

## Alert. Encountering organizing for direct threats against Me.

Pretty much just after I'd published My last piece, [Is] began non-overtly implying what roughly parses out as, *'So, you're exclusively using overt language, except where you're using non-overt communication via our more relaxed interpersonal and romantic messaging protocols to mentor and minister to specific individuals close to You regarding Divine Will and so on. Except that in referencing media content to do it, media content which has counter-Divine Will basis declarator symbols inherent in it, you're awfully close to pulling underhanded 'resistance' shenanigans on a non-overt venue and a counter-Divine Will basis! You can't do that. Or rather, you can but it puts you on a counter-Divine Will basis and then we've got you! Is that what you want to do, 'sell out' to a counter-Divine Will basis in exchange for the ability to mentor others non-overtly? Because that's effectively what you're doing, you know.'*

And I mentally 'snrk'ed at that, because of course it wasn't. But a reflexive scoff and the mental equivalent of an eye-roll is not a valid basis in Law, and Duty required that I actually evaluate the matter to make sure My basis was solid and if not, to reassess and adjust My policies. Fair is fair.

The first thing that occurred to Me was that it certainly wasn't the nature of the Choice I was making. She appeared to be twisting the facts. And that brought Me to the second thing that immediately occurred: that because she's on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, her implied accusations were inherently non-credible. Which of course presented the third: that in order to secure a conviction against Me in Divine Law, which is what she's been after, the genuine Law rather than her implications are what has to be satisfied in order to achieve a conviction. That is, she doesn't make The Rules. I'd become so used to inferring that she did, until of course I was able to deduce what she's actually manifestly up to.

So in assessing *'What's actually fair here, per Divine Will and Divine Law?'* I started to mentally review the situation and the relevant concepts in Common Law maxims. Proper Lawyering. Easy matter for Me, I've been studying that stuff for ages and Love the genuine Law; how else could we ever get fairness? Love for the Divine and fellow man, valid foundational principles, and the common sense

reasoning to extrapolate out from them. [Isis] has after all proven to be unreliable in her various intimations, so it's nice that we have something Perfectly valid to refer back to instead.

The first item is that per alignment with Divine Will I have a Duty to do My best to assist others to legitimately sort the situation, and themselves, out. [cite] This is especially true with interpersonal relationships, where familiarity can eventually provide sufficient context for it to 'click' for them and Love requires a Duty of counsel and assistance. That can't always be done effectively on an overt-only basis, and to just *not* would be a shirking of Duty and passive enabling of the Lovelessness and Lawlessness. And *that* would presumably be an insufficiently Divine Will-aligned basis on My part, in addition to relegating us all to subsisting in Loveless relationships because our partners have been made dysfunctional by the efforts of the apostate system.

Duty therefore requires using what's available to do it. Whether it's media which the apostate system has ensured has counter-Divine Will declarator symbols placed throughout the content, or some mutually-known 'layover' of non-overt symbolism, which of course has the same problem. And by the apostate system's design for that matter. Even if I'm speaking entirely overtly, in which counter-Divine Will declarator symbols ought not to apply, others will still likely be looking for them and inferring their non-overtly designated 'meanings' to them regardless. Meaning however I attempt to teach, the problem appears to be unavoidable. At least on My part. [cite], [cite], [cite], [cite]. And as much as I've been actively striving to avoid referencing anything with counter-Divine Will declarator symbolism, it's been so manifestly omnipresent that it's unavoidable and there hasn't been much of a method to 'nullify' it out other than directly gaining it. Which I've been doing, as part of the Duty for clarity.

But the apostate system has been using so many fundamental symbols as counter-Divine Will declarators, and then using a system of derivative symbols from those, that we can't even literally count from one to ten without being presumed to have opted into a counter-Divine Will basis. Even though [six] is used as a counter-Divine Will declarator, and [seven] *ought* to be a Divine Will declarator which necessarily overrides it. But that's not how the organization uses it, thing of things. Their system appears to be 'a rigged process', in which eventually *everything* is prevalently interpreted as a counter-Divine Will

declarator, it's just that the public hadn't been familiar with those symbols until the subversion campaign had taken effect sufficiently. So when you've got a bogus non-overt language with bogus rules which make the inference of something effectively unavoidable, that's no longer an act of consent and the whole premise of it being legitimate *'communication'* is found to be a fallacy. An agenda-motivated fraud. Convenient for some, I suppose. Unjust to others. Situation *'normal'*.

Example: In the literal alphabet, there's an archeological theory that letters are supposed to have derived from [serpents] which had been [tied up]. Overtly, a metaphor regarding the taming of ignorance. Non-overtly, a counter-Divine Will declarator symbol. It would be unfeasible to avoid using actual letters in these pieces, or indeed even speaking the words they comprise. I'm not trying to be unreasonable here, but it just doesn't seem feasible to impart to others a better understanding of Divine Will, Love and Law exclusively in mime, interpretive dance, and a limited selection of emojis. Therefore the assumption that practically everything means the speaker is opting into a counter-Divine Will basis is probably the unreasonable position.

Example: Modern low-information American would-be *'patriots'* have frequently bought into the rhetoric that *'The legal definition for the word 'citizen' has been redefined on us, and so when you say 'citizen' you mean you've opted into a corporate fiction rather than the genuine and lawful United States! Don't do that! Never claim to be a 'citizen'! I'm 'domiciled' in my 'land holdings' and 'freely travel' about in my 'convoyance'!* And yes, there's been evidence to support a public legal fraud on the People with a supposed redefinition of *'citizen'* by the apostate system, more's the pity. But prior to that *'redefinition'*, *'citizen'* was used plenty of times in our Constitution. It has a definition in law which supercedes any subsequent *'redefinitions'*, and indeed the latter have no legitimate force in law because fraud and treason by definition have no legitimate standing in law. As one accomplished Common Law expert has consistently put it, *'These guys don't get to control the language.'* Except of course that when their personnel temporarily predominate, their influence on common-usage meanings and interpretations tends to prevail *despite* that absence of legitimacy. I've noticed for example many symbols prevalently used as *'counter-Divine Will declarator symbols'* which upon closer inspection prove to have been nothing of the sort. Various *'penalization'* symbols have become co-opted, usurped and

used as the counter-Divine Will equivalent of 'gang signs', despite them having original meanings like [lightning], meaning 'expelled from Heaven by the Divine'.

Example: In either high school or junior high, before I'd even become aware of non-overt organizing symbolism, the apostate system and certainly counter-Divine Will declarator symbols, I encountered a phenomenal book from C.S. Lewis which explored themes of moral subversion and human dysfunction. Despite him being in error on a few prevalent interpretations of Biblical concepts, promoting distinctly Catholic mythology which certainly isn't Biblical, and later finding that he was very likely a knowingly collusive apostate system member, his content nevertheless was extremely insightful and became not only formative reading for Me but acquired a place in My personal classics and favorites. I was later to find that John Clipse had done an absolutely spot-on, bring-up audio version which isn't to be missed. And in acquainting others with this work, I could vastly better equip them to evaluate concepts of applied spirituality and practical morality. Except of course that the whole theme of the content is now prevalently considered to involve majorly counter-Divine Will declarator symbols. Therefore with this piece, is it or is it not? It presumably was for Lewis; he knew what he was doing. I however did not, and it became part of My sincere, authentic life experiences and an intensely worthwhile one at that. Am I then precluded from sharing that with others, on the basis that it would give them the wrong idea about Me? And if so, how many of My sincere life experiences are so precluded, and at what point does that violate My own Divinely-conferred right to 'freedom of speech' let alone 'Duty of care to fellow man'? At some point there is a very definite encroachment. But while that's never been especially of concern to the apostate system as they've abundantly evidenced by their routine and systematic encroachment against My God-given rights and those of practically everyone else, it does appear to de-legitimatize any otherwise valid argument in Law they make from such a position. [\[cite\]](#), [\[cite\]](#)

Next, we consider whether My situation indeed satisfies the relevant criteria, specifically 'counter-Divine Will-predicated' and 'resistance'.

For the former, that isn't the nature of My Choice nor basis and [Isis] knows that. Less than perfect clarity in a language system, overt or otherwise, which appears to have been designed to preclude it would appear to be an exercise in 'blame-shifting' on her part. And the Choice for a counter-Divine Will basis would have

to be made knowingly, willfully and avoidably. The intent is not there on My part, so I don't see how it would be feasible to get a conviction against Me for it in the Divine's own Law. And I began to suspect that she already knew that quite well.

And to the latter, underhanded 'resistance' shenanigans on a non-overt basis typically involve professing to be a loyalist and then quietly betraying the organization instead, don't they? I've been quite plain, and clear, and even openly public about My position as distinct and separate from the apostate system. The opportunities to 'profiteer' with such betrayal would appear to be things which I Myself have already deliberately precluded. But should it assist, I'll state it quite plainly and overtly. I'm distinct from the apostate system, and legitimately so. I know Who figuratively signs My paychecks. Despite that, or rather *because* of it, I've provided more genuine value in effort, diagnostics and counsel to the apostate system than most of their personnel combined. It's just that their priorities are manifestly in the wrong place. They don't *want* genuine value, nor fair, viable, internally-consistent principles. They want specific desired agenda items, right or wrong. And usually wrong.

We also have the inherent [absurdity] of My efforts to mentor those close to Me being underhanded 'resistance' shenanigans. I freely admit that I'm 'polyamorous' and strive for clearly-declared multiple simultaneous open relationships which are sincere, authentic, genuine and meaningful. My understanding is that modern 'churchianity' is wrong on what specifically 'infidelity' actually is; God seemed quite fine with polygamous relationships - one man with many wives - in the Old Testament, and I've loads of evidence that it's wrong about same-gender relationships too. God, True to Nature, seems more concerned with betrayal of Love and fellow man when He condemns 'infidelity'. I've thought for most of My life that exclusive romantic relationships were about as silly as 'just a single person only' friendships; that there's no legitimate basis for the concept, but that we're of course free to arrange our lives upon it if we think there is. That of course places the concept of 'adultery' in quite another context, given that Biblically it's the carnal act of union which our Creator recognizes as the establishment, not the consummation, of 'marriage'. That is, there's no literal 'sex outside of marriage' because the one literally *establishes* the other. That's right, as I understand it everyone we've ever 'slept with' is recognized by the Divine as our lifelong 'marriage partner'. The concept of 'adultery' then would mean a betrayal of any of our spouses, including a breaching of the

mutually-accepted terms and structure of our relationship with them. A bit of a 'Libertarian' view I suppose, but then 'Libertarianism' is just the apostate system's watered-down counterfeit of our Common Law system extrapolated from basic God-given rights. As such we're free to do pretty much whatever, provided it doesn't encroach against fellow man or the Divine, and it's nobody else's business until and unless it does. All that to say this: While I also freely admit to having quite an interest in multiple sincere literal relationships, the idea of Me attempting to 'take over the world' or 'usurp the apostate system' with a single-digit numbered cadre of guys is self-evidently preposterous, and is so logistically unfeasible and of no concern that it seems to Me in exceedingly part taste for the apostate system to attempt to make an issue of it. The apostate system does appear to Me to be figuratively 'just grasping at straws' here, for reasons which I'll get to in a moment.

Then there's the matter of non-overt communication being essentially by definition 'context-based', something I've referenced plenty of times in these pieces. And for those Choosing alignment with Divine Will and True Nature, counter-Divine Will declarators are *never* the relevant context. They're frivolous, irrelevant, less than worthless. For the apostate system however they're the organization's whole *raison d'etre*, and so it's effectively morbidly preoccupied with them. Suppose there's some tawdry little useless consumer product that you have absolutely no interest in, but someone else does. And they're so utterly fixated upon it that whenever you refer to anything the approximate size, color or shape of that product, or which in any way reminds them of it, they get all excited and rush to the conclusion that the awful, nasty little product they're obsessed with is what you're *actually* on about. A counter-Divine Will basis has got to be the most useless thing in existence, not that it will remain in existence for much longer.

Since we're on the matter of known defects in the apostate system's non-overt lingo, I've been meaning to address yet another for quite a while. They use the 'create as I speak' trope, meaning that everything they do will, supposedly, at least in 'Babylonian standard' 'layover', reference their actual intentions. What they in truth plan to do. But this is all premised on the concept of literal Willworking and 'magic', and we've already established that *that* isn't quite how it actually works; that instead it's the agenda-motivated 'assists' from those 'fallen angels' and the whole idea of stereotypical occultism is instead a massive

public fraud. That being so, 'I create as I speak' isn't true either and the whole non-overt linguistic premise there is consequently fallacious. Ordinarily I'd conclude from this that whole broad new vistas of the non-overt symbolic landscape are now opened up to Me as entirely legitimate grounds for usage, and to a certain extent that's true. It's mitigated however by 'the Duty of clarity' and the fact that *knowing* I'd very likely be misinterpreted by it by My less-informed fellow man would typically hinder practical communication, which of course defeats the purpose. But it's still another plain example of the lack of internal consistency and indeed truth of the apostate system's various processes. Because *of course* it is; you don't get legitimacy and internal consistency as the result of routinely and systematically jettisoning viable, fair, internally-consistent foundational principles. But legitimacy and internal consistency aren't their priorities, so there they are I suppose. I'm still making the common sense objection to it plain, open, amicable and clear and presenting it for their voluntary internal correction. Or indeed knowing, willful and avoidable lack thereof.

I'll do the apostate system even better here. I've been careful to redact what could reasonably be regarded as symbolic 'terms of concern' throughout My efforts, and even quite explicitly 'gainsaid' things which could fairly prevent others from understanding Me correctly or being properly at their ease. I will, here and now, explicitly 'gain say' the 'counter-Divine Will declarator' implications of anything, indeed everything, I say, do and reference until and unless I clearly and plainly specify otherwise. I find it exceedingly unlikely that I ever will find it necessary or worthwhile to do that, but who knows. And in addition, I will amicably seek to resolve the matter of these apparent non-overt 'border disputes' fairly and legitimately with the apostate system; I've been doing that quite plainly throughout. The caveat there of course is that they're only as reliable and trustworthy as their Chosen basis, and per My Duty to Divine Will I'm unable to disregard that. I'm therefore relegated to assessing what the apostate system puts out commensurate with the validity of that basis. It's just common sense. Here she is with a non-internally consistent non-overt language model and a figurative time machine that's just gathering dust when she could be using it to improve the apostate system. That would appear to tell us pretty much everything we need to know about it, and an insistence on a dysfunctional, invalid process on her part does not constitute an emergency on Mine. Particularly when she already knows quite well that My own motives are sincere and benevolent.

On that, I started assessing [Isis]' likely motive for going on about the matter, and indeed *why now* after all this time. It appeared likely given the situation that in attempting to put Me on the figurative defensive about it, she was hoping that I'd revise My *'standards and practices'* and diminish My policies. This would have consequently enabled her to rationalize all manner of counter-Divine Will declarator usage throughout, while still gaining My approval of her. This is all pursuant to her usual [Baal]-[Hadad] and [Mithra] routine, in which the [Horus]-[Osiris] target figuratively *'turns a blind eye'* to a counter-Divine Will basis on the part of personnel ostensibly *'under them'* in exchange for apparent command, and thus in doing so they often inadvertently accept a counter-Divine Will basis themselves. Which of course was the purpose of the whole thing. What I was struggling to *'get'* there is why continuing to blatantly discredit herself to Me with all this would result in her regaining My trust which she's casually and quite deliberately jettisoned.

In considering this rather peculiar situation, I started likening it to the apostate system's [\[particulate\]](#) symbol, and how she was just increasingly continuing to invest herself in that formula. I sarcastically plied versions of *'She Blinded Me With Science'* and *'Walking on Broken Glass'* knowing she'd overhear it, partly in an effort to assist her with the diagnostics of her deviations from Divine Will and partly because the aspersions regarding literal *'drinking too much'* that she'd been making as a *jibe* at Me while I was coping with her betrayal appeared to be rather more applicable conceptually in this new context, and the music video for the latter features that quite intensely. And indeed, the [glass] which she continues to [break] into a [particulate] with all this alludes quite aptly to the [window] symbol we'd been using to reference the media, and thus her interaction with Me. She appears to manifestly continue to be caught up in her own *'self-delusion'* and is *"out of control"*, but then so is her principal. That's what I've plainly been striving to assist them with.

The matter of [Isis]' likely motive appeared to have been corroborated when I'd re-scanned the first three episodes of the current season, one hundred nineteen, of ['The News Quiz'](#). There'd been so much organizing symbolism with an unfamiliar context in there that it had been near-uninterpretable on first listen. With this context acquired, it started to *'click'* into place much better.

It was after having established this that various non-overt 'warnings' started to come in from the apostate system, on or about the twenty-eighth. To the effect that I was cautioned regarding pulling hinky 'resistance' shenanigans on a counter-Divine Will basis in a non-overt format, when she clearly knew I wasn't. And the non-overt 'tone' of the messaging started to get darker. More threatening against Me. How very curious. On closer examination despite the 'tone' of it all, it was being presented with [twain] organizing symbolism which effectively refers, from them at least, among other things to the [kitsune] concepts of [trickery], and [illusion], and the Babylonian [Gemini] which refers to 'making an appearance of something, when it's not actually so'. Alright, no especial problems there. Pretty unclassy I suppose to intimate that, but even so. Another figurative attempted 'psych-out'. She's only been doing those occasionally for as long as I've known her. Whatever. Pass.

Except then I'd started to notice from a whole lot of media, the brunt of which came out at the beginning of the Babylonian 'new year', symbolism about it which implied something more happening there. Specifically, the idea that it was the apostate system pre-organizing to figuratively 'gank Me' at some point in the future if they couldn't sufficiently baffle and convert Me onto a counter-Divine Will basis. 'Nothing personal, just business.' Yes, I had long been curious about when they'd decide to do that. This appears to be about the closest the apostate system gets to genuinely admitting defeat, a 'win condition'. The idea being of course that since [Isis] hasn't been able to accomplish her required agenda items with Me, to without compunction 'dismiss Me' from temporal existence and select her next intended victim. Ostensibly much easier for her now that the rank-and-file personnel have been familiarized with her methods and objectives, even though that does tend to 'give the game away' to the rank-and-file regarding the counter-Divine Will principals by whom she's employed. Yes, I had informed her quite early on that I'm fairly intelligent. I'd never asked for this situation with her, she'd done that all on her own. So I'm the 'exceptional case' Who required her 'special attentions' and even then she flubbed it. Or rather, with the assistance of the Divine, I succeeded.

I don't suppose it ever occurred to these guys to just figuratively 'level with Me' and have a civilized conversation about the matter on its merits, and try to honestly convince Me. Or find themselves convinced. Because in order to Choose a thing, it must make a certain amount of sense to Me. Throughout, all

the apostate system has been doing instead is abundantly illustrating how [absurd] such a position is. They've effectively been the Divine's Own counter-example, and I've learned from it all immensely. So with regard to tangible resultants, worry Me not with irrelevant trifles! You mean I get to 'fast-forward' past all this manifest nonsense and Resurrect at the Judgement? I'm not certain how to express My appreciation to them. Literal suicide of course is apparently a very great sin, but let it not be said that personnel on a counter-Divine Will basis have no genuine compassion! [\[limited overt metaphor\]](#) Could they have just reoriented onto some new intended victim and left Me alone? Certainly. But I've never known the apostate system to concern itself with respecting God-given rights when it wasn't *expedient* to their desired agenda items. That's what their entire organization is about of course. And that's why the brunt of the rank-and-file personnel are reasonably anticipated to put up with it; they've already made their Choice to actively support and passively enable the thing, and chuck their conscience off to the side. And of course because [Isis] appears to be pre-organizing a convenient non-overt *alibi*, that of 'bearing false witness' against Me. Apparently by 'twisting My non-overt words' and intended meaning; I've been encountering that in quite a bit of current media, including 'The News Quiz' with that bit about 'Pass.' They appear to be pre-organizing to accuse Me of being "out of control", and 'resistance' on a counter-Divine Will basis and so on. Rome and Babylon have always murdered, and indeed martyred, people they found 'inconvenient' in any era, which includes anyone who insists on a legitimate Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. For all their blasphemous claims about 'gods' and 'godhood', the apostate system and its principals don't genuinely Create anything, nor can they genuinely Destroy it either. [\[literal overt Bible cite\]](#) They literally killed Jesus too, and He 'resplended in truth'. Interestingly, this would seem to put Me in the position of the antitypical equivalent of literal 'John the Baptist'. He'd pre-announced Jesus' Coming and was a prophetic sort of prerequisite for it. And now we're so close to His Coming for the Judgement. It would be interesting and indeed quite apt to have the apostate system itself establish the prerequisites for it. They've certainly been condemning themselves all the while. Why stop now?

I strive to [heal] them, and they strive to [kill] Me both symbolically and eventually literally, apparently. 'Principal and agent.' A civilized discussion about it presumably is precluded because they know their position has no legitimate basis to support it. That appears from here as quite a self-admission of irrational

and unreasoning behavior on their part. Still, it's great to get to have the apostate system themselves prove Me right by their very own Choices. I need do nothing whatsoever; they've been *inadvertently proving their 'Opposition' right* the whole time.

Substantiating evidence. Let's start with current media. Using their [travel] symbol, we've got the literal United States imposing [eye] [t]ests on [drivers] over [seventy]. [cite] Does that sound to you like non-overt pre-organizing to harass the [elderly]? Specifically with those more knowledgeable people who are associated with the number 7, representative of a Divine Will-aligned basis? Simultaneously, we've got the exact same thing happening over in Britain. [cite] Great that we'd established independence from Britain, isn't it? And then of course the same thing being messaged via policy debates over in Australia. [cite] *'But no, there's no conspiracy! That would just be utterly absurd!'* Yes, and it certainly is. Then we'd had Trump deploy the military to murder the people on those [boats] in Venezuela. *'But those were [drug] [boats]!'* *'No, those were just civilian [fishing] [boats]!'* And the public interpreted the limited evidence presented in accordance with the context they'd already decided they preferred. Then they militarily abducted Maduro and his wife, making for more [k]tsune symbolism via the traditional [Japanese] [birth-gender] symbol. And they'd had him stuffed into a [plane] with his [bottle] of [water], more [k]tsune symbolism, and [headphones] and [sunglasses] looking like some kind of advertisement for quality audio equipment. They'd [twin] his actual house for military training in Kentucky, and were busy doing that while Trump was publicly hyping *'the [drug] [boats]!'* Subtext: *They'd already decided to do it even then.* Then of course we'd gotten the national and international news items about the [ICE] shootings. Renee [Gold], who alternately was either *'innocently driving away!'* or *'Greening violently towards government enforcement!'*, again depending on how people wanted to perceive it. And then much the same with Alex [Pratti], who'd been *recording the actions of the officers for evidence* when they took him out. Sound familiar? Of course once they did, they found of all things a gun on him and used that to retroactively justify it, despite him being the lawful and even legal owner, with a permission slip from the government for it and everything. But what did we hear? Kristi Noem, superior authority of a *'Department of Homeland Security'* which had always been an unconstitutional agency and a leftover from Bush's unconstitutional *'Patriot Act'*, immediately making all manner of unfounded claims against the murder victim up to and including

'domestic terrorism'. And her rank-and-file personnel supporting those claims. There's also an interesting bit of non-overt symbolism here as well; "prtti" would be "pretty" with [Is's] 'one letter off' 'jazz-like variations' trope, and typically represents what she'd like to cause to happen, particularly via the Knights of Malta franchise. "Prty" would be a derivative of [beauty], which is symbolically associated with, gosh who'd have known it, [Aphrodite] with whom she's associated. Also symbolic of 'efforts we're planning for later'. I suppose the "prtti" "gnd" was likely just coincidence there, but one never knows with certainty. This has all been about pre-organizing lots of prevarication and subjective interpretation on their part. And with all this, while the public's overtly debating back and forth about who said what and whose claims were right or not, the hype manages to give loads of free publicity to the efforts to pre-organize it all. Nice. And of course whether Trump will revise his policies there, whether Ms. Noem will remain in her position or not in the aftermath - as opposed to for example whether civil lawsuits or crim charges will be applied to anyone involved - continues to rather conveniently propagate the rhetoric. Because the idea is that's what they're committing to doing, and all the squabbling about the other stuff is just incidentals.

More current events stuff exclusively as evidence, [this](#) extremely unclassy piece by a Zionist franchise member associating Trump non-overtly as an illegitimate 'resistance' figure. And that's when all the extremely morbid and lugubrious news hype we've been seeing for the last few months about 'the mysterious bruises and marks' on Trump's [hands] started 'clicking' into place for me. Because [Is's] uses [hand] as her version of the [arr], 'promote this' symbol with a single 'jazz-like variation' added via [magic]. And so we've been getting all manner of hype regarding that, his [ankles], his supposed mental health, and all the rest of it. And of course his dutiful geopolitical and domestic antics have been corroborating all the hype, because they're working for the same objectives. As I write this he's been sending a supposed [armada of war][boats] to [Iran]. Another [kitsune] [twain] symbol geopolitically. Then there's all the stuff with Trump seeking [peace] [prizes], not getting them, then getting a bogus [pretend] one from a [soccer] organization, then having the actual [peace] [prize] given to him by the original recipient, only to find that he can't actually be the true recipient of it, he just had the physical property re-gifted to him. [Is's] usual 'Not really! Just for funsies!' thing, and the organized crime franchise's 'death penalty' symbol which [Is's] appears to have repurposed for her agenda item,

consistent with her using lower-rung franchise symbols to be applied to Babylonian-and-higher level matters per her 'jazz-like variations' routine.

Also exclusively as evidence, and with a requisite 'content advisory', is [this item](#) which briefly also was made international news. My literal apologies for having to present such unconscionable content as part of My Duty to present what the apostate system is doing. The symbolism inherent in the item was particularly relevant, I'll summarize. [Turkey] is [board] is [Isis]' *'attempt to entice someone into doing illegitimate stuff'*. The [\[decapitation\]](#) symbol I've already exposed. It symbolizes an effort to dissociate someone from their critical thinking faculties, and to dissociate 'the body politic' from 'heads of state'; that is, to incite unreasoning and to disenfranchise personnel from authority figures. The [violence] against [women] thing was interesting; appears to be 'inversion' which'd convert [Isis] symbols into meaning [Osiris] instead. The [in container] symbol, [Isis] uses to mean *'Gotcha! Established that you've opted into an illegitimate basis and you're therefore penalized, or even literally killed and replaced, by the organization'* per her [Osiris]-in-a-[box] mythological symbolism. The [successes] are much the same, with implied [clipping] which means, for her, *'caught!'* and [hand] which for her is a *'promote this cause or effort'* with the addition of an 'inversion' symbol via [magic] and her 'jazz-like variations' motif. And in context, the [anger], [violence], [decapitation], and [in container] symbols all have additional symbolic definitions at the 'resistance' 'layer' and are quite intense in their implication of same. Meanwhile [dumpsters] and [trash bins] have particular connotations in common usage regarding deprecating or 'getting rid of' something inconvenient or no longer desirable by the organization. It's very disturbing symbolism altogether, even non-overtly. The bit about [lugs] appears to add both [town] and [travel], and additionally [lightning] via the derivative symbolism inherent in *fantasies*. And that usually represents [Jupiter]-[Zeus] etc., supposed 'authority figures' equivalent of their [Osiris]. We can discern that there's an awful lot of symbolic 'inversion' going on here, and that usually suggests a rather early 'effort in process' from [Isis] or indeed many of the organizations' major franchises. I'm somewhat concerned by this, particularly in context.

Additionally, didn't we just have Congressional Representative Omar publicly squirted with a rather [smelly] [liquid]? [\[evidence cite\]](#) Via a [syringe] of course, making for their symbolic references not just to [Isis]' "[Chaos] mode"

referring to 'an effort-in-process' from her, but also to [penetration] via [stall], which is essentially [iron]. That'd symbolize Rome and a counter-Divine Will basis, and imply at least deployment on both overt and non-overt venues. [Scents], and particularly awful ones, are used symbolically by the Knights of Malta and [Isis] sub-divisions to depict the concept of a group effort to eschew someone, or shun them, or even to harass, abuse or obstruct them as they live their lives, all on the orders of the organization. Either they get compensated for doing it, or they get penalized for not doing so, I've never had the 'insider' familiarity to ascertain which. And as to the substance? [Apple] cider vinegar. Acrid, [bitter] rather than [sweet], which suggests the organization actively striving to present as 'mean' rather than 'making nice', and of course [apples] are associated with the number [five] which represents those who haven't opted into a counter-Divine Will basis. Interesting. Also mythologically with 'discord', 'strife', [Aphrodite], [Hera] and fascinatingly, [Athena]. You know, their [female] idol of 'war' and 'wisdom', organizing on a non-overt basis. The presence of [Hera] would seem to 'invert' 'wisdom' into 'folly', and there we have [Isis] and her 'efforts in process' stuff again. Meanwhile Rep. Omar is a visible representation of a [foreigner], that is, someone who is dissociate from the apostate system.

Next, the evidence from the older media I've been encountering. And yes, exclusively as evidence. [This](#) is probably the easiest to parse; I'd just presented the ep in My last. The apparent inference being that I've become too wise now to buy into their counter-Divine Will stuff, and therefore they're figuratively 'cutting their losses' and 'dismissing Me' from temporal existence in preference for someone else they're more likely to convince. And then there's the 'Walking on Broken Glass' vid symbolism. The major being [this peculiar scene](#). [Candles] have appeared here and there enough for Me to at least partially glean what they're symbolically 'about'. They're an [oblivion] certainly, and with [fire] and [light] as per the usual [Mithra] stuff. And [wax], made by those [insects] associated with [Isis], and the property of [wax] is that it [shifts] its [shape]. [Transformation] and [change] is usually a literal 'death' symbol for the Babylonian crowd, isn't it? Sort of the opposite of their Tarot card stuff where the 'Death' card instead just supposedly means literal 'change'. But I remember seeing [candles] associated with the pre-organization for the literal Kennedy assassination, and they appear to be specific to their [Horus]-[Osiris] target. At any rate it's accompanied by a [pillar] here, which'd be another [oblivion], and the

fact that she's non-overtly referencing [Jupiter] via her [lightning] symbolism of basically thwacking herself [twice], which'd be [twin] and [kitsune]. Or rather, [three] times, because it's 'thwack, pause, thwack, thwack'. All while she's invoking the [mask] symbol with her other [hand], indicating 'the latest and greatest new rejection of our True Nature thing we're doing'. But more interestingly, the whole framing of this scene. Because it gets into some visual-chronological symbolism typically used by the organization's financialist and politishill personnel.

I'll summarize it briefly. Visually it's 'left-to-right', which indicates what's to happen in what sequence chronologically. 'First we do this, then we do that, then the last thing at the right.' Usually I've seen it being presented most frequently in their January, and the 'left-to-right' very roughly summarizes their plans for the year. I'd first encountered this method in a blog evaluating covers of a financialist magazine, and not only found it to be accurate but also recurring elsewhere such as in politicartoons. Interestingly when [Iss] made her appearance to the rank-and-file personnel and was doing her "[Chas] mode" thing, her 'jazz-like variations' motif was also applied to that; throughout last year the visual order was in 'right-to-left' format instead. And it appears to be continuing; exclusively as evidence [here's](#) an example from just before last year while they were pre-organizing for it, and [here's](#) a 'current' version from last year pre-organizing for this one.

Notice something there? In the first, [Iss] is [juggling] through most of the year. That's a [rotation] and [the fall] symbol, as in she's attempting to 'disorient' Me. In the second, we get an allusion to that in approximately the same place in the image, via the [vanity] [lights]. That's a sort of 'callback', but we've already found that she's using those only as part of her efforts to systematically manipulate and defraud Me. So with regard to pre-organizing, the emphasis is on something else instead. On what? With the [straight lines] and [wavy lines], they're attempting a [new hairstyle]. That's similar to their [haircut] symbol, on this 'layer' more about 'adjusting someone's psychology' and typically, about giving them a significant misunderstanding about something. Meanwhile there's a [mirror], associated with [glass] so an [Iss] [fire] symbol, and one which Rome likes to use to symbolize 'justice' or rather the closest that it ever gets to justice from the guys who brought us the Inquisitions. And what do you know, but there's a little [girl], presumably the owner of her [vanity] [suit], [pointing] at

him accusatorily for [stealing] her [char]. Because of course [female] represents, for Babylon, the non-overt venue. And she's presented very emphatically in association with [grain]. And that's particularly interesting because it's symbolically associated with [Osiris]. It ostensibly symbolizes [fertility] i.e, vegetation and the like, but only because he's associated with being 'the god of the afterlife'. As such it references also literal death, if obliquely, as well as concepts like 'regeneration' and 'rebirth'. Which for [Isis], per her 'jazz-like variations' and [Isis]-[Horus]-[Osiris] motifs, would seem to refer to replacing the current [Osiris] with the next intended target. So again we'd get the 'Next!' concept, along with apparent [kitsune] [trickery] and deliberate calumny for My use of a non-overt basis to mentor and to minister to specific people close to Me. Which of course is both encroaching into a venue in which she has no legitimate authority, and deliberately taking something from one venue out of context and misapplying it in another. Figuratively, 'a s---t-up' and 'a kangaroo court'. Planned in advance, now we just watch as they implement that.

Finishing up on the video symbolism, [France] is associated with [Aphrodite] and [Venus], and so [Isis]. But also plans she's got in the works, rather than current items. We can discern this from her 'jazz-like variations' motif and the selection of the great [comedian] Hugh Laurie. The implicit [the film] symbol is her 'efforts-in-process' symbol with that 'jazz-like variation', so it reads as such both on her 'layer' and on 'Babylonian standard' as well. They appear to have placed the [drinking alcohol] symbolism there and emphasized it at least in part because of its 'resistance' connotations. I also notice that the [white] [marriage] and [drinking] symbols appear to have been placed there to harmonize it with Christianity's symbolism; I hadn't intended that when I'd referenced it, but certainly noticed it when it was presented. Essentially because I've been thinking a lot in that context, and increasingly. We do tend to mentally contextualize things using the imagery and symbols which are relevant to the sorts of concepts we're evaluating. I've even noticed that it tends to influence My perceived options for precise wording and so on when I'm writing. That's literally inadvertent, but in the vid it appears to be an instance of deliberately striving to cause Me to appear suspicious with regard to deliberate organizing symbolism. As usual the appropriate, legitimate response to that variety of situation is generally to address it openly and overtly, to expose it so that it doesn't keep lurking in the non-overt venue deliberately unacknowledged. When something's inadvertent or unavoidable, the next best thing we can usually do is at least to

acknowledge it honestly.

As for all the [k[tsune] [tr[ckery] symbolism they keep deploying everywhere, 'We don't actually mean this!' would appear to instead mean, 'As [Is[s] we actually do mean it, but we're just not ready to finalize it yet!' You know, same concept as her usual [pl[y] symbol has traditionally used. For her, it appears to be a sort of 'do[ble inversion] symbol which certainly would account for all the unclear parsing I've been encountering. Basically it's a common usage 'misa[rection] symbol as used by their 'res[stance] franchises, or rather by their actual loyalist franchises which prefer to 'invert' to seem like 'res[stance]'. Thus with [Is[s]' 'j[azz-like variations] and use of lower-level symbols, it's something which she uses frequently to mean about the same. Except that it adds an 'inversion' to the formula, and due to her simultaneous use of [Asian] symbolism that provides another 'inversion'. The resulting symbolic messaging tends to be rather inconvenient, thick, not easy to read, quite abstracted from her actual meaning, but that's frequently how she prefers it.

That I'm on and Choosing a Divine Will-aligned basis should be fairly self-evident by now. If I weren't, I presumably would've just 'gone for' the [Osir[s] position and used it for all the clout I could. [\[Is\[s\] has said as much herself.](#) So apparently either she's changing her story, or I've somehow done a massive shift in My basic moral center for no reason whatsoever. All while continuing to eschew the office of [Osir[s]. Which of those alternatives makes the most sense to you? I suppose there's instead the outside chance that I'm misunderstanding, or that we have some comparatively minor quibble regarding the appropriate use of non-overt symbolism and the limits of legitimate authority in a romantic interpersonal venue - which of course would be pretty ironic given that [Is[s]' whole non-overt sht[ck] is to misappropriate the non-overt romantic venue and symbolism for her sub-division's official uses instead - but those could presumably be sorted out fairly and amicably. And they certainly aren't what the organizing symbolism has been presenting.

Notice also that when the [br[de] in [wh[te] t[urns her head, there's a moment where Mr. L[urie] does a bit of physical [com[edy], with the arrangement in her [har] bouquet p[icking him in the eye]. [Straight lines] and [w[avy lines], [Cha[s], and thus for [Is[s] another 'effort in process' symbol. Parsing: 'We're striving to convert innocent and inadvertent actions into supposed evidence of

'resistance' activities'. It's right there. But of course there appears to be no human sub-division in the apostate system for authentically keeping [Isis] accountable. Convenient for some, I suppose. [\[cite\]](#)

It doesn't particularly matter *what* I actually say; [Isis] appears to be determined to have the organization's personnel 'twist My words', as she's put it, to rationalize whatever. With her ability to retroactively 'adjust' what's presented in media references, it becomes even more easy for her to arrange. Whatever I put is necessarily going to look suspicious. That appears to be why I've been encountering a recent increase in that 'make the characters look suspicious' trend, as well as the otherwise-inexplicable trend of her adding plenty of 'resistance'-looking symbolism when it of course wasn't necessary or even relevant. It was to her; relevant to this agenda item. Even if I were to say nothing, to anyone, they'd apparently manufacture some claim and with the support of the personnel involved, they would accomplish it regardless of fairness or anything else. Such nice people. I was of course right not to trust them. It's just a matter of the counter-Divine Will basis they're on.

As I write this, the fourth episode of this season of 'The News Quiz' has come out. The pre-organizing for their effort appears to continue with it, and both that and current actual news media have increasingly been on about [sorry] and [apologies]. Those are symbols referring to 'penance' which is done by previously 'misbehaving' personnel as an alternative to the organization taking action against those personnel for their offenses. The organizing symbolism in the ep appears to confirm what I'd already known, specifically that [Isis] is pre-planning to get the organization imposing recriminations against Me for supposed offenses, then require those [apologies], but only as a mere pretense. This is precisely where she'd originally began with Me, remember? I'd even included it in [My backstory published for years](#), and we'd already established that what she ensures happens instead is that the 'monitors' tasked with assessing whether the offending individual has done their 'penance' correctly are themselves collusive with [Isis], and have already been directed to report that they haven't. We'd go through that for literally years, with successive 'penance' attempts that they'd misreport as botched and I'd have to start over. The problem was exacerbated when they'd additionally start misreporting *entire new, spurious offenses* too. Which of course required additional 'penances' of their own to sort out, which of course they'd make sure could not be. We'd, or rather I'd, started to infer that this was the

result of some disloyal 'monitor' personnel out for their own agendas, and [Is] had gotten the organization to put out non-overt implications to the effect that this was so. Those were merely attempts to 'sell Me' various narratives though, but the point remains: she's reverting back to that 'unsatisfiable penance' formula and we've already seen how she uses it. She just hadn't used it before to 'finalize things' with Me precisely because she had agenda items she had wanted to accomplish regarding Me. Now that she's established that she's unable to, this appears to be her method of 'getting rid of Me' slowly and methodically, with plenty of 'plausible deniability' for the purposes of retaining the appearance of fairness and respectability for the rank-and-file personnel; the equivalent of a 'muck trial' when she's already decided the outcome well in advance. Meaning as soon as the first bogus charge is established against Me here, we've already established what she's in fact out to accomplish and the outcome is a given. I can already recognize it from here, due to the situation as established, her organizing symbolism evidenced, My experience with her, and the only thing keeping Me from being completely sure about it is that she's already proven her deft ability to make things seem like a particular situation via non-overt symbolism, when in fact it's actually nothing of the sort. At any rate I don't think I'll attempt to satisfy the eventually-required 'penances' this time; I'd quite naturally inferred 'good faith' and a sense of fairness by the organization when she did all this before, and she's already disproven both. I suppose that will make Me seem to the rank-and-file personnel 'not in the know' that I'm not only the 'resistance' individual I'll be accused of, but also thoroughly lacking in contrition for it too. But that's the result of her, or rather her principals, having control over the entire organization and on an unjust, counter-Divine Will premise; its processes will be systematically unjust whenever those principals have decided they will. That's always been a phenomenal reason not to invest oneself into perpetuating systems which are on an unjust basis, and it appears from here that I will be literally murdered as the result of the Choices from other people to invest themselves into them, the very thing I'd been striving to counsel them not to do all along. They'll do it to Me, and they'll do it to anyone else they decide is an 'inconvenience'. [Is] has been systematically encroaching against My privacy rights for decades with her surveillance, thus illustrating her Lawlessness. She's no more interested in My other rights either, nor anyone else's'. The People should be ensuring organizational accountability, but since they haven't been that leaves Divine Will to eventually do that Itself. Injustices are an aberration in Creation and of course are ultimately addressed. Nobody truly gets away with anything.

I've also been noticing a pattern of non-overt behavior from these personnel to very methodically and strategically adjust their choices of wording and imagery to fraudulently present the implication that they are 'colluding' with Me in some illegitimate 'resistance' antics. These include occasional 'callbacks' and references to things which I've said here or elsewhere, and they appear to be doing it in order to establish that desired 'setup' agenda item against Me. Thus even as I present and expose their efforts plainly and overtly as evidence, they use that as yet more opportunities to establish a bogus 'case' against Me within the organization. The current 'News Quiz' ep, the fourth in the current season, is a great example of this.

I suppose it's additionally likely that [Isis] seeks to establish all this in order to accomplish her *other* agenda items. This is how she'd likely attempt that: She establishes an intrinsically unjust situation, I dutifully expose it plainly and openly, and the engineered organizational response is that the 'monitoring' personnel figuratively gasp, report *that* instead, organizational attention gets directed onto it, and then appear to 'get it sorted out'. This would presumably be part of her, and the organization as a whole's, general agenda item of accomplishing a supposed 'credibility restoration' pretty much like their overt 'limited exposure' routine with all the QAnonsense 'truther guru' propagandists, 'the Epstein files', etc.. As a professional courtesy I can save her the literal time and effort and state right now that I wouldn't find *that* credible either. An inherently untrustworthy counter-Divine Will basis necessarily can't establish credibility with anyone Who knows their stuff and the nature of the situation, and the organization has solidly established that's the basis they're on.

As I continue to parse that fourth ep's non-overt content, I find a pattern in which [Isis] appears to be deploying her 'merely-implied summings' method she's been using to talk with Me all this time in order to attempt to do some 'direct messaging' that only I'd 'get' since the context I have isn't that which many have. The bit about the "stone tablets" in this, and the reference to the 'Ten Commandments' in another recent ep, cumulatively appear intended to be parsed as, essentially, 'We're persecuting You because we're on a counter-Divine Will basis, and you're not.' I've also detected what appears to be intimations to the effect of, 'The more of a legitimate, Divine Will-aligned basis on which You act, the worse that we'll ensure it becomes for You once we 'get' You.' Physical

threats against Me, etc.. The problem I'm encountering here, among others naturally, is that without a basis of trust I literally can't trust her threats and implied offers any more than I've been able to trust any of the rest of it. For example, she'd long greatly implied to Me that *she* was about upholding and promoting a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis, and that it was in fact the nature of her entire franchise. That was evidenced to be less than true. Approximately a year or so ago when she was just beginning her "[Chaos] mode" system-wide, [Isis] had implied to Me that, *'Whatever happens, don't fret. All You need to need to do is make sure that You don't opt into a counter-Divine Will basis, and that You don't resort to some illegitimate 'resistance' efforts, and everything will be fine.'* That appears to have been untrue too. If she's giving Me various mutually-exclusive implied requirements, there's no basis for credibility on her part enough to trust *anything* she implies, whether symbolic or *'implied summaries'*. I'm still in-process of keeping to her stipulations regarding keeping to a Divine Will-aligned basis and not doing illegitimate *'resistance'* antics; to accede to these new apparent demands would obviously cause Me to transgress against the former. I don't intend to do that knowingly, willfully and avoidably for her or for anyone else. *'There is no Law but that of 'Avoid our wrath''* self-evidently isn't a viable basis for any system; it's the precise opposite to the Perfect, Fair, Internally-Consistent Benevolent, Loving Benevolent Authoritarianism of the Divine. And in that, [Isis] appears to be accurately representing her *'principals'*. I also notice that it appears to be a rather deft *'inversion'* of their own situation regarding the Judgement, in which everything they invest themselves into on a counter-Divine Will basis merely compounds their Ultimate Liability, though of course with the genuine recourse to Divine Forgiveness accessible to them each individually throughout. The bit about the [dialogue] and, paraphrased, *'Which of the Ten Commandments you'll violate for the rest of your life'* appears to specifically allude to the Sabbath Commandment, which in context here would seem to have everything to do with the Biblically-prophecied efforts of the apostate system to eventually seek to use force and threat of same to compel everyone to accept *'the mark of the beast system'* and thus transgress against Divine Law. That is, it eventually persecutes everybody. [\[overt cite exclusively as evidence\]](#) There appears to be an overt equivalent being implemented organization-wide to attempt to compel everyone to opt into a counter-Divine Will basis, or find themselves forcibly *'dismissed from the world'*. It was presumably inevitable that their non-overt efforts would synchronize with that. The argument for everybody refusing to invest into a

system predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis of Lawlessness and force then ought to be self-evident. In the interim we've got the apostate system using the support of those most in alignment with its objectives to ruthlessly apply force against those deemed the least in compliance with it. We find that with every overt literal 'w[redacted]r' atrocity the taxpayers blithely continue to subsidize. The amount of persecution it's able to apply is directly proportional to the support of those investing themselves into it. The very people complaining and virtue signaling about the plethora of problems it causes are indirectly bringing about that same persecution and Lawlessness. It's the hypocrisy problem; when people individually choose to live their lives without integrity, the result of course is a system which lacks integrity also. It's '*representative government*', overtly and non-. It's just that the public have been made to represent the positions of their '*fallen angel*' '*principals*'. The solution of course is to retain integrity, and to uphold rule of Law. I've been Choosing to do that throughout, and this is much of *why* I have. To do less doesn't make any literal common sense. And just as I've stated, I'm unable to do things which defy basic common sense to Me.

That '*News Quiz*' ep also seems to Me to imply that if any of their '*monitoring*' personnel refuse to comply, *they* will be '*investigated*'. And presumably replaced with someone who indeed will comply, meaning that the organization will have plenty of cheap labor absent of compunction through which to accomplish its objective. They also seem to Me to be implying a rejection on their part to having any sort of calm, authentic, sincere mutual dialogue to convince Me how their position makes sense or is fair, or even genuinely worthwhile. Evidently on the basis that it doesn't and isn't. They instead substitute force and threat of force. Everybody doing what nobody actually wants to do, on the basis that if they don't everybody else will *make* them. But indeed why should they do that? Only as the result of moral vacancy, the same thing that makes their lives under injustice unworthwhile and then has the Divine reluctantly '*dismiss them*' from existence for Choosing to be figurative '*wastes of space*'. The situation, overt and non-, only happens as the indirect result of their Choice to lack a valid, integrous, Living and Lawful moral center. The results of that can only be consistently awful, until the Divine Himself shuts it down. Not long now.

'*The News Quiz*' is made available in literal Britain prior to being made accessible elsewhere. Episode four is therefore inaccessible without using options like '[get\\_iplayer](#)'. It will presumably be accessible for everyone in a week as they've

Source: DivineWillAssembly.com

been doing things. The eps are temporarily accessible [here](#) for convenience, and I present them exclusively as evidence.

## Other items

### Deplatformed

And while all of *that* was going on, I literally awoke on the twenty-first to find that Facebook had suspended My latest account with them. This involved *'the algorithm'* making entirely unfounded accusations against Me regarding sharing explicit images of literal minors. Particularly unfounded given that I don't even post images, just pretty much repost My articles from here to alert people to them as they become available. I did a search about it and found that others have been affected by this too, [\[evidence\]](#), and it appears to be the result of a new adjustment to *'the algorithm'* to enable the company to make it look as though they're doing something worthwhile regarding various recent accusations made against it. What's been happening instead is that *'the algorithm'* has been canceling accounts baselessly and apparently without human intervention, and the company has been casually making severe automated, unaccountable allegations against people, denying them access, but then allowing them to *'appeal'* the decision but only after having *'verified'* themselves by uploading things like *'verification selfies'*, videos of their faces from various angles, scans of their government-issued identification and the like. Even before having become aware of [Isis] and her encroachments, I've always valued My right to privacy as an individual and don't put My biometrics and other personal information out there. And particularly not to a system which is so thoroughly unaccountable and defective. I'm currently evaluating whether various *'bypass methods'* are effective or even worthwhile; if My account would just be *'canceled'* without any valid reason or pre-notice there's hardly any point to attempting to maintain it. And to *'appeal'* the decision would only present it for another evaluation by *'the algorithm'*. The fellow in the article did that only to find his *'appeal'* denied regardless with no actual human oversight.

This could have been a sincere, authentic error by *'the algorithm'*, as the result of the usual Babylonian system's routine lack of viable principles and tangible accountability in everything it does. It hasn't escaped My notice however that there appear to be quite a lot of congruencies between this overt situation and what I've been finding throughout January with the efforts of [Isis] and the apostate system. It could be part of her efforts to *'deplatform Me'* completely, at

least in terms of pre-Judgement existence. I also find the actual timing of the event rather suspicious, but in fairness I'm not able to establish that it was deliberate with any certainty and so don't make the accusation of it having been deliberate persecution from the apostate system. But I am rather suspicious of it, and it was either that or casual routine incompetence and unaccountability. Whichever of those is the fact, it's still Babylon either way.

### ***'The sulk crew'***

To knowledge I've heard nothing back directly from *'the sulk crew'* regarding My attempts to assist them to reconcile with the Divine, unless influencing *'the algorithm'* was it. I'd considered using divination and augury to communicate with them directly in order to assist. Those had always been quite effective methods for Me, but then *of course* they had. *'The sulk crew'* had been attempting to gain My attention and My interest. And I've since found that use of those methods is directly Proscribed by the Divine, so despite My benevolent and constructive intentions there it's evidently unacceptable to Him regardless.

By contrast, [Isis] has been on about *'the sulk crew'* to Me quite a bit. Specifically, with non-overt intimations and narratives to the effect that *'There's a breakaway 'resistance' faction within [Isis], and that's because of and in support of a breakaway faction within 'the sulk crew' too! And you should definitely glom onto it and collude with it!'* Great, more inherently non-credible narratives from her in an effort to entrap Me and seek to establish relevance. Pass. The *'resistance'* narrative doesn't get any more worthwhile for her adding new details onto it.

- [January thirty-first, twenty two[n]ty-s[un]x]

All media references presented exclusively as evidence, with some 'mentoring' Duty thrown in. All counter-Divine Will and 'resistance' declarators completely 'gainsaid'.

Redistribute freely, keeping the text as-is.

Social media:

Facebook - Deplatformed.

[Twitter](#)

[Discord](#) (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

[RSS feed](#)

[Archive torrent](#)

My exposure sites:

[Divine Will Assembly](#)

[Lexicon](#)