

‘Big Finish’

Public disclaimer: My Choice for Divine Will and True Nature put upon Me the Duty to present relevant evidence as required. Some of that evidence comes with non-overt, symbolic ‘*terms of great concern*’, and indeed much of that appears to be intentional on the part of the apostate system. That does not of course remove My Duty to present it. I can however per Duty clarify the situation and My intent with a disclaimer such as this before presenting same, and have.

Personal disclaimer: That same Duty occasionally requires presenting evidence which doesn’t properly align with some established ‘*friends-plus*’ symbolism. In those instances, Duty to Divine Will must take precedence. Indeed, much of what I’ll present in this piece is likely to present as ‘*concerning*’ to certain personally-relevant individuals. That appears quite intentional on the part of the apostate system, but let’s be somewhat charitable there for the nonce. My Duty to True Nature however does allow Me to address that head-on with a disclaimer like this, to alert them to the situation which indeed seems likely to have been intentional on the part of the apostate system to cause ‘*a priority conflict*’ and rely on ‘*fix privilege*’, the fact that unlike most I’m hardly dependent upon non-overt means of communication, and just plain resolve the disparity overtly with a disclaimer like this. Translation: Despite how the evidence I present here is likely to parse, those involved should relax and know that I’m still giving the apostate system and themselves the close of the year to sort themselves out before coming to any definite conclusions. ‘*Dump breaths.*’ ‘*Care about you, man.*’

The apostate system have been keeping with their *motif* of [\[performance\]](#), and in particular the figurative ‘*revving up the tempo and volume into a crescendo*’ for the *finale* as we get ready to close out the year.

Part of this includes the series *finale* of ‘*Arch*’, and despite having eagerly awaited it I wasn’t quite sure about what to do with it once it arrived. They appear to be using Knights of Malta ‘*double inversion*’ for once throughout, which I’d noticed almost immediately, but even then parsing it as that didn’t produce

much results and I was more concerned with the *trope* they were exemplifying of appearing to make the characters deliberately unpleasant, whiny, complacent a lot and so on, upon which even they themselves commented on so it was presumably deliberate. Thus, intensifying and illustrating their Choice for much less than Divine Will and True Nature. And as we know, that's an inherently unstable and unreliable basis for anything regardless of what else it purports to be presenting itself as. And it was indeed presenting quite a lot despite this, essentially a bombard of non-overt organizing content very densely-packed. But it just wasn't making for a very coherent 'read', despite many of the symbols being familiar such as [flashed] and the now near-routine [dism], etc.. It just didn't seem right to accept what'd been presented as valid grounds for a 'read', and while I continued throwing associated concepts and parsing around I just wasn't able to make a lot of it non-overtly. I've learned by now that when there's a densely-packed clump of non-overt messaging presented by [Isis]-equipped personnel and it doesn't appear to add up to anything coherent, it's likely been designed to become relevant *later* when the context it's on about has become apparent. So I'd had to put it off to one side for a while.

That was alright, because not only did I have an overabundance of non-overt organizing symbolism going on via the present-day news headlines through which to slip, [Isis] had also passed Me via other methods a reference to what appears to amount to a public if non-overt full confession. As in, not only declaring but actively organizing that she's aligned with a counter-Divine Will-predicated 'spirit entity' and has systematically been using the overt media to accomplish its agenda items including the incremental subversion of the mentality of the public. And that would of course be the more important, the figurative motherlode of non-overt evidence I had a Duty per Divine Will to research and study.

The more I did, the more I noticed what appeared to be deliberately-emplaced 'abusive' and derogatory implications directed at Myself specifically, and quite a lot of non-overt convoluted, 'head trippy' stuff. But then, that's a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis for you I suppose.

Eventually non-overt implications started to come in here in the present, to the effect that all this had been a *stratagem* designed by [Isis]. Specifically, present just the sort of evidence I'd been seeking and then use that as supposed figurative

'bait', a thing of value presented from a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis the acceptance of which and the application of would, supposedly, mean acceptance of an offer, contract, etc. onto a less than Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis on My part, which ostensibly would de-legitimize My Choice of position and basis. You know, the usual 'poisoned gift' strategy.

Both I and they know that implication to be spurious however, and this is familiar territory for Me by now from various other applications of it they've manifestly attempted. When someone presents something intangible like an idea, a concept, a logical conclusion and so on, who's to say whether the recipient wouldn't have noticed it themselves at some later date? Indeed they very easily could have, and [Isis] would've had the means to notice in advance, throw the idea a little earlier as though it was her own, and then use the implied rhetoric for strategic advantage. What'd be fair, here? For how long would someone have to put an idea or concept off to the side as though they'd never encountered it, in order for it to be fair? And indeed, when something is publicly-accessible evidence of someone organizing wrongdoing that transgresses against Divine Will and Divinely-conferred rights, *could* that ever be 'fair' in the first place? I don't see that it would, nor how it could. Then we get the rather interesting concept of strategically making public evidence of such wrongdoing supposedly 'unavailable', which'd be 'obstruction of justice', and then usually collusion in that scheme by whoever accepted it. That wouldn't be right either.

Presenting evidence to someone isn't 'a favor' when it's evidence of ones' own Choice for wrongdoing, they're still making it, and their presenting it is as part of a strategy where they can continue to. In part because as we've found already, the Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis is a disservice to all and can't be 'offset' by works-based actions of 'merit' originating from that same basis.

Then again to present evidence with such terms applied regardless of how spurious they were, could needlessly risk personnel misinterpreting My own Choice of position and basis, and that would be a disfavor to Divine Will, the rank and file personnel and Myself too. I think in fairness then, and in lack of a better resolution on this, the morally right thing to do would put it off to one side for about a week and a half or so until the apostate system's implied 'timer' finishes ticking down. The whole place manifestly being 'in default' they'd seem to lack

any legitimate basis for any legitimate claims, but I can at least give them the professional courtesy, and simultaneously represent My Divine Will Principal, by striving to keep the figurative accountancy clear and neat so everyone can more easily recognize it.

In the meantime, the apostate system has apparently gotten full use of the thing as counter-Divine Will-predicated organizing symbolism for quite a while now, in an instance so major that practically everyone has heard of it. At least that would appear to sort out the problem of [Isis] attempting to [marry] Me; she'd at least appear to have a prior commitment, and one that's mutually-exclusive. Probably just as well given that trust is lacking, she's a manifest "outLaw" and apparent "serial killer", the whole gender thing's wrong and an age discrepancy of more than five thousand years does seem a tad excessive. But this certainly would make for an interesting 'disproof of concept' for that dynastic Egyptian organizing symbolism mythology about [Horus] 'contending' against [Set], attempting to 'defeat' a counter-Divine Will-predicated personification and ostensibly channeled on and assisted by [Isis], only for us to find that she's already [married] to the latter. That would also seem to account for their usual symbolic *motif* of presenting 'terms of concern' intentionally because they accurately depict their intention.

It would also manage to account for so much of the manifest situation, unlike any explanation I've yet encountered from [Isis]. The decades of her apparently causing the, er, 'Doggie Howser' problem of someone competent and Willing to uphold and promote [life], only to get casually misjudged and dismissed as supposedly being unready. The manifest result has not only been plenty of unworthy circumstances for Myself and wasted literal time, it's also resulted in evidence of concern regarding her manifest motives: who looks at even just the overt headlines and evidence of apostate system blatant, systematized wrongdoing and surmises, 'Ehh, the other alternative could be even worse. Somehow.' Or rather, who Choosing a Divine Will and True Nature-upholding and affirming basis does that?

This week they'd also, at least through non-overt implication, supplemented what they'd been implying a week or so ago to the apparent effect of, 'If you don't accept the office, and if you expose the counter-Divine Will basis we're on, we'll pre-empt that with literal death threats against you.' The apparent methodology

there would be to non-overtly claim to the rest of the organization that I'd 'gone for [him]' and was 'an actual 'resistance'-identifying individual and thus a legitimate organizational liability' that the rank and file personnel would assess as 'needing to be stopped'. Yet that appears to be not much different from their manifest 'Duggie Howser' misreporting, which kept the organization both violating My rights and obstructing My efforts to get it realigned with Divine Will, all while sabotaging any professional or personal efforts I'd been making throughout My life. "He's not on a valid and legitimate basis! Keep Him [in container], and also keep persecuting Him in the meantime!" That only appears to have stopped as the result of My presenting My position openly and publicly, something I would've done even sooner had I known it was feasible.

They've also been appearing to imply yet another round of 'resistance'-oriented stuff this week, ostensibly with Myself placed as the originator for whom it's all getting implemented. Something about that low-level 'double inversion' routine, but ostensibly used as a non-overt 'resistance' effort, along with some non-overt 'role-swapping' as a strategic method. That is, where one group or figure including whoever's speaking presenting themselves non-overtly as one of the others involved in the situation. Or representing one figure or franchise as another. With them investing effort into proliferating that pattern it's currently 'everywhere', and in that contrived context a few isolated instances in which stuff I've presented could I suppose be 'misread' as such, it makes those instances look pretty suspicious on My part. They were typically inadvertent or, once or twice, presented as overt metaphor. Definitely nothing 'strategic', and I've clarified that openly and overtly before. I'm reiterating that now out of a sense of fairness, but the implied 'disrespect' inherent in presenting as actively striving to 'de-legitimize My position' is certainly beneath them. At any rate as they're still manifestly doing it, making it plain in an effort to quash it just seems to Me the best and fairest thing to do about it. I'm hardly going to use something for 'strategic' non-overt perceived gain and expose the method by which I'm supposedly deploying it. Are they serious about it? I think not, this is more about making sure to continue figuratively 'doing the right thing' here. This pattern and so much of the other stuff they've manifestly been implementing has, just as with the overt stuff, been an awful lot of needless unpleasantness representative of their Choice of counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. The agency and the liability for their Choice is of course theirs; I'm just making sure I remain dissociate from it.

“Spillage”

I’ve noticed a few instances recently of their implied deployment of a comparatively obscure symbol from off in one ‘layer’ of one franchise, that of a [liquid] [spilling] [out of container]. Some example instances are [here](#), [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#). There’s parts of that symbolism I’ll avoid getting into here for the very best of reasons, but I do need to address it. So let’s remember that for the Babylonian Kabbalists, a [liquid] generally represents emotions, fluctuation, and or a non-overt basis or venue. And that a [container] symbolizes *‘efforts which they’re implementing privately, with trusted personnel, that the public isn’t quite ready to accept yet and would likely reject’*. To shorten an otherwise lengthy diatribe, the implication usually concerns *‘something which isn’t so, a contrivance on the part of the apostate system, accepted by outsiders and now the thing is loose and will be allowed to predominate’*. Often associated with concepts that are less than desirable, like buying into a dystopian narrative or accepting the idea that a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis is actually the True State of Creation. That last implication would seem to be the most relevant to the situation, though again it’d be a misconception of My position and I’m pretty certain I’ve made that very clear in these.

It’s the sort of misconception of a concern I’ve presented, into a figurative strawman argument, that would if sincerely-meant attempt to bypass the actual concern. It would also be a typical representation of a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. I don’t think it’s sincerely-meant, nor even *‘strategic’* here, but rather presented merely as more implied non-overt harassment. They knew I’d see and notice it, of course. But it does put Me in mind of something I’d been meaning to address here, and I’ll do that as it’s one of those stray thoughts that doesn’t usually manage to make it into the plan for one of these pieces as there’s usually nothing tangible out there to which it’s directly relevant.

In the increasingly less hypothetical *‘working theory’* concern I’ve been presenting about the apostate system, counter-Divine Will-predicated *‘spirit entities’* are regarded as the principals, [Isis] is their enforcement and deployment network, [Osiris] is their *‘official figurehead’* and public blame patsy, and [Horus] is their *‘credibility restoration’* mark. The formula remains the same

because the problem remains in place cycle after cycle. If this is more than theory, then [Isis] would certainly need reliable means of retaining control over [Osiris] in any weather. I've remarked on some of those apparent methods here before. With this "spillage" concept though, and indeed with the [Orpheus-and-Eurydice] formula I've since quashed, I've noticed what appears to be a non-overt mechanism by which Divine Will principles are, yet again, misapplied to actually serve counter-Divine Will perceived interests and agenda items, and that such misapplication of Divine Will principles appears to figuratively have the apostate system's 'fingerprints' all over it because that's manifestly been much of their standard operating procedure and methodology.

Let's start with the manifest aberrant behavior from [Isis], et. al. Recent under "[Chaos] mode", or systematically for the last few thousand years, it doesn't particularly matter which to consider here because it all manifestly transgresses against [the first tenets of Divine Will principles](#) as even they've presented them to Me. And indeed the last tenets, but as we've seen I've managed to reconcile that in the interim.

[Isis]' usual complaint to the rank and file personnel, and likely nearly always valid, is that the incumbent [Osiris] is yet again off having the organization implement some horrendously unconscionable agenda items which reject Divine Will and True Nature. That's evidently what puts momentum into the transition cycle, inciting non-overt personnel support for it. So to keep things legit, [Osiris] has got to keep to and consistently Choose and uphold in accordance and confluence with Divine Will and True Nature, yes? Definitely. Now isn't it [Isis] who's been on, for ages with Myself and presumably to the officeholding [Osiris]es, with the implied rhetoric about, *'C'mon, now. You know that everybody's True Nature derives from Divine Will. Everyone is actually **on your side** here. Implement whatever, as we're not judging you! And conversely, you know you can trust us too! Because it's ultimately **all** Divine Will! What, you're not starting to have doubts about that are you? That a counter-Divine Will-predicated anything could actually genuinely exist, right? Wait, you do?'* *over her shoulder* *'Look out everyone, the guy's gone mad! He doesn't remember his Divine Will principles! Let's get him replaced, stat!'*

So there's the apparent 'double-blind', the ideological 'pincer movement', the 'no-win scenario'. Let's 'game theory' this for a moment. In once scenario,

[Osiris] accepts Divine Will principles as presented by the apostate system at face value. He decides that ultimately everything's an expression of Divine Will, it's all fine, anything he does is fine, all perhaps while [Isis] and the rest of the apostate system are lulling him even deeper into the error by assuaging any concerns he might have, and feeding his ego and self-importance. Eventual result: something non-overt that's more or less analogous to what the public sees overtly in the literal 'Kim Jong-Un'; a spoiled adult-child dictator who's been given genuinely disturbing intensities of clout, does the unconscionable with them and needs to be removed at the first safe opportunity. In the contrasting opposite scenario, [Osiris] decides, rather inconveniently given My 'working theory' concerns, that something just 'isn't right' here, that the organization just isn't upholding Divine Will and True Nature, and that as such its manifest practices and perhaps even His trusted [Isis] manifestly aren't on a legitimate, Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis and aren't all they seem, which'd certainly account for why He can't get things sorted within the organization. Per the 'working theory', [Isis] accurately identifies this as a 'security risk' to the organization's counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and finds she needs a plausible pretext with which to get Him uninstalled. But if He's legitimately straining to uphold Divine Will and True Nature, those pretexts are unlikely to be in great supply. But she can get Him on '*failure to retain recognition of Divine Will principles, and remember that Divine Will and True Nature are all there is, so any manifestation to the contrary must just be a meaningless and fleeting aberration*'.

Figuratively, that's '*heads I win, tails you lose*'. And conveniently it's [Isis] who gets to make that rather fine determination. She's more than adequately equipped to do so, because she's using the telepathic and prophetic 'gifts' she's been given which enable her to see problems in advance as well as keep constant and continual tabs on the mark. With all that and the ability to influence and occasionally redirect His thought process, it must be practically convenience itself. About the only thing she'd have to worry about is when a patsy snapped out of it and genuinely Chose Divine Will and True Nature and noticed the inherent discrepancies, and perhaps only then before He'd made the transition into office and gotten Himself 'checked in'.

It all falls apart when it comes to motive, of course. An interlocking system of personnel all dutifully implementing counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature-predicated agenda items for, what exactly? It'd be against their own Divinely-

conferred True Nature to want to do that. The whole idea is silly; ludicrous. About as ludicrous as having an umpty-tho[us]and years-old totalitarian cryptocracy routinely and systematically implementing precisely those sorts of counter-Divine Will schemes and agenda items. And yet here we manifestly are. If we're st[ill] sticking with Divine Will and True Nature as the absolutes, the apostate system itself is blatantly *'the odd man out'* here.

It appears to be a metaphysical quandary of [Isis]' own making or at least deployment, it's just that she's used to using it on [Osiris] rather than having to encounter it herself. If she or indeed anyone takes the position that manifestations outside of those Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned are imposs[ible], then the manifest organizational trajectory of the entire apostate system also can't or shouldn't exist, and indeed its implied *raison d'être* of better-aligning humanity with either becomes patently unnecessary and meaningless. If she instead takes the position that counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature stuff can and does manifest, however fleetingly and [absurdly], then it would appear she's manifestly either not been doing her j[ob] or that she's been Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and has been regarding *that* as her j[ob], and as such cannot be trusted on that basis. She could theoretically Choose a valid basis aligned with Divine Will and True Nature instead, but verification of same b[ecomes] practically a logistical imposs[ibility]. It's curious that previous [Horus]-cum-[Osiris]es never c[alled] her on that. Perhaps they were just too entr[anced] by the office and the apparent opportunity to accomplish their agenda items, Divine Will agenda items, or both to notice. But if [Isis] has taught Me anything, it's that one doesn't and can't manifest Divine Will through Choosing a lesser, counter-Divine Will basis. It never w[orks]. That I'm quite Willing to calmly jettison the office and indeed the organization itself in the Choice to uphold Duty to Divine Will and True Nature ought to come as quite a reassurance to the apostate system. Ought to, unless of course that *'w[orking theory]'* h[olds] out. I remain open to credible evidence of disproof of course, if indeed they're able to present any that accommodates the rest of all this. If nothing else that should make things easier on them, not having to find themselves in the presumably awkward position of *'having to directly contradict the intended authority figure'*. Or indeed, to profess to having to *'dutifully manifest His perception of things so that everything else doesn't contradict Him, which of course would be impertinent'*. It would presumably be quite wrong of them to use that *rationale* as the latest in their apparent sequence of counter-Divine Will alibis.

Non-overtly, there's also a standard methodology I've seen them deploy when attempting to alert someone to 'another, higher layer' of franchise group or symbolism to which they're not presently alert. It typically involves temporarily suspending meaningful conversation or messaging patterns on the 'lesser layer', and exclusively messaging on the unfamiliar one for a while until the intended recipient 'catches on' via pattern recognition. And apparently for that very purpose, each of the franchise 'layers' have built into them some rationalization for doing this. With some, it's predicated on the concept of 'funny', as in, 'we don't actually mean any of this, that'd just be silly!' In others, symbolism around the idea of 'recreation' or 'entertainment' is used. As in, 'This is all just for funnies! C'mon, have a sense of humor! You wouldn't deny the general public their opportunity for just enjoying themselves, would you? You're better than that!' Other times, the idea of 'inverting' symbolism in order to present as some other, usually competing, franchise is used as the pretext. But what it usually amounts to is that some method is used to rationalize defying the authority, jurisdiction and prerogative of the 'lower layer' franchise in order to figuratively carve out a chunk to reallocate to a supposedly 'higher layer' franchise or parent group. And usually, the principal of the 'lower layer' is in on it and has already accepted concession there, so doesn't protest about it too much. Not that this trend could reasonably apply here with a counter-Divine Will basis superceding or 'post-empting' a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis of course. 'That'd just be silly!' Yet here we manifestly are, with them doing under "[Chaos] mode" as the latest in a sequence of manifest rationalizations for the same Lawlessness, and manifestly incurring for themselves and the organization plenty of manifest 'criminal liability' as a direct result. 'But you can punish us for it later on!' they'd implied through 'Mighting', as readers will remember. What, a thing I don't want to do in order to address something that never should've happened in the first place? And for it to incite Me all the more to claim an office that's rather blatantly on less than a legitimate Divine Will-aligned basis? Yeah, it seems that continuing to not [touch] it would be the appropriate response, and [suits of armor] have a tendency to [collapse] and [fall apart] when nobody's [weaving] them. 'There's no 'there', there.'

We know that Divine Will is the foundational basis of Creation, and that our Divinely-conferred True Nature is our foundational basis individually. Again, that's never at issue here. The concern, and manifestly rather well-founded for all the

overabundance of evidence for it, is that the Choice of the apostate system manifestly *hasn't been* for that basis.

I semi-recently encountered the non-overtly implied retort from [Isis], likely not sincerely-meant but I'll present it for completionism and fairness here, which parsed as something like, *'How were we supposed to uphold Divine Will principles properly? You weren't here yet to inform us how to apply and uphold them. Every [Osiris] has had their own views on the matter, but now that **You** are finally here, we can do it **correctly!**'*

Er. After umpty-thousands of years the organization hasn't managed to get it right yet? Even now, and routinely and systematically transgressing even the stuff they've known about for decades at least because I did? Am I to suppose they considered Divine Will principles *and* My interpretation of them and all the errors I'd been showing them, went *'Ehh!'* and decided that the stuff the organization has been manifesting particularly within the last few years has been *'just as alright'*? That, again, appears just a silly rationalization for the blatantly and unconscionably [absurd].

The Choice for a basis which isn't Divine Will and True Nature-aligned inevitably manifests its unconscionable resultants, and to figuratively *'look past them'* would be wrong. The apostate system has manifestly been presenting those resultants, and particularly in the last few years has been presenting them under the auspices of *'a transition of recognized authority'*. Alright, figuratively speaking at least, they've manifestly made their obeisance to a counter-Divine Will basis known and public. So here we manifestly are, and per Duty to Divine Will I've got to reject them on that basis. In many senses, it's a bit late to rationalize it away now.

It put Me in mind, through free-association, of something I'm presenting here exclusively as an overt metaphor. It's a bit of dialogue presented at the end of ['The Game'](#), a psychological thriller which itself presents as quite an overt metaphor, has symbolic *'terms of concern'* which are applicable here exclusively as evidence, and about which I probably ought to supply a *'content advisory'*. A rich, 'successful' businessman finds himself caught up in a sequence of unpleasant *'head trippery'*, which I suppose is the whole point of *'psychological thrillers'*, and at *its grand finale* a desperate appeal is made to him. *'Think about*

it, what did you see? No what did you really, really see, this whole time? Special effects. Squibs, [...] like in the movies. I've adjusted that quote slightly, in order to remove a counter-Divine Will declarator symbol via [alliteration] of the nineteenth letter of the alphabet. And due to the nature of the scene itself, it could also likely be misinterpreted as something 'of concern' and 'resistance' on My part, so per Duty to Divine Will I'm clearly and solidly making it known that it isn't the situation here. Much of the reason I'd free-associated to the piece has been due to My appreciating just how [absurd] the situation is. Incidentally, the ending seems a little less than 'true to life' and there appears to be [at least one alternate ending](#).

But My readers, you've been with Me here as I've practically textually livestreamed the situation openly and publicly, and we've all endured the overt headlines and the atrocities manifested by the apostate system throughout. What did we 'really, really see?' Twenty thousand literally dead Palestinians, with plenty more lost from starvation and getting marched from place to place. More literally dead Ukrainians and Russians from another needless *ersatz* 'war' manufactured by the apostate system. Australian wildfires that were quite suspicious indeed. Systematized violations of Divinely-conferred rights via 'lockdowns', a term which usually only applies to the incarcerated. And of course the usual mass murders of literal children rationalized under the rhetoric of 'abortion rights', itself an apostate system-perpetrated public fraud used to rationalize the violation of Divinely-conferred rights which actually *do* exist; rights pretty much always stop where they'd encroach against someone else's rights. Altogether, we've lost more human lives in the literal States to abortions than in all the *ersatz* 'wars' the country has ever been in, *combined*. The States. And the overwhelming majority of them have been 'elective', meaning, 'Because I decided to get one.' A Lawless mentality propagated by the counter-Divine Will Lawlessness of the apostate system. And then of course there's the usual rights-violating legislation, incarceration, and the plundering of entire economic systems via their money value the world over.

If it's all just 'a head trip', it's certainly an exceptionally convincing one. I must admit to a rather figuratively morbid mild fascination to see how if at all they resolve this when the year clicks over. Do all those literally massacred Palestinians just suddenly get up like 'crisis actors', clock out and head for home? The apostate system are indeed extremely clever; we should all know that

by now. It's certainly feasible that they could have just contrived all this, somehow, and they certainly have the means. It just seems rather unlikely, that's all. Quite a lot of effort and suffering, and along with that, what it *hasn't* been is them upholding Divine Will and True Nature instead. And wasn't that the implied premise and terms on which [Isis] had sought My assistance and support?

That there's no valid excuse for knowingly, Willfully and avoidably Choosing against Divine Will and True Nature is the one of the major premises of Divine Will principles. [Isis] appears to have left herself to attempt to explain the inexplicable. And the Lawless.

As we're closing out the year and ostensibly closing out matters, I've noticed over the last few years the Establishment personnel appearing to strain to make references to the idea of *'a new leader, who's a populist!'* If they're alluding to Myself, I suppose I ought to clarify. I find I'm *'for the People'* more often than not, usually because the manifest nature of the apostate system means that's where the vast majority of the transgressions of Divinely-conferred rights are happening. I'm a Divine Will principles-affirming, Common Law-supporting *egalitarian*. That is, the People don't automatically *'get a free pass'* just because they're in the majority, any more than Divine Will gives one to a majority. Right is right, and wrong is wrong. The People manifestly usually have some mitigating circumstance, like a lack of awareness of Divine Will principles and True Nature, and again that's usually because the apostate system had manifestly usurped that information and agency from them. First causes. How one purports to have an organization devoted to promoting awareness of and upholding Divine Will and True Nature, yet after all this time have a public which is majority [minors], I'm unable to explain either.

As for, *'How would we have known what actual Divine Will is to uphold, until You showed up?'*, I'm thinking it likely would've helped if people who'd actually been Choosing and upholding Divine Will hadn't been subjugated, extirpated and eventually incrementally subverted by the apostate system, like the Common Law advocates, the Protestants and so on. It doesn't seem quite fair nor reasonable for an organization to cause itself and humanity countless manifest problems, and then blame those problems for their resulting predicament. Perhaps they're more used to people accepting generic cop-outs, I'm not sure.

The Disappearance of the Girl Who Never Existed

This week or so, I've also been evaluating the apparent fraud perpetrated on the rank and file personnel with regard to the 'contingencies' scheme as I'd presented in My last. If this is so, then we could reasonably expect it to be corroborated by evidence, via non-overt organizing symbolism emplaced. That would presumably mean that we'd just have to scan major media items for the relevant symbols, and examine very closely and carefully how they were being used to establish and organize the relevant schemes. Because I'm Me and the 'contingency' I'm the most familiar with is the one *involving* Me, I started looking through [katsune]-oriented and -derivative symbolism prominently appearing in semi-recent major media and pop culture. And I'd started thinking more on that [holograms] symbol, with them being "a [track] of the [light]", with [light] evidently supposedly referring to Divine Will but apparently more accurately referring to the idol [Billie], the [Apollo] and [the Sun] bit, etc., where [the Sun] usually replaces [Taurus], the [bill]. Ancient pagan stuff, with a lot of pre-existing evidence establishing the organizing symbolism. Throughout the more 'assistive' [Isis]-oriented media I'd encountered through the decades, [holograms] kept being presented. So was this just a modernized format in which to present this literally thousands of years-old apostate system counter-Divine Will organizing symbolism? Hmm. Where could I find and study major semi-recent pop culture references to [holograms], particularly associated with media and [music], as in [Isis]-[Aphrodite]? Maybe with additional '[katsune] contingency' imagery and symbolism associated, just to make sure?

Ah, right. What's-her-name, presented absolutely exclusively as evidence. So for those unfamiliar, [get this](#). While the apostate system routinely continues perpetrating its various atrocities overt and non-, it keeps the public entertained with some newer implementations of its old [tracks]. Crowds gather in huge darkened auditoriums to stare at a big plane of [glass], and cheer on [image projections](#) of a 'virtual' perky, strategically-charismatic animated Japanese girl character as she dances and sings her way through pre-programmed songs and computer-generated animation sequences. Pretty cyberpunk dystopian, *neh chummer?*

Let's get a scan of her associated organizing symbolism then. Her big gimmick is of course the [technology] involved, something that as we've seen has rather counter-Divine Will symbolism associations. The [holigram] component doesn't seem to be quite ready yet, and they're still projecting 'her' onto a plane of [glass], but they're apparently working on a three-dimensional version and won't *that* be a cause for major hype once they've got it 'ready for primetime'. The other component is 'her' [voice] [technology]. It's basically an advanced computer synthesizer into which can be programmed just about anything, which must be awfully convenient for those controlling 'her'. Just a more expedient version of how the apostate system's been managing 'its' human talent for ages now. I've presented already how [speech] and [words] are used symbolically to reference a counter-Divine Will basis; all [singing] does is add in an [Aphrodite] symbolic component via [the arts]. And that would be enough to not only associate 'her' symbolically to [Isis], but also reference 'a new campaign, project or effort that personnel ought to get in on on the ground floor!' Then of course we've got the symbol of [voice] itself, used on some of the 'lesser layers' to refer to an effort or campaign of deliberately very limited duration, such as when election coverage hype routinely refers to giving whatever favored demographic-of-the-moment "a voice". 'Hmm. 'Temporary and brief', you say?'

But why? Because a [trick], that is, a [deceit], only works until the crowds catch onto it. And a [holigram] is by definition "a [trick] of the [light]". And [trick] is a derivative or associative [katsune] symbol. 'A temporary or fleeting illusion of Divine Will via the [katsune] 'contingency' scheme.'

Uhh, alright. We reading too much into this? Let's scan the rest of 'her' symbolism. All this effort, and what specifically are they presenting? A [female], so, [Isis] or some derivative, likely [Aphrodite]. This is sounding familiar already. Next most prominent traits: her long, flowing [hair], which'd be [Tiamat] symbolism. Non-overt, counter-Divine Will-predicated. But wait, because they've also [twin]ed it. So that'd be the Babylonian Kabbalist [Gemini], or an [Asian] [katsune] reference. Either a [trick], or a counterfeiting of something, depending on how it's parsed. And specifically *how* they've opted to [twin] it makes for rather over-exaggerated [bunny] ears. I'm sure I don't have to alert readers to how well [rabbits] typically get on with [foxes]. We do know however that Babylonian Kabbalism uses [rabbit] as a [fertility] symbol, meaning 'a new

cause, effort or campaign we intend to proliferate, under the auspices of it supposedly representing new [life]'. Hence their deployment of the organizing symbolism of "[down] the [rabb] [h]le]" among their 'limited exposure' 'troother' campaign propagandists.

Both her [har] and usually her outfits are on the very [white] side of the color spectrum; we can therefore parse the symbolism that the crowds are meant to cheer on what she represents, both overtly and non-. And as for her name? It's from the Japanese characters for 'first', 'sound', and 'future', so her name means 'first sound of the future.' She's a prototype.

If you were an organization which for thousands of years used [light] as an organizing symbol to reference supposed alignment with Divine Will, but actually still representing a counter-Divine Will basis, wouldn't you use symbols like this to reference the idea as you established a similar, derivative bogus 'contingency' like their [k]tsune] scheme?

That likely explains why her [har] and her outfits also make use of that [hanging down] symbolic motif usually associated with supposed 'resistance' or 'anti-Establishment' causes and efforts. Like getting someone new and Divine Will-aligned into the office of [Osiris] to replace the incumbent.

How do you make someone who never actually existed 'disappear'? In one sense pretty easily actually, but in another nothing like it. From the routine symbolism 'read' I tend to do, I'm familiar with them having presented the concept of forcibly deprecating the importance of 'the media' in the alternate 'space' and 'ALIENS!' version of the supposed 'contingency' scheme. For example, and presented exclusively as evidence, we had the otherwise non-overtly incongruous gruff, authoritarian, "You [b]x] 'em. You ship 'em." scene in 'Mrs. Doubtfire' when they were non-overtly organizing the supposed 'contingencies'. Minor language advisory there. So how well does that comport with this? Less than two months after her software came out, searches for 'her' quickly became so numerous that the algorithms of major search engines guessed that they were the result of spam or abuse and automatically blocked them, and suddenly there was a copyright dispute takedown of her wiki page. We'd appear to be seeing pre-planning of a top-down, 'authoritarian' maneuver to put a stop to her. Of course their 'contingency' scheme hadn't resolved, so the result wasn't

permanent but apparently just for organizing purposes: fans protested, the 'mistake' was corrected at the search engines, the wiki entry was restored and the event was used as the basis for a new collection of Miku songs, a [novelization](#), a new franchise character, and [an anthem track](#) in which she was babbling more than ever. English translation [here](#), and to confirm this is all entirely presented as evidence.

“The End of the World”

Contemplating these apparently-bogus 'contingencies', and some of the other symbolic tropes associated with them, had me revisiting this one in particular. Indeed, [it's making headlines again as I write this. Here's](#) the attention-grabber of an article, which at least appears to be a laundry list of non-overt items the apostate system instead intends to deprecate, along with a lot of decidedly un-[Christmassy] other headlines. Probably not entirely inappropriate, considering. Then again with the inclusion of and indeed emphasis on [technology] with its counter-Divine Will connotations, figuratively 'all buttons are off'.

So what does this symbolic trope appear to amount to? It's usually “The End of the World”, followed sometime thereafter by “A New World” and or “The [Downing] of a New [Day]”. That last would be [the Sun] of course, with its [Apollo]-[Mithraic] symbolism, and therefore these would appear to involve the [Horus]-cum-[Osiris] transition scheme. We've seen from the use of “New World Order” that it doesn't refer to who's actually in control of the apostate system, but merely the public-facing lackeys, and we know that in the apostate system's lingo [sphere] equals [circle] equals [zero] or [Ouroboros], a thing done 'just for effect' lacking actual substance. We also know that “End” if parsed as [destruction] usually implies a counter-Divine Will concept. And that those, likely only at the more superficial 'layers', purport to be used as a mere 'inversion' symbol, which supposedly would just 'invert' to 'Naww, this is an actual, real change in things!' However, given the 'working theory' and its context, this would seem instead to parse if anything to something like, 'A counter-Divine Will-predicated scheme to get rid of one illusion, only to replace it with another.'

That would be totally in keeping with the 'working theory' context and indeed appear to corroborate and support it.

Other media

While still unsure what if anything to make of the 'Arch[r] finale', the next 'Welcome to Night Vale' ep came out and seemed to imply the usual 'Look out, everyone! He's 'resistance'-aligned and dangerous! [He is holding a knife](#)' implications. The same as so often, and yet not. Emphasis was made for once regarding basic follow-up questions like, 'Is he threatening anyone with it?', answer: 'No.' and so on, though there was an instance of a standard 'resistance' non-overt trope in which apostate system personnel appear to provide information of assistance and value to ostensibly assist the apparent 'resistance'-affiliated individual with their agendas. Depending on context, [knife] as a symbol could reference quite a few various things; usually it's only in-context that the intended definition becomes apparent. It could refer for example to 'understanding something', to 'disabling a counterfeit version of something', to 'disrespect and abuse', or as a 'lower layer' symbolic reference to download sex or to some recreational substance use. Or even, as an [iron] derivative symbol, a reference to Rome and it's [Mars] or [Ares]-associated warfare. The non-overt content presented also, like the 'Arch[r] material, appeared to use a 'lower layer' 'double inversion' format, though of course this too was predicated on a counter-Divine Will-declared basis, so as usual with that 'all bets are off'. Honestly by now the organization has manifestly established itself as being on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, so with or without the symbolism declaring it present it would presumably remain thus. Also, per the name given to the boy, who's apparently been a placeholder for Myself, at the end he's apparently a younger version of [a recurring character](#) who's both the protagonist's symbolic [twin], and ostensibly thwarting a [corporation] symbolically representing a counter-Divine Will basis. Except of course that the symbolism *itself* is on that basis, leaving it apparently unreliable and effectively useless.

And since I'd still had the finale of 'Star Trek: The Next Generation' converted to audio I went through it again and contemplated it as I went about My chores.

And with the non-overt patterns 'Arch \blacksquare r', 'N \blacksquare ght Vale' and so on had presented, it 'clicked' that this too had been done in 'do \blacksquare ble inversion' format, only in symbolic lingo that was more familiar to Me, [Aphrod \blacksquare te] [French]. As I'd mentioned before, I'd free-associated to it because quite a few of 'Q's' admonitions to Picard had become relevant to the situation. I'd scanned it, found not much more than that as relevant, and put it off to the side. Instead, the apparent 'r \blacksquare le-sw \blacksquare pping' between the tw \blacksquare of them would appear to satisfy one 'inversion', given that usually it's the crew with whom I'm supposed to relate, and that 'Q's' outr \blacksquare geous [ego] would satisfy the other. Again, this is [Is \blacksquare s] et. al implementing the pattern. At any rate, Picard's [bra \blacksquare n] [dis \blacksquare se] would ordinarily 'parse' as a supposed 'resistance' att \blacksquare ck against the apostate system, and what's more one which supposedly was predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis and as such was deemed 'fair g \blacksquare me'. But again, with the counter-Divine Will-declaratory symbolism 'all b \blacksquare ts are off', and those would appear to yet again reference the apostate system's position and, given that that basis is inherently unreliable and non-credible, implied reports about others become likewise.

The 'Arch \blacksquare r' finale, finally

With these non-overt patterns having been elaborated on a little more, bits of this had started 'clicking' for Me. Alright, it's still on a blatantly counter-Divine Will basis so it's invalid. Just as, manifestly, so is the apostate system; we've established that already. We also know that this manifestly hasn't been keeping them from distributing invalid directives via organizing symbolism and conspiring to transgress against Divine Will and True Nature, so per Duty to Divine Will I still had to sl \blacksquare g through the non-overtly implied content regardless. There's a lot, so I'll briefly li \blacksquare ne-item the more salient bits.

Found [k \blacksquare y], looking for a [l \blacksquare ck] - A phall \blacksquare c [Osir \blacksquare s]-[Is \blacksquare s] reference; trying to make a [B \blacksquare l-Had \blacksquare d], a comb \blacksquare ning of both. Also appears to be a metaphor for that 'admission of guilt' media item that [Is \blacksquare s] slid My w \blacksquare y.

'Mr. Snappy' - Appears to be a modernized, recontextualized variant of a traditional symbol referring to Someone aligned with Divine Will keeping things figuratively **and symbolically** "together", such as the organization itself. *In situ*,

this would seem to reference them [filling apart] due to a lapse of organizational [integrity], and you'll notice that this item had started off with a counter-Divine Will declarator symbol via [alliteration].

'Tom Sawyer' - Been encountering this quite a bit from [Isis] during "[Chaos] mode", including from 'Moonlighting' season five, ep seven. Classic [Americana] about [minors] I guess, the intimation seeming to be that given that the subject matter concerns [children] there's nothing symbolic to be read into it, supposedly. Then again 'a Sawyer' is someone who saws wooden boards, and given that in Christian symbolism individual people are symbolized as [trees] that's still not especially comforting.

'What's in the [box]?' - 'Nothing', obviously. Something on a counter-Divine Will basis, as in [the cube of Saturn], ought not to exist. And yet here they still manifestly are, walking, talking etc.. Here they've also supplied various alternative options: something major instead, or perhaps something middling.

Hatsune Miku callback - They've got Barry temporarily as a [holigram] projected from that [box], and this is a callback to the series' own frequent presentation of [their reference to 'her'](#) back in the earlier seasons. Putting out that counter-Divine Will-predicated 'contingency' organizing symbolism, evidently. [Holigram] Barry is presented in [white], and [black], and [in drag]. That last is a comparatively easy-access symbolic association with [dragon], representing a counter-Divine Will basis, or Rome, or Babylon depending on the specific 'layer' in use, often all simultaneously.

"The identity of your father" - Overtly, a quick attempt at closure from the earlier seasons. But symbolically, everything to do with who the primary human authority figure as in [Osiris] is, and context-dependent, what the parent of a franchise group is. Metaphysically, whether someone identifies with a Divine Will or a counter-Divine Will as their Authority and basis. Slater attempts to force Archer to support his interests with this, and the apparent supposed 'inversions' here are that he *doesn't* already know, and it *doesn't* especially interest him.

[Dm] [explosion], resulting [found] that'll wipe out a village of those who'd built it, and cause a reversion to the Old World Order - As usual, the [dm] symbol would equate to whether or not someone rejects non-overt symbolism that's

predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis. Of course the whole concept and symbolism is predicated on that very same basis, so it too is about as trustworthy as a stopped clock. But as typically deployed, it seems to be preoccupied as to whether or not someone will just accept such a basis as though it were legit, and operate as though it were rather than rejecting it.

[**G**ambling] emphasis - This is pretty much always a [**F**ortuna] symbolic reference. Usually regards 'insider knowledge' of the outcome of sports events, stock market picks, that sort of thing, all predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis and proffered as incentives for 'buying into' it. Appears to be conflated here in context with the mutually-recognized implications that by organizing symbolically on a counter-Divine Will basis, and then eventually sliding that to Me as evidence, in applying it as such I'd be doing the same thing. Also appears to be an ostensibly 'inverted' metaphor for the apostate system having finally decided to quit 'doubbling down' on their counter-Divine Will-predicated hokum, but I guess we'll see.

Slater as "the New World Order", and a Choice between [worlds] - Appears to be more 'contingency' stuff. We encounter it again in the closing scene between Archer and Lana, who symbolically appear to be ostensibly 'inverted' via 'role-swapping': If he's the [katsune] and she's [Lis], it'd be I who'd be 'catching' her. Incidentally we see more 'role-swapping' throughout, some of it via symbolism, and once when Lana repeatedly screams, 'Archer!' rather than the other way 'round as per usual.

[**B**heading] Jesus - Fairly self-evident, considering. He's presented in [statue] form, which I suppose would fulfill the supposed 'inversion'. Also, for an ep which claims not to want to present as blasphemous it certainly does so on many occasions.

Milton - We get series closure on what happens to the CIA's special [copier]-and-[toaster] [robot]: he's defunct **at the bottom** of the elevator shaft into which the agency has always discarded people. It would at first glance appear to be organizing deprecating a counter-Divine Will basis, yet as the organizing symbolism *itself* presents very much on same, 'all bits are off'.

Getting Barry out of the [fridge] - So a few eps ago they put his mind into a

[refrigerator]. That'd seem to be [cold], but of course there's [technology] there too making it a counter-Divine Will organizing symbol for them. It appears to be doing 'double duty' here, both as [Isis] purporting to get counter-Divine Will-aligned efforts back [into container] and 'under control' again as we'd encountered in [that recent 'Night Vale' episode](#), and as getting [cold], that is, Divine Will-aligned, [out of container] and mainstreamed, including Myself. Per that 'working theory', that would definitely account for why they had to present this not only with [technology] as an underlying counter-Divine Will declarator, but with yet another via the geometry of a stretched [cube]. Here Barry's now upset that Krieger had said he'd get him out of there, but instead hasn't. Why? He'd gotten "[bored](#)".

Similarly, we get much the same thing via *tempting Archer with Kitya*, the [robotic] [female] [love] interest with the amazing vibrating detachable naughty bits. Again with the counter-Divine Will declaratory symbolism, making her quite the "femme-butt fatale". Here she's presented as figuratively twofaced, and they eventually get Barry into the mix and have Archer "unite" with them both. Seems to be a symbolic allusion to modifying [Isis] to something more overt-oriented, per an augmentation I've presented here previously, yet still counter-Divine Will-predicated, which of course is a non-starter. Pass.

'Can't join us if you're [dead]' - Seems to be yet another instance of 'try to get Him onto a counter-Divine Will basis, then use leverage to compel Him to accept us on a counter-Divine Will basis'. Same old shtick, and they're about out of time in many senses.

Other tidbits

They've been putting out some apparently 'anti-Establishment' [negative hype about Rudy Giuliani](#). But he's 'big and important' and [officially recognized in service to Rome](#). So in hyping Rudy they're hyping Rome and the apostate system-as-per-usual. Situation 'normal'.

The Establishment media have been on a lot this year about Taylor Swift. Most likely due to her '[Shake It Off](#)', as [shaking] and [quaking] are very symbolically relevant for [Isis] who appears to use it as both a common-use 'resistance'

symbol and as an *'I don't actually mean this stuff I'm doing and saying!'* reference via [muscle spasms]. But I should add that the symbolism of her name has a more pronounced translation in the [Aphrodite] [French] that's more familiar to Me. A [female] would suggest an *'inverted mode'* via the concept of an inadvertent error, and that'd be reiterated with the concept of [clipping] which does the same. And [swiftness] would be [past], symbolically depicting an alignment with Divine Will and a legitimate status that someone has. Therefore it would parse out to something like, *'Either properly aligned with Divine Will and legitimate, or an innocent error and an invalid status, but not specifying which.'* This is due to the listener's choice of where to apply the *'inversion'* there. So it'd come out to some amount of prevarication, and I've got to say that from what we've all seen neither alternative appears to be correct. [Isis]' manifest pattern of behavior has self-evidently been *neither* correct and Divine Will-aligned, *nor* the result of an *'innocent mistake, sincerely-made'*. Even much of the overt headlines through the past few years prove that out.

Next, something I've presented under *"Spillage"* likely deserves additional consideration in another context. It's [this](#). The brief news cycle bit about, *'Stinks are up, inflation's down, the economy should be doing just great this coming year!'* I mean for starters when they say *'inflation's down'* they typically mean *the rate* of inflation has temporarily decreased. That is, the rate at which the apostate system is robbing everybody by de-valuing the currency has temporarily slowed. They're still manifestly doing it, and they're increasing it, they're just not increasing it as quickly at the moment. Now let's get to the *'stinks'* part of it. It's that [Fortuna] bit where the people with non-overtly gleaned *'insider information'* tend to do rather well on the stock market, and get preoccupied with share prices on stock charts. But have you noticed the symbolism inherent in those charts? [\[Straight Lines\]](#) and [\[Jagged Lines\]](#). Symbolically that references *'overt venue'* and *'counter-Divine Will basis'*, respectively. And this concept is absolutely relevant in the methodology of how they do what they do. They're effectively beholden to putting *'their'* money where they're directed to, with the result that they've become a major public support base for whatever counter-Divine Will-predicated shenanigans the apostate system decide to implement in the corporate and financial realm. If or when they don't, they *'lose out'* at least financially. So figuratively it's just another form of addiction to a counter-Divine Will basis, with fiat currency as their *'drug of choice'*. Just another means of *'selling out'*. But what this appears

to organize here is the idea of proffering various incentives in an attempt to get Me to do just that. Pass.

That'd be the figurative 'carr█t', and now for the 'st█ck'. As I've m█ntioned, [Is█s] has been non-overtly investing in efforts to at least imply to Me that if I continue to insist on a legitimate, Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis and thus have to reject the office, they're going to 'Kenn█dy' Me. For starters even if they were serious, given the sort of w█rld they've deteriorated things into and My literal life in particular, that wouldn't be much of a thre█t. Add to that that it's pretty much logistically unfeasible. It'd be a pretty blatant admission to the rank and file personnel that they're Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. They've implied that they'd 'get aro█nd' that by making even more knowingly-untrue allegations against Me that I'm 'resistance' and 'up to something awful'. That I'm not Choosing a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis, and that as such I'm 'expendable'. Sure, right. By now they've established, publicly if non-overtly to the rank and file that that isn't the situation. It would look phenomenally non-credible coming out of them by now, particularly given that they're manifestly on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis themselves. Besides, it wouldn't make sense to the rank and file that someone about to come into inheriting the whole organization would opt for 'resistance' against it. Major figurative pl█t h█le. I've known all along that there's only so much and so long they can figuratively 'p█sh' this, and even by their own reckoning that will have completely r█n out by the end of this year. Personnel have likely deferred their grumbling and estrangement with the organization in large part due to a sense of fairness, but eventually that patience we█rs out and they're pretty much there. The very 'credibility restoration' agenda item that [Is█s] appears to have intended to accomplish with Me and the whole [Hor█s]-cum-[Osir█s] thing would presumably b█ckf█re in a major w█y, and quite suddenly, were such a blatant internal admission to be made via something like that. They're smart enough to know it, I know it, and each knows that the other does. Meanwhile investing in efforts to imply that definitely come off as 'knowing, willful and avoidable disrespect' not to m█ntion 'abuse', and appear to manifestly result in yet another count against [Is█s]. And as we re█ch the close of the year any 'grace p█riod' she would've had would definitely have lapsed; any recurrence of it especially b█yond that would be pretty clinching against her and the organization as a while, as indeed most of their manifest b█havior has already manifestly been. I think she's in enough tro█ble here already, but then what do I

know. A reminder that I'm per Duty eschewing the office if and while it's mutually-exclusive with Choosing Divine Will and True Nature, so I'm hardly going to 'sell out' for less. That's just common sense and rather self-evident to all familiar with the situation. [Is]s ostensibly wanted Someone Who'd Choose and uphold Divine Will and True Nature, and convenient for her or not that's precisely what she got. I deem it would be exceedingly contrary to the best interests of the apostate system to have Someone Choosing less than that legitimate basis as their officeholder, indeed as it manifestly has been for the last umpty-thousand years. It would be particularly 'resistance' of Me to pull something like that Myself, and continue the organization on the intensely precarious position it already manifestly occupies. If she's going to prep Someone in Choosing Divine Will and True Nature, approve them for appointment into an office on that basis, and then dislike the Divine Will principles-consistent assessments and determinations they make, that rather seems like a 'her' problem. Also a counter-True Nature problem, it's just unworthy of her to imply regardless of how insincerely-meant it presumably was.

And on that, [here](#)'s an apparent example of the figurative 'carr[red]t' which has appeared already. Middle col[red]mn, just below Texas appropriately enough, with the full article [here](#). Yyeah, that Common Law stuff [I've been on about for a while](#)? 'National Lib[red]erty Alliance', Brent Allan W[red]nters, etc.? On what the apostate system regards as 'Christm[red]s Ev[red]', the Establishment media it manifestly occupies decided to hype those efforts. Constitution, Common Law, state militias etc., from non-collusive folks. How awesome, right? The implied concept being that we're gonna get everything sorted out here in short order, that the organization is 'going legit'. Except I'm aware of the caveat, and it makes for great illustration of the manifest problem here. Those non-collusive, sincere, well-meaning folks have been caught up in the apostate system's 'limited exposure' 'troother' fraud perpetrated by 'their' social media prop[red]gandist shills. You've likely heard the routine. 'Tr[red]mp is a Wh[red]te H[red]t! When he and the rest of the Patriot Military take over, they're gonna sort out the nifferous Cabal of the Deep State!' and all the rest of it. Moral: As legit as something is, while the apostate system manifestly remains on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis all they're doing is 'ch[red]nging chann[red]ls' here. It's the same thing in whatever f[red]rm. It'd definitely be a nice thing, if not for that. Without that, it's nothing. Or rather, it's just the same Lawlessness with a new and varied presentation. Therefore, we're still waiting for its necessary prerequisite: credible

and verifiable proof that the organization and [Isis] in particular are indeed Choosing a legitimate Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. And given the timeline, the delays and especially the recent needless atrocities, the stuff we've manifestly encountered has effectively been clinching *disproof* of that. So as eager as I've been to see overt justice implemented, this appears to be just another empty counterfeit of that while the prerequisites are still absent. Not 'Pass' here exactly, but irrelevant and therefore *'in abeyance'*.

Next, some clarification on what appears to be implied threats of the figurative 'stick'. I've recently had at least two counter-Divine Will-predicated apostate system personnel who I care about on a personal level appear to imply that they've made counter-Divine Will-predicated inferences about things I've presented where I wouldn't have parsed any problems. Some amount of sincere misunderstandings occasionally happen, but the timing involved and the fact that they're still on a self-declared counter-Divine Will basis themselves make that seem pretty unlikely, and therefore disappointing. If I know you personally, and you've recently inferred some intentional less than Divine Will and True Nature-aligned meaning from Me, I'm making it openly and publicly clear that My intention was very much otherwise. Also, I think you should know Me by now much better than that and very likely do. If it assists, keep in mind that given that I'm not *'selling out'* for the supposedly greater offers, I'm not going to do it for the comparatively lesser ones either. From here, they both look the same: counter-Divine Will-predicated worthlessness. That doesn't make for an especially inspiring manifest realm incidentally, and you should know Me well enough to know that's nothing I want. But given the timing and the statistically unlikely frequency of those instances, they look likely to be a matter of people on a self-declared counter-Divine Will basis investing in counter-Divine Will-predicated agenda items, specifically to try to undermine Me with implied threats enough to try to get Me to stop Choosing a Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis. So, disappointing, but then seeing those close to you non-overtly declaring themselves to be on a counter-Divine Will basis is already disappointing from the start. What happens past that is more of a byproduct of that Choice than anything else.

Whether *'carry'* or *'stick'*, when I'm presented with either it appears to be just another count of wrongdoing from the apostate system, and usually [Isis] in particular. It's *'disrespectful'* to Someone Choosing Divine Will and True Nature

to invest efforts into trying to get them to stop Choosing those, regardless of whether or not they've accepted an office within the manifestly apostate system. It also would appear to come out to whatever the Divine Will principles version of 'treason' is, for what's loyalty to an organization if there's disloyalty to the Divine Will that it at least purports to serve? An overt equivalent would be to cheer on whatever ones' government officials decree, while abandoning the Constitution that's established, authorized and limited their offices; that is, support for the implementation of a thing while systematically contravening its foundational principles. Hence, 'treason' or whatever equivalent. Pretty basic, common sense reasoning there.

Conclusion

Right, we've got about a week of psychologically-undefined territory between what the apostate system considers 'Christmas' or whatever its preferred ancient pagan original version was, and the Gregorian 'New Year'. Not sure what their exact timing is, but pretty sure it's not relevant because it doesn't address the fundamentals. Dates, like [numbers] and quantities, aren't the stuff on which principals are based, and the situation manifestly remains the same other than having been compounded in the interim.

Apostate system liabilities have manifestly increased and compounded, of course. Even the overt headlines establish that for all to see. But the first priority, I would think, is for them to solidly, conclusively and verifiably establish that they're Choosing Divine Will and True Nature. It should be easier for them, at least in theory, now that they've established that they recognize My own Choice for same. Presumably no more need for indirect, non-overt communication attempts; I'm aware of no reason why it couldn't be direct and overt. Until they've managed to establish those things of course, I'm relegated to regarding them with all the lack of trust that is due to those on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, and that's quite by unavoidable necessity. How they're going to manage that I'm not sure, but I do know they're smart enough not to have put themselves into an unrecoverable situation and that they were equipped to see this coming well in advance, so this will presumably be interesting. Per Duty to Divine Will, the People and indeed any Duty to the organization I must and do avoid [touching] the office without that basic

prerequisite sorted.

I suppose in theory they could opt *not* to sort that, with all the figurative internal personnel bludgeoned *that* would involve. Doesn't seem like a desirable option from here I suppose, and of course there would still be the matter of the manifest 'damages and liability' they've already incurred. I'm all for sorting matters in a civilized and peaceable format; how exactly should I invoice them for it? I'm not familiar with their procedure there. I suppose in theory they could opt to use their organizational clout to *refuse* to make fair recompense for that, just figuratively 'stonewall' on it, and that too would be representative of a Choice for a counter-Divine Will and counter-True Nature basis. And what a logistically interesting precedent *that* would establish for the rank and file personnel: If the organization 'doesn't have to pay its bills', it would be establishing by example that the rank and file personnel don't have to pay their bills to it. An agent represents his principal.

Beyond the logistics, I'm not clear on how Someone Choosing a Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis even *could* accept recompense from those on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. Not without risking it getting baselessly and strategically recontextualized and misrepresented by the latter as 'accepting benefits, considerations and the like' and thus 'selling out' to it. Overt equivalent: How the overt governments occupied by the apostate system have recently begun publicly misconstruing the retirement plans into which people have been paying all their working lives, money they put in on the commitment to receive them back, as instead 'benefits from the government' when that's plainly not the situation. You know they'd do it; they do it overtly already, and those on a counter-Divine Will basis cannot by definition be trusted. Therefore it seems from here like the organization will have to sort itself out with regard to its basis in order even just to pay off its existing debts in order to persist, regardless of whether or not they resolve things sufficiently enough for Me to accept an office. Splendid, and as it should be I'd think.

Trust is vital. If I'm to actually have agency the apostate system won't have manifestly usurped for once, then the Choice of whether or not to accept the office would have to be in large part contingent on it credibly and verifiably being on a legitimate, Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis and continuing on same permanently. Whenever [Is] has manifestly [highlighted] Me, transgressing

against My Divinely-conferred rights and so on, she's done so with little or no immediate recourse on My part. Superiority of numbers, that sort of thing. She never should've done it to begin with, but without a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned what's to stop her from doing it just as baselessly, whenever? Again, lack of recourse on My part. I never accepted that, she just inflicted the situation upon Me. With some amount of agency, I'd certainly have to knowingly reject that sort of thing.

How she's going to get the privacy rights violations sorted out will likely be interesting as well. 'Unsharing' private information once it's out seems a lost cause, so retroactively 'undoing it' would seem the most likely solution. But in order to legitimately use those abilities, she'd presumably first have to establish credibly and verifiably that *those* are on a legitimate Divine Will-aligned basis rather than underwritten by counter-Divine Will-predicated 'spirit entities'. It would appear she's manifestly set herself up for some rather sizeable tasks there. I'm familiar enough with her though to have confidence in her capabilities though, if not currently of her basis.

It's rather curious, though. I'd started out My adolescent and adult life having noticed that something was pretty self-evidently wrong with the world, and determining that I'd get to the bottom of it and get it sorted out. I'd manifestly spend the next few decades with [Isis] blocking Me on that, apparently claiming to the organization that I was Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis despite presumably knowing full well better. All while she continued [fixblighting] Me and routinely and systematically violating My Divinely-conferred rights in various ways. It currently looks from here like the organization's 'contingency' scheme was a two-way fraud campaign, so to put this as a summary in terms the Babylonian Kabbalists would likely find more comfortable and familiar: "It's as though they'd interrupted a legitimate resurrection spell, in favor of a cut-rate counterfeit version, because the organization can't afford to have an authentic one." That situation is very curious indeed, and it doesn't lend itself to much trust nor confidence.

And for all her various abilities, the manifest timeline remains as-yet uncorrected, nor has she approached Me to resolve the preliminaries. I'm sure if she intends to she will quite soon, and if she doesn't it'll make her Choice of position and basis, and thus the organization's, quite plain to the rank and file

personnel in the process. I'm professionally doing nothing here, beyond researching, presenting information openly, clearly, publicly and honestly, and retaining and applying a Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis. Office or not, that is what I'm supposed to be doing, certainly.

I'm on to evaluating some recent, less professionally-oriented content now. We can reasonably infer that she'll approach Me openly and directly to resolve this situation quite soon. Even to the rank and file personnel, her literal time *not* to do so is about up.

- [December twentieth, 2023]

All media references presented completely and exclusively as evidence, not even any media references personal or 'friends-plus' relevant.

Redistribute freely, keeping the text as-is.

Social media:

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Discord](#) (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

[RSS feed](#)

[Archive torrent](#)

My exposure sites:

[Divine Will Assembly](#)

[Lexicon](#)