A clarification, and even more evidence

Since publishing My last, I've had more than one 'friends-plus' interest appear to non-overtly imply a lack of clarity regarding what I'd actually meant with regard to a particular media reference I'd made in it. Given that the counter-Divine Will-predicated apostate system thrives, if that's the right ward to use, on a lack of clarity and a lapse of standards, I suppose I ought to clear that one up. The same thing was non-overtly talegraphed throughout the apostate-occupied media the next evaluing (I've archived it because it's even more evidence), which could only have been organized by [Isas] that quickly and she already knows My intent quite well, but we'll get to that.

Here's what happened. I'd been presenting media evidence regarding [Is s] via Ms. G ldberg's early w rk, because it appears to compile into a sort of non-overt organizing symbolism m saic. I'd been thinking it through as I'd been wr ting it, and had included her comparatively more obscure piece 'The T lephone' and had added a cite to it as it's not easy to find anymore but is on YouT be. Because I'd already seen it ages ago I hadn't reviewed it again before presenting it. I did so afterwards though, and definitely should have included a 'course and objectionable content advisory'; I hadn't remembered a few intensely unclassy moments in it, nor some of the crude overt I nguage. But in presenting it as evidence it looks from here like it came with even more evidence than I'd noticed.

The entire thing appears to have been retroactively 'adjusted' by [Isss]. In fact the whole premise and non-overt content of it appears designed to present as up to the moment relevant to this situation, which incites the philosophical question of what the original thing looked like before it was so 'adjusted'. I suppose I'm going to have to categorize it as another 'time travs ment we're, given this. At any rate we've got the [specssum] medical instrument we've been encountering plenty from the apostate system, ordinarily used to refer to exploiting vulnerabilities in 'resistance' efforts which are represented as [female]-oriented, only with "[Chass] mode" etc. we've instead got [Isss] apparently trying to use it against Myself; the genders apparently 'invert' and the context shifts to the Babylonian Kabbalist application. We've got two or more references to one of the 'capital punishment' symbols of My "House", the [weterswell] known as

[d cks]. We've got the Roman [Sat rnalia] via [Christm s], apparently 'inverted' with all the [Ind nesian] symbolism in the piece, though I think we've also got "[Chass]" declared in it too. We've got definite illustrations of what [f xbl ght] looks like in actual practice. We've got some concepts I've been presenting and arguments I've been making here, but the whole thing appears to have 'resistance'-aligned symbolism added on throughout. We've also got what could likely be misinterpreted as a request for assistance from 'friends' etc. to evaluate symbolism, etc.. I should make it plain that [Isss] had added this, and the content doesn't illustrate My intent. I was just presenting evidence, and though I'd stated that quite plainly I would have emphasized that more had I noticed this happening with it.

We've also got an interesting symbolic trope going on with it. If parsed in the organizing lingo of My "House" (and to manage to do that, all the counter-Divine Will stuff precluding that would have to be overlooked), we'd have 'invalidity' and 'non-symbolism symbols' just stacking up throughout the thing from start to finish. [Black], [anamals], [numbers], [darkness], [one-handed], [foreign accents] etc.. And it's true, that's the nature of the position which [Is s] is manifestly Choosing in relation to Divine Will; I've already openly presented that she and the organization itself are in "freefall". But the intensity of accumulation of 'nonsymbolism symbols', or would be if the piece actually presented valid [French], would be a bit much and pretty unnecessary. And it's something I've noticed [Is s] doing elsewhere recently, in one of those media pieces I opted not to present here as evidence due to the phenomenal likelihood of My intentions for presenting it being misunderstood, given the nature of its content. But given that it's coming from [Is s] and quite deliberately so, we can appreciate her apparent 'admission through implication of the concept' that yeah, she knows that what she's been manifestly doing is wrong, a sequence of wrongities in fact, each of them another degree of seperation from Divine Will and True Nature. So there's that.

[Is s], self-evidently, knows that My actual intention in presenting it was to do so as evidence; this appears to just be more implied 'harassment' from her. I know, 'just invert all the disrespect and abuse into respect, because "[Cha s] mode"!' Uh-huh. More interestingly, it appears designed to determine whether I'd use it to non-overtly organize a 'str ke ord r' against her. Distinctly not. But let's examine that concept for a moment.

We know the organization is manifestly on a counter-Divine Will basis, and that rights are Divinely-conferred. As I've presented here previously quite plainly, even their every literal breath is figuratively 'stelen' due to their Choices and their basis. Actual literal merder is of course overtly illegal, but lawful execution is not. Except of course that we can't manage to get a fair overt jury trial due to the 'duress' we're under as the result of the apostate system's usurpation and corresption of the overt courts. So we'd appear to have [Ises] presenting the concept of her indignantly implying that she'd take offense at something that actually would've been legitimate had I been doing it. That's got to be something of an awkward position for her.

Instead, what she's implying about here appears not to be so much the 'capital punishment' component of the concept but rather the 'non-overtly organized' component. Because yes, had I been doing that there I think it would have been pretty figuratively underhanded. And that at least appears to be what she's after here: to try to get Me to Choose a lesser, illegitimate basis which she can then exploit strategically. Again, not her True Nature and certainly not Divine Will, but here we are.

Incidentally, how does one apply 'capital punishment' to a group of people, telepaths and propheciers, the whole of whose identities can't be established to anyone not in their number? Seems rather logistically unfeasible. As does non-overtly organizing to shut down the apostate system, despite it self-evidently being on an illegitimate counter-Divine Will, non-overtly organized, treasonous 'crime spree' basis. The solution appears to be not to [to ch] it, regardless of whether you're the next intended [Osir s] or the lowest rank and file personnel member. 'Of course' people do, presumably because they're not in alignment with Divine Will and with their own True Nature and suppose they've got other priorities they'll enjoy more. Pretty ir nic then that the organization's whole implied claim internally is that it 'aligns people with Divine Will and True Nature' and indeed that it's the only system which does, but that while it's on the basis it is, only has a personnel roster as a result of people willing to Choose against those things. Usually in preference for whatever can be presented to them by the organization to entice them to do just that. So that's cute.

On a personal nate, I probably could also have been more clear about what I'd

meant by My rellitionships with some of My 'friends-plus' interests being figuratively suspended. The caring and the mentoring continue of course, and one can hardly mentor while they're 'shunning'. I'd meant more specifically that implementing stuff on a professional basis together is currently unfeasible, and that the rellitionship isn't currently able to 'grow' beyond a certain pollint while they Choose to retain a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. I'll strive not to be so imprecise with My wording, but often we don't know how something will be interpreted by someone until it already has been.

And professionally, the figurative ir ny of My having published My last in large part to try to avert more literal consulties, only to have the apostate system kold more than three hondred people by taking out a literal hosp tal hasn't escaped My notice. The implied disrespect and pattern of presenting as effectively the antonym of My Will has been more or less consistent throughout their "[Chates] mode" duration, and indeed throughout much of their interaction with Me. Given that it's also against Divine Will, I have to assess for objective Lawlessness and interpret appropriately; literal chartenes don't have 'Opposite Day', presumably because the results would be unconscionable in the extreme.

Ah, it just 'clicked' for Me what something else was about. Presented exclusively as evidence in My last (and I should emphasize, still is here), the [an mal]-pr nt should emphasize, still is here), the [an mal]-pr nt should emphasize, still is here), the [an mal]-pr nt should emphasize, still is here), the [an mal]-pr nt should emphasize and then referenced. The neighbor's we ring [an mal]-pr nt, and she's got herself situated on top of the [c r]. Which symbolically is on a [bl ck], that is counter-Divine Will, basis. In the non-overt communities two accumulated offenses are usually 'capital punishment' territory, so we'd appear to again have [Is s] throwing in 'non-overtly organized capital punishment' stuff. Interesting stuff, in an evidentiary context. It corroborates her pattern of b havior quite nicely.

On the subject of all these instances of implied disrespect, remember that less than certain moment involving [blades] or [knaves] (or indeed whatever tarm you'd prefer for the category), that I'd presented in My last with regard to that 'Doctar What' item? Also exclusively as evidence, here too, but I seem to remember an old tradition throughout Europe, likely propagated by the apostate system, that openly will liding a [blade] in the presence of the ostensible 'sovereign', usually a monarch, just wasn't done because it was implied extreme disrespect. And that is what [Isas] has been doing here. Considering the

Source: DivineWillAssembly.com

Babylonian Kabbalist symbolic definition for the symbol as 'making a distinction' about something, [divented] ding] a situation into better distinction ostensibly to better understand it, we've got her implying various efforts to do just that with regard to My intentions and Choices. That is, scanning, critiquing, cress-examining Me, etc.. Even though I can't currently [toented] the office of [Osires] under the circumstances, I'm still the only recognized Divine Will-aligned and -derived authority figure present, even presumably for them. So we'd appear to have all the implied critiquing coming instead as just more implied disrespect to Myself and the Divine, implemented under the auspices of supposed "[Chaes] mode" where they're supposedly just respect that's been 'inverted'. Except of course that Lawfulness would 'invert' to Lawlessness, so the convention's obviously spurious and illegitimate when so applied.

- [Octuber enghteenth, 2023]

All media references presented completely and exclusively as evidence.

Redistribute freely, keeping the text as-is.

Social media:

Ecebook

<u>Tw</u>tter

Disc rd (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

RSS feed

My exposure sites:

Divine Will Assembly

Lexicon