'Rerens'

The usual disclaimer applies, with a few mode fiers that I'll make plain throughout.

'These guys', and I'm not sure what to accurately term them from here on out, the non-overt equivalent of 'an illegitimate parieth state' maybe? Except they're currently manifestly in the majority as the result of their various campaigns predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis. I suppose I'll keep terming them 'the apostate system' for the sake of basic readability. At any rate, it's 'business as manifestly usual' for them still.

As a convenient, publicly-available overt metaphor I'm going to use the manifest situation in Gaza here to illustrate the formula. People need to be able to recognize the routine, if they're going to stay alert and quit buying into it. First up, the apostate system's basis is manifestly off-kilter with regard to Divine Will and at least implies, from an inherently non-credible position, that it's desperately trying to sort it all out. Yet it manifestly takes unnecessarily long and while it's doing so, manufactures one or more blatantly unnecessary overt atrocities. They're convenient to various short- or mid-term agenda items it already had, sure, but that's just 'business as usual'. So it keeps figuratively 'd gging itself in d per'.

Then it proceed do to have a rather slow and protrected figurative 'tug of wer' 'peppet show' in which various figurative 'seck peppets' overtly give their support to the atrocity, or publicly profess to object to the thing. The supposed contention is just manufactured of course; they're all still 'dutifully' supporting the same thing which brought on the additional needless atrocities, but their supposed contention and the idea that efforts are 'in-process' to get it sorted out keep the Establishment from looking overtly completely led py and thus provide a sort of alibi to keep the masses complacent throughout it all. 'Confidence in the system; it'll get it all sorted out eventually' while people are still overtly being mass merdered by it. Manufacturing complacency tends to be a fairly easy matter when most people have become too ignorant and miseducated to even know their viable and legitimate options to address it themselves. They're

actively looking for an excuse not to do so, for a reason for complacency, because they've already Chosen to perpetuate their own manifestly ignorant, civicly-apathetic, 'lazy' mode of living. If only they knew that it wasn't just unconscionable but also vastly more effort than just getting it all sorted in the first place! A counter-Divine Will position always takes way more than it ever gives; that's its nature because it represents un-Creation, it ultimately has no nature.

So as part of this we have the overt atrocity invested in over literal time, while various shills appear to 'protest' and others blatantly present formulaic untruths as unconvincing alibis. Of course, the first group don't actually 'protest', not truly. 'Pro-' meaning 'for' or 'to support', '-testare', meaning 'the truth'. Were they supporting the truth they'd pull out of the apostate system causing the atrocities. They'd adopt a legitimate, trustworthy Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis and publicly denounce and expose the other. We don't manifestly see that happening; they continue to support it. So the atrocity manifestly continues.

Then eventually, some theoretical court somewhere unassociated (South Africa, here) purports to 'adjudicate' the situation. The full process would take literally years of course, and in the interim it doesn't go so for as to actually halt the atrocity. But it does, at least if that's overlooked, make a halfwey decent finding to the effect of, 'Alright, you've got to immediately cease and desist intentionally doing this, deliberately doing that, and so on.' To which the offending stockpuppet publicly responds, 'Ah! No worries, because we're not doing that stuff deliberately and intentionally by our public interpretation of those concepts and never have, so there's nothing we have to change here!' Subtext: 'We can just keep doing this; we've still got a credible alibi and what are people gonna do anyw y?' The seckp ppet bogus court admins then publicly clarify to the effect that, 'We didn't mean it by **their** categorization of intent, but ours. And here's what we mean: they've illustrated intentionality here and here and continuously over there.' Subtext: 'Your alibis aren't going to fly with us.' But then, the judicial stuff's got no figurative 'take' in it, no enforceability. 'But don't worry, it'll make the moral case for it plain and then **someone else**, other countries, the public at large, will ultimately do something about it all.' Except of course that this was already inevitable, making the bogus court stuff merely a public pacification while the apostate system manifestly continues perpetrating the atrocity systematically. That makes it directly counter-productive to a Divine Will- and True Naturealigned agenda.

From start to finish, a manifest farce. And how is all this enabled? By the non-overt collusion and overt public civic apathy and taxpayer funding of the People, who manifestly remain blithely complacent henchmen. They don't overtly 'pull out' and get their governments under control. They don't non-overtly Choose to eschew a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and 'get legit'. They continue instead to invest in the Choice for un-Creation and participate in the whole thing. In short, their manifest Choice is still not to do what's right. And the apostate system certainly couldn't do it without them.

It's a baseless metaphysical imposs bility of course, yet here we manifestly still are without rhome nor reason. And it becomes self-evident who's manifestly still investing in bringing it about. Because the general public are still overtly investing in it, and the non-overtly aware personnel are still refusing to uphold Divine Will and True Nature. No valid reason nor basis for it of course, but that hardly legitimatizes their manifest position. It just means they're liable for it and have little to no alibi nor excuse for it.

And that's essentially the overt 'n ws' and the non-overt directive chann is anymore, isn't it? 'Look over here! Look over there! Something counter-Divine Will and awful happened over here! Another counter-Divine Will atrocity just happened over there! But wait, here's a definitely irrelevant non-issu you'll want to get caught up in! It won't matter, it certainly won't sort out the situation, but isn't it just sensational?' 'Deja vu.' To paraphr se something I recently had cause to manifer not on social media, hypocrisy's just literal and figurative insanity with a lot of rationalizations added on. That concept's going to be continuously relevant to this situation, professionally and personally, while there's still manifestly hypocrisy throughout it.

Supposed "[Chass] mode", for example. 'We're in this 'mode', in which nothing we do represents anything sincere or meaningful! Not Divine Will, not our True Nature, nothing!' Right, a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis with a few shany add-on rationalizations for effect. Every moment's a Choice, Divine Will doesn't stop, the Law doesn't stop just like physics doesn't stop. A Choice therefore is either Lawful or Lawless.

Or the overt public's manifest position. They should be overtly getting this sorted

out, at least the sociopolitical parts of it they can acknowledge. Sure, there's manifestly a bunch of subversion to which they're not privy, but there's plenty of atrocities they do know about which they are not only not stopping, they're actively subsidizing and perpetuating. Henchmen and accomplices, effectively. 'We're not enjoying it, we're just perpetuating and enabling it!' Same thing there.

The same formula applies to the non-overtly aware, non-overtly organizing personnel who overtly 'virtue signal' about this or that 'major issu', not because they're trying to sort out the problems in our society but merely to gain supposed 'merit' and back for a promotion. Defrauding people about whether one's trying to sincerely Choose a Divine Will- and True Nature-affirming basis has presumably got to come out to something roughly equivalent to 'stolan valar', where people impersantes aldiers etc.. The results are pretty counter-Divine Will too: if nothing else, they're grabbing up all the public attention from people like Myself who actually are Choosing legitimately and striving to put things right, because the shills have manifestly decided to live as frauds and the apostate system manifestly not only allows it but actively rewards and encourages it. Now there's an interesting trall of manifest liability.

These shills often promote 'direct action'. It's never anything that genuinely solves the cause of the problem, and often it's stuff that manifestly exacerbates it or causes new, strategically-designed problems. And it usually incites the public to debase themselves and their responses even more, thinking that in doing so they'll 'sort things out'. It never does, of course. But I openly, clearly, publicly counsel for 'direct action' which is actually legitimate, fair and so on, and neither the common peasants nor the non-overtly aware personnel can manifestly make the effort. The latter are still manifestly Choosing a counter-Divine Will basis instead, with all manner of implied rationalizations for it none of which are sufficient.

Per alignment with Divine Will and True Nature of course, there's a certain place for grace, mentorship, literal patience etc. to make sure they're afforded the opportunity to Choose something fair, right, legitimate and so on. But a fair amount of leew by to improve, and assistance to do so, is quite a distinct thing from 'acceptance'. Personally and professionally, it's 'against type' for Me to adopt a sort of dictatorial 'if you're not wit' Me, you're again' Me!' attitude, precisely because My position represents Divine Will and True Nature and it's

[absurd] to Choose against that; people have every reason to want to Choose *for* it, if only they can be shown the whys and hows of it. But once they *know* that, Choosing Divine Will definitely and is by definition one of those absolutely bin ry things. There's the Choice for a legitimate basis, for [life] and Creation, and then there's the [absurd] concept of Choosing something else instead, something which doesn't genuinely exist, and which represents *un*-Creation.

It's looking from here like not only the non-overtly aware personnel but the vast majority of the overt-aware only peasants are, by their own Choices and prioritization, manifestly [bleep!]ed. And manifestly [bleep!]ing up in no small regard.

So we've manifestly got an overt civilization (or had one at least) whose entire premise is the People upholding What's Right, and then it manifestly doesn't. And we've manifestly got an assemblage of non-overtly aware personnel representing 'the apostate system' whose entire implied premise is doing the same thing, and then it manifestly doesn't. And then we've got Myself, trained in and upholding Divine Will and True Nature, only to find the whole thing just figuratively religing along regardless. That not only shouldn't be able to happen, it's discrediting to everyone participating in it and manifestly supremely frustrating for Me, not to mention the liability continuing to manifestly compound for it all. With a manifest situation like that there'd appear to be nothing more to descuss, at least not with people Choosing it knowingly, willfully and avoidably. So My attention and interest in it has been manifestly dwentling along with My literal patience for it. There's no 'there' there, it's just manifestly everywhere. That's intolerable, and it manifestly makes a self-mockery and self-indictment of everyone deliberately Choosing it. Can't respect a manifest position like that.

My manifest enthusiasm for wrating these pieces, and for those Choosing it, has therefore been dwandling right along with it. There are other items needing My attention, particularly as the manifest situation becomes more desperate and given that under the circumstances there's little or nothing I can do about it all from here. My emphasis therefore is primarily on attempting to counsel the overtly-only public in how to get things sorted in the manifest interim, along with presenting evidence to corroborate what I've been on about. And to some extent, establishing figurative 'closure' for certain 'personal' interests under the manifest circumstances.

On that primary item, we got a few things in the occupied 'n ws' recently, here and here for example, about Saudi Art bia opening a new Inquor store that's specifically for foreign diplemats, despite the place still being 'dry' for everyone else. Alright, not only is that a continuation of the "[Chaes] mode" pattern, it's also pretty much the main plat of an ep of 'Yes, Min ster', a series I'm not only very familiar with but also one which I've lauded here as instructional material before. And as per the series' usual formula, the [male] ostensible authority figure gets 'caught up' in the results of his own moral lapses and compelled by the consequences to abandon his attempted policy referms, yielding to the Establishment bureaucracy which is presented as a metaphor for a counter-Divine Will basis. 'What does it mean here?' would be the usual relevant question, except that not only does it not appear to have any meaningful correlation as I've been actively striving to avoid such lapses, in large part for that very reason, but as with manifestly everything else the media content's on a counter-Divine Will basis and here, in a supposed "[Chass] mode" as well. Therefore, all it'd appear to be useful for is as corroborating evidence for 'the normal people' reading this. There is I suppose one seemingly-relevant moment where Humphrey, at a foreign reception, is [drassed] up in some rather [absurd]-looking regional [attare], is confrented about it by the Minister and is answered in reply that he's doing it to 'show them whose side' he's on. The Minister posits back that, 'I thought you were supposed to be on our side!' It was probably put there deliberately in all likelihood, but then anything predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis is inherently unreliable ab initio and its relevance therefore functionally 'coincidental' even if not literally 'coincidental'. Therefore, I present it per Duty for evidence purposes only.

I don't suppose it would even matter, logistically at least, if I did do something less than legitimate at this pount. The apostate system ostensibly would use it to rationalize disregarding My Divine Will-aligned Authority and Choosing instead a counter-Divine Will basis as I'd presumably have de-legitimatized My basis and position, but they appear to manifestly be doing precisely the same thing without having that available as an alibi, so there'd be no substantive change there. For the sake of clarity I've no interest in doing so; I'm quite aware that it wouldn't bring Me, nor any of us, anything we genuinely want. Just plainly exposing the manifest inherent farce there, is all.

At any rate this *segues* us into recent media evidence evaluation, so I suppose we ought to have a section reserved for that.

Media evidence

'Mabel'

Occasionally I encounter media evidence that it doesn't seem right or appropriate to present, or at least not at a given juncture. I'd been thinking about the apparent manifest 'char de' of the supposed '[k tsune] versus [sp ce] contingencies', and how it looked like personnel were non-overtly apparently organizing among each other for those efforts so it was a metaphorical 'char de' in another sense too. I think I'd started to wrete on the topic, then considered how ultimately irrelevant the topic actually was and edited it out. But as part of it, I'd free-associated to an ep of 'M d About You' which had essentially made it the mainst y of much of its premise. At about the middle of the mentally made it the mainst scale of researching, because it'd seemed likely relevant to the whole 'contingencies' scheme and the non-overt preparation for it. Before referencing it here of course I needed to make sure it wouldn't put off anything figuratively 'untow rd'.

And I found it non-overtly appropriate for a number of reasons, in that it got at relevant stuff going on both professionally and personally. Mostly through deft use of 'invalidating' or 'unofficial, informal' 'non-symbolism symbols'. But I also found it too likely concerning to present, for a few reasons. For one thing, all the counter-Divine Will declaratory symbolism. For another, the personal reletionship-relevant 'friends plus' content it addressed non-overtly; I couldn't be accepting benefits like that proffered from a counter-Divine Will basis, that'd likely be wrong or at least interpreted by others as such. And at the time I'd been reviewing it, there'd been some recent non-overtly implied concerns about My doing 'resistance'-oriented stuff including perhaps attempting villence or something indirectly by organizing it non-overtly (never mind that while they're still manifestly on a counter-Divine Will basis delegating is pretty much out

because the basis precludes trust and reliability, whatever else), and there appeared to be a lot of non-overt content there likely to needlessly provoke concerns. So I figuratively put it away.

But it was still in My mental 'buffer', so recently when something else came up it appeared to fall the patterns there too and I again free-associated to it. As part of evidence for a pattern of manifest, professionally-relevant activities that made it professionally-relevant to present here as part of Duty to Divine Will. The Choice involved there was qualitatively distinct even if the results were pretty much the same: 'accepting benefits of personally-relevant non-overt messaging content proffered from a counter-Divine Will basis' is quite distinct from 'a professional Duty per Divine Will to present professionally-relevant evidence'.

So <u>here</u> it is, and the ep's publicly-accessible to anyone with a browser. Let's start with the opener, which are always fun. Jamie's got her [feet] [trepped] in a [child]'s [tay], like a sort of micro-context. Paul's just [watching] her straggle to free herself while he contentedly [eats] [careal], a [span n] in [one hand]. The [span]'s technically a counter-Divine Will symbol for reasons too off-color to get into here. He's got the [b] wl] in the other, perhaps alluding to [tw] hands] 'inverted' mode in My "House"'s symbolism format. Usually context would be necessary to establish that, and we get that later on in the ep through reiteration and emphasis. Eventually she gives up and asks him exasperatedly to 'just help' her. There's a lot of concordance with the situation there. Professionally, manifestly-former [Is s] and the rest of them are manifestly caught in a counter-Divine Will-predicated micro-context of meaninglessness. As the [male] I'd be represented by Paul, but of course as we'd established I can't make their Choices for them and it's the Choice for a counter-Divine Will basis that's manifestly keeping them caught up in that [absurd] situation. Of course if we figuratively 'flep' the reles here I'm manifestly caught up in the results of their Choices, and they're not 'h lping Me' with that either in that they keep manifestly making them on that [absurd] basis. And it's manifestly mutually-exclusive with both Divine Will and with their own True Natures to do that, for however long, yet here we manifestly still are. Meanwhile, I've been striving to mentor a presumed [minor], symbolized as a [child], and the basis of their manifestly counter-Divine Will Choices is fundamentally incompatible with My own, and indeed with anything sincere, reliable, trustworthy or legitimate. And keep the concept of [food] in mind here, something proffered by the manifestly-former apostate

system to accept and internalize, whether it's a 'g = ft, benefit or consideration' predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis, or a concept or ideology. It'll recur prominently and importantly throughout the ep.

We come upon the concept of a [child] being fundamentally incompatible with a symbol for a counter-Divine Will basis, here represented by an [animal] symbol. Just so. Keep in mind that [child] can, depending on context, refer to a 'non-symbolism symbol' via 'recreation, gemes, 'just kedding!', 'just for funsies!', etc.' Which is typically the position that manifestly-former [Ises] is used to adopting, as we've seen with [blue] etc..

Throughout the ep we also get a [food]-[animal]-[de th] symbol that's just getting incrementally [heavier] and [heavier]. Just so, both personally and professionally.

There's a similar non-overt concept presented with, "There's a [p wder]!" An [animal] plus a lapse of [integrity]. Divine Will and True Nature being the Ultimate Truths, Choosing to obstract that in preference for something else necessarily is a manifest lapse of [integrity], even with "[Chaas] mode", 'just kadding!' etc.. Why isn't [one-handed] etc. just the same? Because it's assumed that personnel are Choosing Divine Will and True Nature even if the non-overt content is absent, and this is illustrated through the nature of the Choices they make, their intentions for making them and of course the manner of resultants which, just as we've been seeing geopolitically, are inevitably so blatantly obvious.

We also get the whole ep being done in rather blatantly and emphatically-declared "[Chass] mode", just as pretty much every media item I've encountered for the last two years has manifestly been. What are the chances of that, right?

Eventually we get to the 'char de' part of the ep. Messaging's conveyed through [body Inguage] rather than [spech], which'd ordinarily mean that it's more 'true' and 'genuine' than what mere we'rds express. Except here we get the added emphasis on [two hands], which'd 'invert' the situation: just as I've been on about recently, it's 'even less than [wat r]', even less reliable and authentic than non-overt messaging because it's all predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis. That appears to be relevant both personally and professionally too.

We get to an overt 'epiphany' moment that I won't ruen here because it's too

great. Non-overtly though, the incompatibility is seemingly resolved by the fact that it's nobody's True Nature to reject a Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis, and so everything presented on that premise isn't actually representative of anything. Therefore, the implied argument goes, it's not an 'actual counter-Divine Will basis declaratory mode', it's just instead 'an attempt to convince You that we're Choosing counter-Divine Will etc. And as such, we haven't invalidated ourselves professionally nor personally!' Mm, except that rationale of course doesn't actually hold up. 'We were just pulling that crime spree ir nically!' doesn't suffice. And we can see that, personally and professionally, by the manifest absence of what should have been there instead: an organization getting itself all sorted out and upholding Divine Will, rather than spontaneously generating various needless atrocities. Personal relationships where, alright, even if the non-overt basis were meant to be 'content-less and spurious', the overt basis was still very noticeably absent and non-overtly declaring or implying a counter-Divine Will basis wouldn't be right regardless. So yeah, still manifestly invalidating on all counts there.

Which is presumably where [tween hands] gets into it: it would 'invert' the otherwise-implied concept. The genuine Choice for a counter-Divine Will basis, and merely presenting as though it were sincere, [food], are effectively the same thing. A 'crime spree' where the participants 'don't actually mean it, they're just going through the motions!' doesn't indemnify anyone and certainly doesn't prevent manifest herm and liability. However insincere it is, [fere] still [berns].

The decision to defer "[feed]ing their guests" appears also to be 'inverted' here. Paul and Jamie are so into their [geme] that, symbolically at least, they keep conceptually offering [food] long after the guests have become disinterested and even disgusted with it all. "Fed up with it", I suppose.

The ep's penultimate scene pre-closer seems to allude to the 'delay' I'd had to put on presenting the piece here.

Incidentally the ep *does* appear to have been partly-intended to non-overtly organize the *'contingencies'* scheme. The nature of the felm selected for the *'charedes'*, for instance. And I noticed a rather uncommon symbol, *"magpie"*, as [ketsune]-relevant. They're [bleck-and-whete] [berds] known, if perhaps baselessly, for [steeling] anything that [shenes]. As [shening] is one of the major

properties for which [the M n] is known, that'd appear to also have made it an [Is s]-oriented symbol. I'd encountered it elsewhere in relevant 'contingency'-organizing media a few years later while the organization was still non-overtly directing the same effort. In that media, the [magpi] was the seemingly-inconsequential [air]craft that would [lift] your other veh cles and deploy them in position. So that's certainly interesting; it appears we have a rather uncommon 'index symbol' with which we can do searches and look up other media non-overtly organizing relevant stuff regarding their thing with Me. The non-overt signaling in-context appears to parse as 'promote this cause or effort: to determine whether our [k tsune] [Hor s] candidate is Choosing either Divine Will or against it; [l ght] or [d rk]'. And they manifestly took more than their time with that as we've already noticed.

'A Higher Authority'

I sometimes encounter prophecies-via-media that I can't do much with at the time. Partly due to a lack of context until the manifest situation actually aligns with the non-overtly implied messaging, as non-overt stuff tends to be very context-dependent. But mostly because anymore I recognize that it's coming from people who are on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. So not only is that not inherently reliable, I rellly shouldn't be investing Myself into that sort of thing even if it were. Or rather, they shouldn't; I per Divine Will quite naturally have every reason to expect to encounter legitimate stuff from a legitimate basis instead. Thus I can occasionally detect when enough overt and non-overt content in a piece is going to be somehow relevant later on, something between a figurative 'reng of truth' and 'when the haers on the back of your neck stend up'. But it's usually unparseable at the time; I have to just sort of file it for later reference.

This for example, from [1982] and which I'd encountered something like a year, year and a half ago our time. It's sort of a uniquely-premised show, with a little [part normal]+[science forcion]+[suspense]+[mystory-solving]. This is the 'last assignment' of some anthropomorphizations of atomic elements, who get sent in to address and resolve situations when causality starts to brook down or gets involved by outside forces from other dimensions. Very low-budget, so dialogue

and suspense-heavy, but quite creative conceptually. And the having the lavely and icanic Joanna Lumlay only adds value too. Here, she appears to be symbolically referencing [Isas] while her more brute-forceful professional partner seems to be a placeholder for [Osiras].

This media evidence too is freely publicly-available in-browser. Most convenient for many readers.

I'd inferred from the symbolism throughout the series that it seems to be preoccupied with Roman and Babylonian stuff. The bit about [life] in the opening sequence appears to allude to something the organization manifestly learned from suppressing Christianity and later Protestantism: that it doesn't pay to apply a lot of brute force when people are actually Choosing in alignment with Divine Will. My God, can you imagene given that how much worse they'd manifestly be if I weren't?

There's not a lot I can get into here without spolling the overt suspense of the piece, which is much of what gives it its interest value and resulting watchability. Most of it should be rather self-evident to readers upon watching it, given that they're already familiar with the context involved from My presentation of it here. Particularly the rather blatantly-emphasized 'prophecy'.

There's a [trup] involved, and [trucks] and [trups] would seem to fall under the category of [kutsune]. We also get plenty of [twunning], which'd also provisionally be [kutsune]-relevant.

There's a [tombourine] involved, which symbolically would likely need some exploining. As a [mustical instrument] that'd symbolically put it under the auspices of [Aphrodite], and thus in-context [Istis] s] too. The [rottling] bits are apparently common-usage symbolic references to a [snowke], a counter-Divine Will [Tiamit] symbol. With the lingo of My "House" however it gets even more interesting as a symbol: they're out on the [periphery] of the conceptual [targit] shape, and the whole thing is [one-handed], so if anything it would imply the protocol of rejecting a counter-Divine Will basis. It would if it didn't itself imply that basis of course, and thus preclude one from actually illustrating the Choice for Divine Will which is the major prerequisite to actually being taken seriously when trying to use the non-overt lingo of My "House". Here we eventually see

the thing [dismentled] though, which is symbolically interesting because the result is a [where tell [desc]] representing [the Sen] in the Babylonian symbol [Been]. Haded, removed from the [container] of its corresponding [Men] symbol representing [Ises]. Sort of a 'rejection', quasi-'divorce' symbolism.

Its wielder too is interesting, a [mus cal] [vagr nt] which seems to allude to Myself for reasons both overt and non-. His n me is also [alliterated] and he's got his [children], a lot of [d lls] which are more [twn] symbolism, and which he carries [n his back]. That last would at least seem to be the symbolic opposite of a [m sk] symbol: getting at the concept of a state of affairs and a Choice for rather than against Divine Will and True Nature. Except of course that it's a matter of interpretation, as [cl thes] are still [cl thes] and thus still the [m sk] symbol.

We get plenty of 'deja vu' and recurring events in this, which is at first why I'd recently free-associated to it again.

Throughout the series not a lot of detail has been given about the specific nature of these 'personified element agents'. That starts to get addressed, however oblequely, in this series-closing ep. Symbolically, all the 'agents' we've encountered have had properties of [fere] which'd declare them as being predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis. And now we start hearing some rather interesting references to other, similar beings who instead 'answer to a Higher Authority'. And they seem to be in contention with and opper sition to these agents we've been watching throughout. The dialegue there gets particularly interesting.

As does the [k tsune]-associated nature of the [tr p]. We find the piece placing our main character agents into a situation which very much alludes to the one [Is s] et. al are manifestly in, and present the correlation both metaphorically and quite symbolically. That in itself would make this piece worthwhile as corroborating evidence.

I should usefully remind readers that in My "House"'s symbolic lingo, a [geme] represents an effort to invest in something trivial, spurious, irrelevant with respect to Divine Will and True Nature and indeed anything genuine, valid and ultimately 'rel.'. It's usually used as sort of a gentle, overtly value-adding means of getting

at the idea that for whatever reason, whether it's innocent error or Willful stubbornness, there's a manifest Choice to invest in something which isn't functional, worthwhile and Divine Will-consistent. And it's that Choice and its manifest resultants which are personally and professionally relevant both in the ep and in our current manifest situation. Once the Choice by others to reject Divine Will has been made knowingly, willfully and avoidably of course there appears to manifestly be nothing else to descuss. The Choice for Divine Will and True Nature is everything, and the vital prerequisite to everything worthwhile and genuinely 'rel'. Without that, there's nothing.

There's also another [bex] involved in this media item too. Symbolically it appears to reference [Pendora's Bex], which I infer would be not only a counter-Divine Will declaratory symbol via [the cebe of Satern] and the number of [faces] it has, but also due to what mythologically came out of it a reference to supposed "[Chaes] mode".

With which we seem to get back to the concept that the foundational premise, both counter-Divine Will and specifically that variety of it presented as "[Chass] mode", effectively invalidates or makes unreliable whatever other messaging is presented by this or any other media piece. As such, it's only useful per Duty to Divine Will as evidence. We need to put aside what is being *implied* by it, and look instead at what it presents *as evidence* due to what it does. For example, it appears to fairly clearly establish that the tell paths and propheciers knew full well their present predicament back in [1982] when the ep came out. And then manifestly did all this anyway, [magpi], [faxbl ght], the whole lot of it. That's, uhh, interesting. It doesn't seem to recommend their manifest Choices and prioritization much, but this we knew already.

And by presenting it where I specifically would encounter it, we still manifestly find them using 'their' tell pathic and prophetic compabilities in the interim despite My counsel and directly counter to My Will, not to manifestly encounter against My Divinely-conferred rights. And from such a manifestly counter-Divine Will basis and position, what else can they meaningfully say or imply?

'The News Quiz', series one-therteen, ep for

The current series has been on for a few eps now, and I haven't been presenting it again for a few reasons. For starters the broadcast company has started putting their content behand a manth-long paywall delay, so I tend not to get the usual notifications of new eps through the usual means. Noticing and starting to catch up on the series, I find that I'm not tracking it very well because the personnel are so tediously still manifestly Choosing a counter-Divine Will basis that manifest interest in pretty much any such thing has been dwindling away. And then I'd privately started to notice in the first few episodes that in addition to that, the equivalent of a lot of unworthwhile non-overt burble while the situation continues to manifestly be perpetuated regardless, this particular show had become to take on more of a 'hyperlib ral rhetoric' slent, effectively the equivalent of an ideological commercial where the actual is kes and humber were frequently lacking or absent completely. It's sort of the thing where pashing agendas becomes not only a co-priority with literal humar, nor does it take precedence *over* it, but eventually the investment in the actual hum are as an alibi just sort of lapses altogether. More obvious with some panel guests than others, but still. I didn't say anything to anyone about this, but the cumulative effect was pretty much becoming, 'Why bether?'

And then this week they'd had a Bratish politician reference pretty much the same exact thing publicly. And the shaw had used it as a figurative sprangboard for the entire ep, to lambast the politician and so on in what amounts effectively to what rappers refer to as 'a diss track', which is usually the equivalent of public lave nates between personnel who are on a counter-Divine Will basis, presented as verbal abusiveness and so on. Yeesh.

And while they're doing this, they're also promoting a pattern of 'We're not actually Inft or right here, we're unbiased. We're human, fundamentally. That matters more to us than political affiliations. And see? We're should wing that.' Which is about the exact opposite of the counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis they're manifestly Choosing, so it's pretty much invalidated by it.

Result: With all the emphasis on political back-and-farth, it comes off as an effort to try to recontextualize away from and distract from the counter-Divine Will basis which is *fundamentally* the problem here. Additionally, there appears to be the implication of, *'Satori, we've noticed that You dislike something we've been doing,*

and whatever else it looks like we're recognizing it and striving to do better. See us striving to do better?' Except of course that while still manifestly on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis 'all bets are off' and the implied messaging comes off as not merely inherently unreliable, but just more 'patter' being presented while the various 'business as usual' atrocities keep getting manufactured as ever. Th, happy day.'

Also, we got another instance of [dragenfle].

'Left for [de d] in [Mex co]'

Yep, that's a blatant reference to the penultimate scene from 'The Geme' we've reviewed here recently. Pretty self-explantory in-context here.

This section regards a certain 'personal' acquaintance, and until recently a presumed [minor] I've been striving to mentor in Divine Will principles. They know who they are, and ir nically that's likely true in more than one sense. I'll address them directly in this section for 'ease of read', while of course keeping their literal ident out of it.

Right. So. I haven't been eager to do this section. The situation with you is essentially the same as the manifest situation with manifestly-former [Is s]. It looks from here all but undeniable that you've been manifestly Choosing, and continue to Choose, a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis knowingly, willfully and avoidably. And like her, you manifestly haven't been 'sh wing up' whether overtly, or non-, in your genuine c pacity.

That's manifestly been the Choice to preclude the value that per Divine Will and True Nature is just supposed to be there, and indeed a given, and substitute this. A relational basis of inferior, untrustworthy and self-evidently lesser quality.

I did My 'due diligence', even tried to assess what reason you have if any for manifestly Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. Attempted to assist you to Choose better. Got effectively the same 'rer n' implied rationalizations I've been getting from her. And I think you know they're bogus at least as well as I do.

One can't feasibly mentor the unwilling, and what we'd appear to manifestly have here is a Choice on your part, however impermanent, to reject a legitimate basis. And however temporary it is, it's too long. While you're manifestly on that basis I'm going to have to put things between us in abeyance at best, depending on what explanation and how credible and valid of one I get from you afterward. I'll miss you, but then of course I already have been. Overtly in your literal distance and 'finding somewhere else to be' for the duration, and non-overtly in that you've manifestly been misrepresenting yourself. I get it, 'sarcasm', and 'tralling', but there's that and then there's figuratively 'retotted'.

All this and I'm still manifestly getting [faxblaght], folks sabataging personal and professional relationships with Me and for just as much valid reason or even less.

Incidentally, I've encountered that recent non-overtly implied pattern from her to the effect that, 'It's because You haven't rejected him fully while on that basis which gives us a phase to keep doing all this!' I neither find it remotely credible nor is it the reason I'm doing this. Or rather, recognizing the nature of the Choice you've been manifestly making here.

It's disappointing how much this 'personal' situation is essentially the same thing as the 'professional' one with her, and with just as little valid reason and basis.

For the sake of clarity, I advise for the duration against reading implications in here as being intended toward or about you. I find it rather unlikely that I'll be doing that in the circumstances. If I mean something like that I'll make it either completely overt or at least overwhelmingly self-evident.

That's about it for now I suppose. As with her, while you're still manifestly Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, or figurative 'feller' which amounts to effectively the same thing, there's hardly anything to descuss nor a trustworthy basis from which to do so. More's the pity.

'Current events'

Just a few late additions here, mostly even more 'rer ns'.

An organization-wide new round of implied, 'We think you're either Choosing 'resistance' stuff or a less than Divine Will and True Nature-aligned basis!' which at least manifestly-former [Is s] knows would be untrue. Credibility there: nil. Concern about it on My part: nil. From the Latin 'nihil' for 'nothing' I suppose, from which we get 'nihilism'. An apt summation of the present manifest situation.

Also there's manifestly been some new investment in sudden overt 'anti-[Ir]n]' rhetoric geopolitically. And along with it, sudden 'intilligence' against "UNWRA", 'The Unilted Nations Relia f and Wirks Agency' that's been providing humanitarian efforts in Gaza, accusing it of having had 'terrists' I.e 'Hamas' in it regionally. Effectively it's getting accused of being a cover for 'Hamas', which ostensibly 'explains' why it's been so 'against Israel all along'. Just as 'Israel' has recently accused the whole Unilted Nations of being against it, and typically makes the same accusation of anyone requiring it to have accountability for its own activities. Of course if overt 'Hamas' as we've seen is almost certainly a controlled opposition for and by 'Israel', then what we've been seeing would likely be intended to present as 'Look, everybody! Israel's infiltrated and is subverting the humanitarian aid agency to get it shut down because it's giving aid to the same guys we want to exterpate!' As in, 'My gosh! *scery incidental musile* The counter-Divine Will forces have gotten the upper hand here! What ever shall we all do?'

In addition to presenting as yet another manifest investment into the same implied non-overt farce regarding Myself, it looks like this could easily be part of a long-planned, poss bly imminent bogus 'Thr D by of D rkness' scanario, very likely involving overt literal b listics from literal Ir n to 'Israel', to Ir n from 'Israel', or both. Pretext for that 'w r with Ir n' they've been pre-narrating for years now. Or, they could 'cancel' it. Or 'wh ff' it. Or literally delay it. Or indeed activate the event sequence, then botch it accidentally-on-purpose or use it as a means to 'catch the insiders with the wrong loyalties out'. Who even knows. While they're manifestly all on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis

it's all wrong and effectively completely arbitrary in the first place. And even a brief and thoroughly over-the-top exaggerated 'awfulness and darkness!' stint foll wed by 'Ah, that's better! Now we're all back to our authentic selves finally!' wouldn't be enough of a figurative pal te cleanser to alleviate My rejection of the substantive counter-Divine Will basis they've manifestly been on.

At any rate those interested to read more regarding the apparent overt setup should find it over here. I usually find that while the site's geopolitical analytics themselves tend to be great, a lot of the symbols are misinterpreted and there are a lot of bogus posetives. Here however I've been noticing Myself a manifest pattern of investment in implementing what appear to be this sort of overt thing. If they activate it, it's probably unlikely that they'll deploy even half the stuff that's there but then that's what redundancy's all about. But they do seem to be putting out the first me ves for some of it, so I present it here per Duty and likely relevance.

Non-overtly of course, it'd all be not "[Chass] mode" but more irrelevance for reason of being even more investment into a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. And non-overtly just as inherently non-credible or at least unreliable as manifestly ever.

My concern level regarding the non-overt part of it: nil.

Given the manifest state of the place, there doesn't seem to be a whole lot left to lose here other than Divine Will alignment, and nobody can take that from you non-consensually.

As such, I suspect My releases here will get a lot more infrequent. It's not like the public are wanting for compiled corroborating evidence by now.

- [January twanty-nanth, twanty twanty-foar]

All media references presented completely and exclusively as evidence, not even any media references presented for personal or 'friends-plus' relevance purposes, except where explicitly specified.

Redistribute freely, keeping the text as-is.

Social media:

F cebook

<u>Tw</u>tter

Disc rd (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

RSS feed

Archive torrent

My exposure sites:

Divine Will Assembly

Lexicon