as some helpful insight, or just plain exploiting it themselves. 'Better' yet, I'm left without a means to establish where 'helpful solutions' like those alluded to by them actually came from; likely from My own reasoning a few days hence, then 'sent back' as though it were an externally-proffered 'gift'. And as we've seen, non-overtly presented concepts typically only make sense to someone who's already got a near-enough context already for it to make sense to them. No, while people are manifestly Choosing to retain a counter-Divine Will, counter-

True Nature basis others cannot reliably trust what they've got to say, overtly or non-. Even when it comes to whether something's a 'contract' or a 'gift', let alone a 'benefit' or 'a nice extra bonus value in life'.

Let's consider that recent implied, 'Offer to contract' for a moment. Someones' basis and their behavior is manifestly either Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned, or not. Legit, or not. Right, or wrong. And when it's wrongdoing, that's generally evidence. That can be carefully applied because it's useful for others, it's just a liability to them as the results of their own flawed Choices. What it's not, is a Choice for value. So when [Isis] recently and gradually made it self-evident that they were Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis knowingly, willfully and avoidably (including not being 'stuck' and actually needing My assistance not to be), that relegated what they do to the category of 'evidence', to assist the public in corroborating what I've been presenting so they can Choose and uphold a legitimate, fair, functional basis. I'd been attempting to keep about half an ear on the apostate system as 'a nice', and to make sure they weren't 'stuck'. They're not, so that's needless. Now it's just about evidence. So to have [Isis] strategically recontextualize the situation as though it were some 'contract' was just sophistry and a misstatement of what I'd already been doing. They already know I'm not into supposed 'benefits' from a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. I'm also not into the overwhelming manifest detriments produced by it and shouldn't have to encounter those either, yet here we manifestly are.

So those implied 'solutions' (apparently also referenced as an "antidote" recently) 'gifted' from such a basis are untrustworthy, generally aren't solutions or contain an intentional flaw, or are presented as strategic manipulation, or weren't even their own. Notice that the hypothetical setup presented in that clip started out by positing that 'gifts from the [dead]' were **the only solution**. They're not, and they're not. 'Look! We've presented to you some analytics derived from Divine Will principles, but we've presented them to you as supposed gifts proffered from a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, so I guess now applying them are off-limits to you or you'll 'opt into' becoming an indentured servant to a bunch of [cartoons]!' No, that's not how that works. And having finally gotten clinching evidence proving that they're still Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis knowingly, willfully and avoidably, the conclusion that I can't assist them with that would have been My next logical conclusion; presenting it to Me just before I came to it seems rather suspiciously like My own handiwork, just 'sent back' a little. Deliberately 'gifting' Me a 'white elephant' like that which not only wasn't necessary, but was more subsequent hassle than it was worth, would be quite consistent with the intensity of [fixblight] and head-traps they've manifestly been presenting of late. Honestly, got to watch these guys every minute on everything. **And we shouldn't have to.** But we do, while they're manifestly on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. What a plus, right?

What a great Working relationship that would be, incidentally. No reliability, no 'good faith', no ability to trust, no sincerity, no benevolence, just everybody continually trying to figuratively get their leg over each other whenever and wherever they can. How unworthy, how uncivilized. How counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature in other words. We've recently non-

overtly seen what at least has been presented as exposition about *'How the non-overt methods and language of the [Isis] sub-division manages to overcome the tyranny of an aberrant, counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature predicated [Osiris]'*. Who knows, perhaps that is the formula the organization had manifestly been using. Perhaps it's just another narrative. Can't rely on anything they say anymore being inherently credible, not while they're on that basis. Supposing it is though, what we haven't seen yet is what the [Osiris] figure supposedly does when [Isis] is instead off-base. Ostensibly, *'Trust us!' is the goal to there; [Osiris] knows she won't be, since they're both in alignment with Divine Will and True Nature! So he's free to blithely disregard all the 'hints' and [scents] and so on which suggest otherwise.'* Sure, right. It's a valid concern, or was, given that such off-basedness and decades of systematic abuse and rights violations, [Isis] has manifestly caused already. *'But they'd never do that!'* Plenty of direct experience suggests otherwise. Just like nobody *should* be [dead]. So the more I 'learn', credibly or otherwise, about how the organization supposedly works the more the trust gap manifestly *isn't* getting sorted here. Ditto the more abuse, disrespect, head trips, and the more a worthwhile quality of life is diminished in favor of their counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and agenda items. They keep implying that they're *"preparing for a marriage"* between Myself and the organization but they rather appear instead to be having precisely the opposite effect, manifestly driving Me away from them more and more while their manifest investment in a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis just accumulates and compounds.

And that manifestly appears to be the only recourse [Osiris] has here: not to become [Osiris]. Not to [touch] the system while it's on this basis, along with retaining a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis himself. To remember that it's not *'hearing'* them while on that basis that's required, but rather to remember that *they shouldn't be talking from it* and that while they're on it, it's all illegit *ab initio*. So if I seem a little more *'wound up'* than usual, it's because I can't relax while they're manifestly still on that basis; everything is suspect. *'Constants aren't, and variables don't.'* Imagine waking up one day and having to carefully and constantly evaluate whether anything and everything you encounter has in fact been Photoshopped. Even implied basic premises like, *'This is a proffered contract'* or *'We're offering you some value'* aren't reliable, let alone, *'We think you screwed up!'* or *'We need your assistance of counsel here!'* And even dissociated from the thing, he's still just manifestly getting tripped under it like everybody else is. And then some.

So given that they're perfectly capable of getting this sorted out from their side, and that they've had plenty of time to do it with or without their [Isis] retroactive capabilities, I'm seeing fewer legitimate potential reasons then ever for them not to have done so already.

Which I suppose would leave us with this as the only apparent viable remaining option on My part: [Horus] figuratively 'walks', leaving [Isis] and the whole rest of the apostate system to explain to the rank-and-file why "Christm[s]" won't be coming this year, and why the organization can't have a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis, oddly enough, precisely because it's manifestly refusing to Choose a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. Now

there's an option. Result: A non-overt organizational equivalent of 'a r[un] on the banks' as everybody pulls out of the thing, leaving them stuck with the results of their own knowing, willful, avoidably-Chosen basis and the massive liability which results. Not My favorite option, but it's starting to look like the only one I have left here. As we've seen, this hasn't been about My Choices.

"[Cha[os] mode" as the apostate system have manifestly been deploying it, isn't Choosing Divine Will and True Nature. It's been a mealy mouthed Choosing against it. Systematic casual blasphemy and disrespect haven't been Choosing it, it's been Choosing against it. Deliberately underperforming and disappointing haven't been Choosing it, it's been Choosing against it. Even Divine Will Itself doesn't override free will and make peoples' Choices for them; It allows them to Choose the valueless and [absurd] if they decide to. And then encounter the manifest liabilities for same. Likewise I can't make their Choices for them, but leaving them to account for them to the rank-and-file is looking like My only remaining viable option here and pretty appropriate at that.

'The Ukraine [Gra[in] Cap[r]'

Recently the apostate system have been murmuring again about the literal Ukrainian gra[in]. I had a looksee, and it symbolically associates with their idol [Cer[es]], from which we get the word 'cer[em]ial'. Agricultural idol associated with fertility and the h[ar]vests, but also s[er]ving to [Pl[uto]], present at [f[un]erals], associated with the [underw[or]ld] and the [de[ad]], and particularly with the [thr[esh]hold] between they and the [living]. Not exactly the kind of thing one would ordinarily want to have associated with literal food, and even a little m[or]bid. I can't confirm, but it's looking from here like the apostate system were using [gra[in]] as a sort of [food]+[de[ath]] organizing symbolism, as though the agricultural h[ar]vests were produced not by the actual w[or]k and effort of the farm laborers but as 'gifts' from the [de[ad]] p[ro]viding them up from the [underw[or]ld]. This would be consistent with the manifest pattern of apostate system co-option and usurpation I've been seeing everywhere. As a par[al]lel, just prior to the famines in Old Testament Eg[yp]t the apostate system build gr[an]aries and offered to keep everyone's property correctly tallied, so they could store their gra[in] and get it back at eventual need. Come the famines, Eg[yp]t instead *demand[ed] farmers pay to get their own gra[in] back*. With it all neatly consolidated and under their control, it was a very effective *de facto* means of control with the result that they were able to impose literal overt sl[avery] upon them because it was the only w[ay] the farmers and c[ity]-dwellers could surv[ive]. But just as with those 'gifts from the [de[ad]]' we saw above, it hadn't actually been theirs in the first place. They just did it because they could get away with it, ch[ar]ging all that the market would be[ar] and then some. It wasn't legit, it was just a matter of people putting up with it. What a great lesson to keep our value out of their figurative storehouses while they manifestly remain on this basis.

And what, specifically, do the [de[ad]] actually 'own'? All rights being God-given, and the [de[ad]] having jettisoned their Divine Will alignment, what in truth can be sa[id] to be legitimately

'theirs'? The literal dead aren't known for having a whole lot of rights even now. Free speech and armament ownership for example, we hardly ever hear them demanding. Perhaps they're just civically apathetic. Their property's certainly forfeit. So the idea that the [dead] have 'gifts' they legitimately own and can 'offer' us appears to be yet another counter-Divine Will-predicated expedient, but a fallacy. Perhaps that accounts for the trope in the apostate system international 'news' starting late last year involving ["people \[robbing\] banks... to get their own money back."](#) Hardly robbery then, at least not on their part. So when I'm after the baseline Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis from everyone that's supposed to, per Divine Will, 'just come stand' and the apostate system are manifestly Choosing [death] and absconding with that, then appear to try to extort Me for a tiny fraction of what's already Mine, there's a theft of value manifestly going on alright but hardly by Me.

And rhetorical question here, but just how many supposed 'gifts', 'nices', 'favors' and 'benefits' weigh the same as one wrongdoing anyway? This some kind of a transactional relationship or something? The minimum baseline is supposed to be to knowingly, willfully and sincerely Choose a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. Anything below that is manifest wrongdoing and liability. It's not about the 'stuff', it's about the nature of the Choice and they've manifestly been flubbing that to seemingly no end.

As I'd mentioned, I don't want a 'working relationship' in which everyone's continually trying to figuratively 'get their leg over' each other, and I include Myself there. While they're manifestly remaining on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis I don't see that per My own Duty to Divine Will that I have any other option but to call them out on their stuff, fairly and squarely.

Other manifest 'current events'

As I've been writing this, the 'news' has been announcing that "Trump"'s trial is scheduled for nearly a year out from here. This suggests that they intend to draw all this out at least until then, which is hardly encouraging and definitely would be more of that 'underperforming'.

I should also probably address the overt formula involved in that actors' and writers' strike. Common Law would've had all this sorted out near-instantaneously; it recognizes that someones' likeness is their property, for what other human's property could it possibly be? (That would certainly also put a stop to the proliferation of privacy-encroaching cameras the apostate system have been putting up in practically everywhere, along with facial recognition and indexing problems.) Of course, Common Law also presupposes that someone has retained a valid basis to be 'in law', that is, [alive], rather than [dead] and an 'outlaw' or indeed 'scofflaw'. That manifestly not being the case here, we'd apparently have two apostate system contingents of the [dead] arguing about rights that both 'sides' have manifestly already forfeited. Would that that was all though, because there appear to also be some over schemes being worked by it too. You know there'd have to be, as the apostate system doesn't typically

contrive public, hyped 'disputes' over something without at least one agenda item for it.

Unions are typically controlled and managed by 'its' organized crime franchise, and as per manifest usual what's being sold here is 'collectivism'. That's the ideology that *'none of us is stronger than all of us'*, which just incites the People toglom onto its unions in an effort for the majority to forcibly extract whatever it can get from the minority. It's the same formula the organization deploys via *'direct democracies'*; that *'the demands of the many outweigh the Divinely-conferred rights of the few'*, or indeed that nobody actually *has* any 'rights', merely permissions granted to them by the majority or the state, or more accurately by whoever has enough clout to control and incite them into demanding its agenda items. Twigg guesses what *that* is. So it's selling the idea that everybody only has limited, temporary, conditional, revisable and revocable permissions and privileges so long as they're approved of by majority opinion; that so long as majority opinion is fine with it, anyone and everyone can be encroached against and their rights violated whenever and wherever, with no right to live their own lives or be left alone. How completely totalitarian, and how criminal and counter-Divine Will.

And what a frank admission by the apostate system that it's knocked over the governments so hard that now the People don't have even basic control over their own overt government. Consider: We didn't need to have unions in the first place, because the People had delegated to it the duty to *'regulate commerce'*. That means that in a similar dispute people wouldn't be petitioning the unions to exert influence on their behalf, they would've instead been attracting public support in order to petition their own paid public representatives to attend to the matter *by regulating the industry* in accordance with the foundational principles involved here. Meaning that instead of literal work stoppages and publicly-hyped [infighting], there instead would've been just the People and the government upholding basic principles of rights and fairness just as they were supposed to. So what we're overtly, manifestly getting instead is the byproduct of multiple iterations of the apostate system flouting those foundational principles, with diminished quality of life for pretty much everyone involved as the eventual result. That doesn't seem especially worthwhile a cause to invest My support in, on either 'side' of the contrived and spurious micro-context. Particularly not while the apostate system manifestly continues plundering the value of the dollar itself; there's not a whole lot of use for the media in a subsistence-level economy, if we're going to talk about what's actually fair here and people making enough to live on. Their talking points really do fall flat when you think about them for a moment, and their manifest pattern of behavior shows that they themselves don't actually care about those things either. Under the circumstances, why should we? It's all forfeit.

People are typically so easily-incited to demand *'what's theirs'*. But everything is the Divine's, and they're usually manifestly ignoring that. While they are, how are they going to legitimately claim their Divinely-conferred rights? The very term *'property'* refers to what *'properly'* belongs to someone, which is only while they're *'in law'*. Nobody particularly cares about *'improperty'*, what *improperly* 'belongs' to someone. Or rather, nobody should. A People jettisoning foundational principles just cannot be free. Everything starts from those first principles, from Divine Will principles, without which nobody's got anything. Manifestly, like

the apostate system for example. What does it legitimately have? Looks from here like what it's got, is [\[sund\]](#). Miles and miles of [\[sund\]](#).

I'm up to 'Mighting' season five, ep seven, for those of you playing at home. Only a few eps left. Think I'll let them get the last word in this time, with [this media evidence sample](#). We've got "[Chas] mode", reiterated in the scene immediately prior too. We've got [katsune] via [male]+[female], and [twain]. We've got [game], meaning 'an effort-in-process'. We've got [straight lines] via the p cues, thru distinct [Bil-Had] references (via the usual 'bes-in-the-hole' game motif, the cues into the chalk, and the 'fill in [the] blanks' wording). We've got [embarrassment] and references to their manifest telepathic encroachment, and [fixblight]-y masturbation 'callbacks'. And we've got [air]+[particulate] as they blow on their chalked cues. Apparent Babylonian implication: 'We're trying to get You to give over to and accept us, but what we're presenting here is just [\[sund\]](#).' It's nice when we can agree on something.

Additional

Whup, just watched ep eight before this went out and Duty calls. I'd gone through some other media elsewhere and manifestly encountered an intense emotional gut-punch, as it non-overtly referenced what all this has been like for Me, what My sincere motivations are in life with all this, and so on. 'Hmm,' says I, 'That could actually be particularly useful as a reference to present to someone in particular as a means of letting them get to know Me better, and promoting an interpersonal relationship even while all this is going on. Except, uhh, wait. It's so laden with some pretty blatant counter-Divine Will symbolism throughout that I can't actually make use of it without diminishing My own standards and practices, even just for that purpose. About the only thing it's actually useful for is as evidence of wrongdoing, and there's no need here.' And that's when [ep eight opens](#) with Herbert, apparently also used as a rather unflattering placeholder for that 'certain someone' I'd wanted to eventually mentor and Work with, 'saving the day' by doing the [singing] to enable the show to begin. The apparent proffered rationale being that 'Hey, while we're still manifestly on an illegitimate basis we've got nothing better to do than use this capability to assist Satori with promoting his interpersonal relationships. Might as well!' And the ep closes with some major male bonding, discreetly homoerotic, with plenty of counter-Divine Will symbolism. Most interestingly, [with David using an \[orange\], \[fire\] \[triangle\] traffic cone in front of his \[face\] as a \[megaphone\]](#). Alright, so now their efforts there are being presented as sequential evidence and I find it relevant per Duty to Divine Will to present it. What I don't per Divine Will have to present is the pseudo-'gift' from the [ded], the supposed value to Myself and another, so I think I'll leave that piece out and refuse to make use of it. Partly because part of My Duty to the Divine is to assist people in getting back into alignment with Divine Will, and that's not made easier if I'm not avoiding to the best of My ability not only impropriety, but also the likely appearance of impropriety. 'Splitting the vote' among them with regard to the legitimacy of My Divine Will-aligned basis would be counterproductive. Partly because I don't want to start things off with a

'certain someone' by confining them as to My basis and standards of behavior. Partly because when it comes to untrustworthy counter-Divine Will bases, it's vital to be particularly vigilant and cynical when it comes to what are likely efforts to incrementally rationalize more and more acceptance and tolerance for that basis when it's fundamentally illegitimate and wrong. But primarily though, because of the contempt I have for the apostate system's manifestly contemptible Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and the reflexive tendency for contrarianism that naturally causes. And that more than any maudlin counter-Divine Will symbolism-laden piece of media, is a much clearer illustration of Who I am. Of My True Nature. Of Divine Will. That's Who I am. That's what I do. Without it we've got nothing. 'Honestly,' I thought to Myself as I was reasoning all this out. 'What even are the telepaths and propheciers trying to do here, pay Me back in tiny increments on the installment plan while still remaining on a massively liability-incurring counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis throughout? Wasting all our time?' I'd no sooner formed the idea than [their apparent 'callback' to it](#) 'clicked'. And moments later, a reference to taking a [photo] but "leaving the bins drop on". Yup, pretty much. *Apropos.*

"A dollar a year, for a [million] years." That's an awful lot of [zillions], that counter-Divine Will-predicated 'just for public effect!' symbol that the organization supposedly uses to represent 'inversions'. Gets at the Divine Will principles concept that every instance of 'sackage' manifested isn't representative of the True State of Creation, isn't 'ultimately true'. Right, and neither are the vast majority of their manifest Choices in alignment with what's 'ultimately true', that is, with Divine Will principles. Lawlessness, Chosen and invested into. Cause and effect, [wish] fulfillment they've manifestly asked for and then got.

I've been starting to get references to that supposed "marriage" between Myself and the apostate system that they've apparently been intending to arrange. Through more usage they appear to also use the symbol to get at a Divine Will principles concept, the idea that Divine Will and True Nature are the metaphysical constants whatever the manifest appearances. I accept that concept as true. How the organization appears to be manifestly using it instead seems to be to present the sophistry to people that, 'Since you know that, and I know that, you should have no problem committing permanently to an organization which knows it but manifestly honors it more often in the breach than in the observance, manifesting all manner of counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature awfulness quite routinely and systematically, because we know it's just a farce.' The motives for that reasoning are suspect in the extreme, I interpret it as willful 'Babylonian confession' as Christianity uses the term, variously "Babylonian wine" or "Her cup full of poison". An ideological admixture of Divine Will-derived fact, and fallacy. Per the apostate system's own presentation to Me of Divine Will principles there's no sufficient justification for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature manifest state and anyone presenting one ought not to be "heard". So it looks like that particular sophistry has been presented to the rank and file personnel as a means of obtaining their buy-in to a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. It misapplies reason and Divine Will principles to promote hypocrisy, to rationalize hypocrisy and a lapse of Duty to uphold Divine Will and True Nature particularly whenever it's manifestly being transgressed against. In other words, that

their version of the symbolic institution of “marriage” is itself an argument against [life], and is built on the ‘layoff’ of estrangement from Divine Will and from their own True Nature that appears to be the manifest basis for the whole organization. That it, as they’re currently manifestly implementing it, is itself just a component of the same [drama] [mask] which the organization’s same concept had started off from the premise of rejecting as invalid. What’s more, they at least appear to be non-overtly implying that familiarizing Me with the concept had been their motivation for the recent manifest [exhibition], disappointments, etc.. But we know that’d be invalid too. Throughout all this I’ve manifestly endured all manner of knowing, willful and avoidable ‘suckage’ from them with non-overtly implied rationalizations on all manner of pretexts. I know better, and so presumably do they. Per Divine Will principles it’s an impossibility, and just manifest Lawlessness. And presented exclusively as evidence, they appear to have referenced this at Me via the media accompanied by even more counter-Divine Will symbolism. A bit of free association with the concept, and presumably the fact that I’ve been watching a lot of ‘Moonlighting’ recently, and Bruce Willis and the whole ‘autowash’ scene from ‘The Fifth Element’ jumped right out at Me. Just the parts in there that actually take place in his apartment. It appears to include enough of the currently-relevant concepts, and I should add that the organizing symbolism of My “House” uses (or used, until I became aware of the Babylonian Kabbalists’ element symbols and had to deprecate it) the idea of temperature extremes to symbolize human misery and unworthy manifest situations. The scene appears to be referencing that usage. It also appears to be making implied reference to that ‘Moonlighting’ scene. And with all the counter-Divine Will symbols in the film scene, they’d not only appear to be implying their acknowledgment that it’s all been manifestly unworthy but that so is even this media sample. A sort of perhaps-implied ‘Mea culpa’, presented itself from an invalid and unworthy basis. I also notice that as of this morning they’d started being non-overtly very effusive with Me, with emphatic implied messaging, still from an inherently (and symbolically) non-credible basis to the effect that they’re going to get this sorted out. Once they manifestly appear to, confirming that they actually have, that they haven’t just stripped away the brunt of it but let themselves still on the ‘layoff’ of that counter-Divine Will [mask] is presumably going to be the biggest manifest obstacle. And as usual we’re back to the apparent lack of need for sorting it all out to take any literal time at all, given their capabilities and given that sorting it out would also presumably involve any non-incarnate underwriters to have resumed Choosing a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis too. So I’m again relegated to inferring that the interim delay where it’s manifestly not already ‘sorted out’ is just more of the same, Chosen knowingly, willfully and avoidably. That’s not doing much to establish a basis of manifest trust. It appears to be the same manifest Choice, to say nothing of all the manifestly-compounding liability already accrued. A half-hearted Choice for a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis isn’t a Choice for it at all.

- [July the twenty-fifth, 2023]

Redistribute freely, keeping the text as-is.

Social media:

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Discord](#) (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

My exposure sites:

[Divine Will Assembly](#)

[Lexicon](#)

[All media instances presented here are intended exclusively as evidence, with the exceptions of the 'M~~█████~~n~~█████~~ghting' cr~~█████~~shes and the animated GIF of young Mr. W~~█████~~ggum.]